

<b>Document Title</b>	SWS_DiagnosticEventManager: Complete Change Documentation 4.3.0 - 4.3.1
<b>Document Owner</b>	AUTOSAR
<b>Document Responsibility</b>	AUTOSAR
<b>Document Identification No</b>	695

  

<b>Document Status</b>	Final
<b>Part of AUTOSAR Standard</b>	Classic Platform
<b>Part of Standard Release</b>	4.3.1

## Table of Contents

1	SWS_DiagnosticEventManager	11
1.1	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00602	11
1.2	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00605	12
1.3	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00614	15
1.4	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00623	16
1.5	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00624	18
1.6	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00627	20
1.7	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00638	23
1.8	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00639	25
1.9	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00704	27
1.10	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00705	29
1.11	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00733	31
1.12	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00735	33
1.13	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00736	35
1.14	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00737	36
1.15	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00754	40
1.16	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00755	42
1.17	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00757	45
1.18	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00758	47
1.19	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00759	49
1.20	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00760	51
1.21	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00761	53
1.22	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00762	55
1.23	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00763	57
1.24	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00764	59
1.25	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00767	61
1.26	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00772	63
1.27	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00776	65
1.28	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00782	67
1.29	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00787	69
1.30	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00791	71
1.31	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00794	73
1.32	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00795	75
1.33	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00796	77
1.34	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00800	79
1.35	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00803	81
1.36	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00807	84
1.37	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00808	85
1.38	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00809	87
1.39	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00810	89

1.40	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00811	91
1.41	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00812	93
1.42	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00814	97
1.43	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00815	99
1.44	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00824	101
1.45	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00826	103
1.46	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00838	106
1.47	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00839	108
1.48	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00840	110
1.49	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00841	113
1.50	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00843	115
1.51	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00847	117
1.52	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00879	118
1.53	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00885	120
1.54	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00890	122
1.55	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00894	123
1.56	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00897	126
1.57	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00898	128
1.58	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00899	129
1.59	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00900	131
1.60	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00914	133
1.61	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00915	135
1.62	Specification Item ECUC_Dem_00938	137
1.63	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00013	139
1.64	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00019	140
1.65	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00024	141
1.66	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00057	141
1.67	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00061	142
1.68	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00074	144
1.69	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00075	144
1.70	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00080	146
1.71	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00124	147
1.72	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00161	149
1.73	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00185	149
1.74	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00187	150
1.75	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00208	152
1.76	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00212	154
1.77	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00214	159
1.78	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00215	162
1.79	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00218	165
1.80	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00219	166
1.81	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00221	168
1.82	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00227	169

1.83	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00231	172
1.84	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00232	173
1.85	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00233	178
1.86	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00234	184
1.87	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00236	189
1.88	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00239	192
1.89	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00240	195
1.90	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00242	197
1.91	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00243	199
1.92	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00269	200
1.93	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00281	201
1.94	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00291	204
1.95	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00293	205
1.96	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00294	207
1.97	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00296	208
1.98	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00297	209
1.99	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00298	211
1.100	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00300	212
1.101	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00301	213
1.102	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00304	214
1.103	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00308	215
1.104	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00331	216
1.105	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00338	217
1.106	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00346	218
1.107	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00347	219
1.108	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00348	220
1.109	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00349	221
1.110	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00351	222
1.111	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00354	224
1.112	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00355	225
1.113	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00356	226
1.114	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00357	227
1.115	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00358	228
1.116	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00360	230
1.117	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00361	231
1.118	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00362	232
1.119	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00370	233
1.120	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00377	235
1.121	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00378	236
1.122	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00410	237
1.123	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00411	238
1.124	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00412	239
1.125	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00436	240

1.126	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00463	241
1.127	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00469	243
1.128	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00470	244
1.129	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00471	245
1.130	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00472	245
1.131	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00473	246
1.132	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00477	247
1.133	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00481	248
1.134	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00482	249
1.135	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00483	249
1.136	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00484	250
1.137	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00513	251
1.138	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00515	252
1.139	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00516	254
1.140	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00528	255
1.141	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00570	256
1.142	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00571	258
1.143	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00572	259
1.144	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00573	260
1.145	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00577	262
1.146	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00586	263
1.147	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00590	265
1.148	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00592	266
1.149	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00594	267
1.150	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00596	272
1.151	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00597	273
1.152	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00598	274
1.153	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00600	278
1.154	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00608	283
1.155	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00609	289
1.156	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00623	291
1.157	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00630	293
1.158	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00633	294
1.159	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00634	296
1.160	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00635	297
1.161	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00636	298
1.162	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00637	300
1.163	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00643	302
1.164	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00645	303
1.165	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00650	304
1.166	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00661	305
1.167	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00662	306
1.168	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00664	307

1.169	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00665	308
1.170	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00666	313
1.171	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00667	319
1.172	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00668	321
1.173	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00669	323
1.174	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00670	325
1.175	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00673	327
1.176	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00693	328
1.177	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00695	329
1.178	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00696	330
1.179	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00698	331
1.180	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00703	332
1.181	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00704	333
1.182	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00709	334
1.183	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00710	335
1.184	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00711	337
1.185	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00712	338
1.186	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00714	339
1.187	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00715	340
1.188	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00717	341
1.189	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00718	343
1.190	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00719	344
1.191	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00735	345
1.192	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00736	346
1.193	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00737	348
1.194	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00738	349
1.195	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00748	350
1.196	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00754	351
1.197	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00755	354
1.198	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00756	355
1.199	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00757	356
1.200	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00759	358
1.201	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00760	359
1.202	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00762	361
1.203	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00764	363
1.204	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00765	364
1.205	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00766	366
1.206	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00773	369
1.207	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00775	369
1.208	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00779	370
1.209	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00787	372
1.210	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00817	372
1.211	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00818	373

1.212	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00819	375
1.213	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00820	376
1.214	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00821	377
1.215	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00822	378
1.216	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00823	379
1.217	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00826	380
1.218	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00830	381
1.219	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00838	382
1.220	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00839	384
1.221	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00850	387
1.222	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00853	389
1.223	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00854	389
1.224	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00874	390
1.225	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00915	392
1.226	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00920	393
1.227	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00952	400
1.228	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00953	401
1.229	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00954	404
1.230	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00956	407
1.231	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00958	410
1.232	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00966	411
1.233	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00968	412
1.234	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00969	413
1.235	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00976	414
1.236	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00984	416
1.237	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00985	417
1.238	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00992	418
1.239	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00993	419
1.240	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00995	420
1.241	Specification Item SWS_Dem_00999	421
1.242	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01042	423
1.243	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01043	424
1.244	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01044	424
1.245	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01045	425
1.246	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01047	426
1.247	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01078	428
1.248	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01080	429
1.249	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01084	430
1.250	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01095	431
1.251	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01096	432
1.252	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01097	433
1.253	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01098	434
1.254	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01099	435



1.255	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01100	436
1.256	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01101	440
1.257	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01102	442
1.258	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01112	442
1.259	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01137	443
1.260	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01138	445
1.261	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01139	446
1.262	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01188	447
1.263	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01190	448
1.264	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01193	449
1.265	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01202	452
1.266	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01203	454
1.267	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01204	455
1.268	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01205	456
1.269	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01206	457
1.270	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01216	457
1.271	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01217	459
1.272	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01221	460
1.273	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01222	461
1.274	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01223	462
1.275	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01224	463
1.276	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01225	465
1.277	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01234	466
1.278	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01235	467
1.279	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01236	468
1.280	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01240	469
1.281	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01241	470
1.282	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01253	471
1.283	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01261	475
1.284	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01268	479
1.285	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01272	480
1.286	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01280	482
1.287	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01295	483
1.288	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01296	486
1.289	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01297	488
1.290	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01298	490
1.291	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01299	492
1.292	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01300	494
1.293	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01301	497
1.294	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01302	499
1.295	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01303	501
1.296	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01304	503
1.297	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01305	506



1.298	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01306	509
1.299	Specification Item SWS_Dem_01307	511
1.300	Specification Item SWS_Dem_91015	513
1.301	Specification Item SWS_Dem_91016	519
1.302	Specification Item SWS_Dem_91017	522
1.303	Specification Item SWS_Dem_91020	527
1.304	Specification Item SWS_Dem_91023	532
1.305	Specification Item SWS_Dem_91024	539
1.306	Specification Item SWS_Dem_91025	540
1.307	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06118	542
1.308	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06119	543
1.309	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06120	544
1.310	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06121	546
1.311	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06122	548
1.312	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06123	549
1.313	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06124	551
1.314	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06125	553
1.315	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06126	554
1.316	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06127	556
1.317	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06128	558
1.318	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06129	559
1.319	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06130	561
1.320	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06131	563
1.321	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06132	564
1.322	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06133	566
1.323	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06134	568
1.324	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06135	569
1.325	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06136	571
1.326	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06137	573
1.327	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06138	574
1.328	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06139	576
1.329	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06140	578
1.330	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06141	579
1.331	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06142	581
1.332	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06143	583
1.333	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06144	584
1.334	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06145	586
1.335	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06146	588
1.336	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06147	589
1.337	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06148	591
1.338	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06149	593
1.339	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06150	594
1.340	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06151	596

1.341	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06152 . . . . .	598
1.342	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06153 . . . . .	599
1.343	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06154 . . . . .	601
1.344	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06155 . . . . .	603
1.345	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_06156 . . . . .	604
1.346	Specification Item SWS_Dem_CONSTR_6117 . . . . .	606

# 1 SWS\_DiagnosticEventManager

## 1.1 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00602

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemConsiderPtoStatusDemObdDTC.DemConsiderPtoStatus		
Parent Container	DemObdDTC		
Description	This parameter is TRUE, when the event is affected by the Dem PTO handling.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucBooleanParamDef		
Default value	—		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

FIM

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.2 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00605

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemMaxNumberFreezeFrameRecordsDemDTCAAttributes.DemMaxNumberFreezeFrameRecords		
Parent Container	DemDTCAAttributes		
Description	This parameter defines the number of according freeze frame records, which can maximal be stored for this event. Therefore all these freeze frame records have the same freeze frame class.  This parameter is only required for calculated record numeration (refer to DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration).		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	0 .. 255		
Default value	–		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration = DEM_FF_RECNUM_CALCULATED		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.3 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00614

#### Trace References:

none

#### Content:

Name	DemDataElementDataSizeDemInternalDataElementClass.DemDataElementDataSize		
Parent Container	DemInternalDataElementClass		
Description	Defines the size of the data element in bytes.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	1 .. 255		
Default value	—		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemInternalDataElement		

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEven-



tRef or  
FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.4 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00623

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemAgingCycleCounterThresholdDemDTCAttributes.DemAgingCycleCounterThreshold		
Parent Container	DemDTCAttributes		
Description	Number of aging cycles needed to unlearn/delete the event.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	1 .. 255		
Default value	-		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	-	
	Post-build time	-	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	-	
	Post-build time	-	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemAgingAllowed		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.5 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00624

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemAgingCycleRefDemDTCAttributes.DemAgingCycleRef		
Parent Container	DemDTCAttributes		
Description	Reference to the cycle which is triggering the aging of the event.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	Reference to [ DemOperationCycle ]		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemAgingAllowed, DemOperationCycleRef		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—  
see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—  
See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—  
RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.6 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00627

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemCallbackDTCStatusChangedFncDemCallbackDTCStatusChanged.DemCallbackDTCStatusChangedFnc
Parent Container	DemCallbackDTCStatusChanged

Description	Function name of prototype "DTCStatusChanged".  <b>Note:</b> If the parameter DemTriggerDcmReports is enabled, this parameter shall not be "Dcm_DemTriggerOnDTCStatus".		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucFunctionNameDef		
Default value	—		
maxLength	—		
minLength	—		
regularExpression	—		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU <b>dependency: DemTriggerDcmReport</b>		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEven-

tRef or  
FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #77729: Remove DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754]

#### **Problem description:**

Remove DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754] as the callback containers are optional and thus the semantics of DemTriggerDcmReports == FALSE is covered but not configuring the container.



Furthermore, the parameter is specified in DemGeneral. With the introduction of multiple instances of Clients (multiple memory sets, parallel UDS/OBD,...) we get multiple instances of DCM. This can no longer be handled with one global switch. The multiple instances might require a callback or not. Furthermore the function names would need to be different (which is also not covered with one single switch).  
–Last change on issue 77729 comment 1–

**Agreed solution:**

set parameter DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754] to optional and obsolete

Remove the note "If the parameter DemTriggerDcmReports is enabled, this parameter shall not be "Dcm\_DemTriggerOnDTCStatus"" in the following descriptions :

-ECUC\_Dem\_00627  
-ECUC\_Dem\_00824  
-ECUC\_Dem\_00826

In the note under SWS\_Dem\_00830, remove the first sentence: "The configuration parameter DemTriggerDcmReports enables this function." and keep the rest of the note.

–Last change on issue 77729 comment 7–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	3	1

## 1.7 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00638

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemDebounceCounterJumpDownValueDemDebounceCounterBasedClass.DemDebounceCounterJumpDownValue	
Parent Container	DemDebounceCounterBasedClass	
Description	Jump-Down value of the internal debounce counter which is taken as initialization value for the counter when the respective step-down occurs.	
Multiplicity	1	
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef	
Range	-32768 .. 32767	
Default value	0	
Post-Build Variant Value	false	

Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemDebounceCounterJumpDown		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.8 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00639

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemDebounceCounterJumpUpValueDemDebounceCounterBasedClass.DemDebounceCounterJumpUpValue		
Parent Container	DemDebounceCounterBasedClass		
Description	Jump-Up value of the internal debounce counter which is taken as initialization value for the counter when the respective step-up occurs.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	-32768 .. 32767		
Default value	0		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	

Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemDebounceCounterJumpUp
--------------------	---

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

### DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.9 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00704

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemPTOSupportDemGeneral.DemPTOSupport		
Parent Container	DemGeneral		
Description	This configuration switch defines, whether PTO support (and therefore PID \$1E support) is enabled or not.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucBooleanParamDef		
Default value	–		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.10 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00705

#### Trace References:

none

#### Content:

Name	DemPidIdentifierDemPidClass.DemPidIdentifier		
Parent Container	DemPidClass		
Description	identifier of the PID		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	0 .. 255		
Default value	–		
Post-Build Variant Value	true		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:



AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**  
—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**  
—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**  
—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.11 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00733

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemPidDataElementClassRefDemPidDataElement.DemPidDataElementClassRef		
Parent Container	DemPidDataElement		
Description	This reference contains the link to a data element class.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	Reference to [ DemDataElementClass ]		
Post-Build Variant Value	true		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

---

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

---

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

---

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

---

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

## BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.12 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00735

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemDiagnosticEventRefDemRatio.DemDiagnosticEventRef		
Parent Container	DemRatio		
Description	This reference contains the link to a diagnostic event.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	Reference to [ DemEventParameter ]		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU <b>dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU</b>		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.13 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00736

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemFunctionIdRefDemRatio.DemFunctionIdRef		
Parent Container	DemRatio		
Description	This reference contains the link to a function identifier within the FiM which is used as a primary FID.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	Symbolic name reference to [ FiMFID ]		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.14 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00737

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**



Name	DemIUMPRGroupDemRatio.DemIUMPRGroup
Parent Container	DemRatio
Description	This parameter specifies the assigned IUMPR group of the ratio Id.
Multiplicity	1
Type	EcucEnumerationParamDef

Range	DEM_IUMPR_AFRI1Dem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_AFRI1	Air Fuel Ratio Imbalance Monitor Bank 1
	DEM_IUMPR_AFRI2Dem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_AFRI2	Air Fuel Ratio Imbalance Monitor Bank 2
	DEM_IUMPR_BOOSTPRSDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_BOOSTPRS	–
	DEM_IUMPR_CAT1Dem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_CAT1	–
	DEM_IUMPR_CAT2Dem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_CAT2	–
	DEM_IUMPR_EGRDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_EGR	–
	DEM_IUMPR_EGSENSORDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_EGSENSOR	–
	DEM_IUMPR_EVAPDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_EVAP	–
	DEM_IUMPR_FLSYSDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_FLSYS	–
	DEM_IUMPR_NMHCCATDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_NMHCCAT	–
	DEM_IUMPR_NOXADSORBDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_NOXADSORB	–
	DEM_IUMPR_NOXCATDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_NOXCAT	–
	DEM_IUMPR_OXS1Dem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_OXS1	–
	DEM_IUMPR_OXS2Dem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_OXS2	–
	DEM_IUMPR_PF1Dem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_PF1	Particulate Filter Monitor Bank 1
	DEM_IUMPR_PF2Dem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_PF2	Particulate Filter Monitor Bank 2
	DEM_IUMPR_PMFILTERDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_PMFILTER	–
	DEM_IUMPR_PRIVATEDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_PRIVATE	–
	DEM_IUMPR_SAIRDem Ratio.Dem IUMPRGroup.DEM_IUMPR_SAIR	–
	DEM_IUMPR_SECOXS1Dem Ratio.Dem	–

Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)**DCM**

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)**Rte**

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.15 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00754

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemTriggerDcmReportsDemGeneral.DemTriggerDcmReports
Parent Container	DemGeneral
Description	Activate/Deactivate the notification to the Diagnostic Communication Manager for ROE processing.  true: Dcm ROE notification activated false: Dcm ROE notification deactivated  Tags: atp.Status=obsolete
Multiplicity	1 0..1
Type	EcucBooleanParamDef
Default value	–
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU		

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77729: Remove DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754]

### Problem description:

Remove DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754] as the callback containers are optional and thus the semantics of DemTriggerDcmReports == FALSE is covered but not configuring the container.

Furthermore, the parameter is specified in DemGeneral. With the introduction of multiple instances of Clients (multiple memory sets, parallel UDS/OBD,...) we get multiple instances of DCM. This can no longer be handled with one global switch. The multiple instances might require a callback or not. Furthermore the function names would need to be different (which is also not covered with one single switch).  
–Last change on issue 77729 comment 1–

### Agreed solution:

set parameter DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754] to optional and obsolete

Remove the note "If the parameter DemTriggerDcmReports is enabled, this parameter shall not be "Dcm\_DemTriggerOnDTCStatus"" in the following descriptions :

-ECUC\_Dem\_00627  
-ECUC\_Dem\_00824  
-ECUC\_Dem\_00826

In the note under SWS\_Dem\_00830, remove the first sentence: "The configuration parameter DemTriggerDcmReports enables this function." and keep the rest of the note.

–Last change on issue 77729 comment 7–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	3	1

## 1.16 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00755

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemEventOBDR readinessGroupDemObdDTC.DemEventOBDR readinessGroup
Parent Container	DemObdDTC
Description	This parameter specifies the Event OBD Readiness group for PID \$01 and PID \$41 computation. This parameter is only applicable for emission-related ECUs.
Multiplicity	0..1
Type	EcucEnumerationParamDef

Range	DEM_OBD_RDY_ACDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_AC	A/C system component - spark
	DEM_OBD_RDY_BOOSTPRDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_BOOSTPR	Boost Pressure System - compr.
	DEM_OBD_RDY_CATDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_CAT	Catalyst - spark
	DEM_OBD_RDY_CMPCMPDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_CMPCMP	Comprehensive component - spark, compr.
	DEM_OBD_RDY_EGRDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_EGR	EGR system - spark, compr.
	DEM_OBD_RDY_EGSENSDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_EGSENS	Exhaust Gas Sensor - compr.
	DEM_OBD_RDY_EVAPDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_EVAP	Evaporative system - spark
	DEM_OBD_RDY_FLSYSDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_FLSYS	Fuel system - spark, compr.
	DEM_OBD_RDY_FLSYS_NONCONTDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_FLSYS_NONCONT	Non-Continuous Fuel system - spark, compr
	DEM_OBD_RDY_HCCATDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_HCCAT	Non-Methan HC Catalyst - compr.
	DEM_OBD_RDY_HTCATDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_HTCAT	Heated catalyst - spark
	DEM_OBD_RDY_MISFDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_MISF	Misfire - spark, compr.
	DEM_OBD_RDY_NONEDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_NONE	None - spark, compr.
	DEM_OBD_RDY_NOXCATDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_NOXCAT	NOx Catalyst - compr.
	DEM_OBD_RDY_O2SENSDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_O2SENS	Oxygen sensor - spark
	DEM_OBD_RDY_O2SENSHTDem ObdDTC.DemEvent OBDReadiness Group.DEM_OBD_RDY_O2SENSHT	Oxygen sensor heater - spark

Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :



SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

#### DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.17 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00757

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOBDDInputEngineSpeedDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputEngineSpeed
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD
Description	Input variable for the engine speed, which is assigned to a specific data element used as interface for the Dem-internal PID calculations.
Multiplicity	0..1
Type	Choice reference to [ DemExternalCSDataElementClass , DemExternalSRDataElementClass ]
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at

least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.18 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00758

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOBDDInputVehicleSpeedDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputVehicleSpeed
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD
Description	Input variable for the vehicle speed, which is assigned to a specific data element used as interface for the Dem-internal PID calculations.
Multiplicity	0..1
Type	Choice reference to [ DemExternalCSDataElementClass , DemExternalSRDataElementClass ]
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at

least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

#### DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.19 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00759

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOBDDInputDistanceInformationDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputDistanceInformation
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD
Description	Input variable for the distance information, which is assigned to a specific data element used as interface for the Dem-internal PID calculations.
Multiplicity	0..1
Type	Choice reference to [ DemExternalCSDataElementClass , DemExternalSRDataElementClass ]
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at

least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

#### DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.20 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00760

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOBDDInputProgrammingEventDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputProgrammingEvent
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD
Description	Input variable for the programming event, which is assigned to a specific data element used as interface for the Dem-internal PID calculations.
Multiplicity	0..1
Type	Choice reference to [ DemExternalCSDataElementClass , DemExternalSRDataElementClass ]
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at



least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.21 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00761

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOBDDInputAmbientTemperatureDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputAmbientTemperature
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD
Description	Input variable for the ambient temperature, which is assigned to a specific data element used as interface for the Dem-internal PID calculations.
Multiplicity	0..1
Type	Choice reference to [ DemExternalCSDataElementClass , DemExternalSRDataElementClass ]
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at

least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.22 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00762

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOBDDInputAmbientPressureDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputAmbientPressure
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD
Description	Input variable for the ambient pressure, which is assigned to a specific data element used as interface for the Dem-internal PID calculations.
Multiplicity	0..1
Type	Choice reference to [ DemExternalCSDataElementClass , DemExternalSRDataElementClass ]
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at

least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

#### DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.23 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00763

#### Trace References:

none

#### Content:

Name	DemOBDDInputAcceleratorPedalInformationDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputAcceleratorPedalInformation
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD
Description	Input variable for the accelerator pedal information, which is assigned to a specific data element used as interface for the Dem-internal PID calculations.
Multiplicity	0..1
Type	Choice reference to [ DemExternalCSDataElementClass , DemExternalSRDataElementClass ]
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at

least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

#### DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.24 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00764

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOperationCycleStatusStorageDemGeneral.DemOperationCycleStatusStorage
Parent Container	DemGeneral
Description	Defines if the operation cycle state is available over the power cycle (stored non-volatile) or not. true: the operation cycle state is stored non-volatile false: the operation cycle state is only stored volatile
Multiplicity	1
Type	EcucBooleanParamDef
Default value	–
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.



## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.25 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00767

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOccurrenceCounterProcessingDemGeneral.DemOccurrenceCounterProcessing
Parent Container	DemGeneral
Description	This configuration switch defines the consideration of the fault confirmation process for the occurrence counter. For OBD and mixed systems (OBD/non OBD, refer to DemOBDSupport) configuration switch shall always set to DEM_PROCESS_OCCCTR_TF.
Multiplicity	1
Type	EcucEnumerationParamDef

Range	DEM_PROCESS_OCCCTR_CDTC General.DemOccurrence CounterProcessing.DEM_PROCESS_OCCCTR_CDTC	The DemOccurrence counter is triggered by the TestFailed bit if the fault confirmation was successful (ConfirmedDTC bit is set)	
	DEM_PROCESS_OCCCTR_TF General.DemOccurrence CounterProcessing.DEM_PROCESS_OCCCTR_TF	DemOccurrence counter is only triggered by the TestFailed bit (and the fault confirmation is not considered) This parameter is mandatory in case of J1939.	
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU <span style="color: red;">dependency: DemOBDSupport, DemGeneralJ1939</span>		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.26 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00772

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemOBDDInputEngineTemperatureDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputEngineTemperature
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD
Description	Input variable for the engine temperature, which is assigned to a specific data element used as interface for the Dem-internal PID calculations.
Multiplicity	0..1

Type	Choice reference to [ DemExternalCSDataElementClass , DemExternalSRDataElementClass ]		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

## Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMinhSumRef or FiMinhEventRef or FiMinhComponentRef shall be configured.

### DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

### DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

### Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.27 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00776

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemFreezeFrameRecNumClassRefDemDTCAttributes.DemFreezeFrameRecNumClassRef
Parent Container	DemDTCAttributes
Description	<p>This parameter defines the list of dedicated freeze frame record numbers associated with the diagnostic event. These record numbers are assigned to the freeze frame records (instead of calculated record numbers).</p> <p>This parameter is only required for configured record numeration (refer to DemTypeOffreezeFrameRecordNumeration).</p>

Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	Reference to [ DemFreezeFrameRecNumClass ]		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration = DEM_FF_RECNUM_CONFIGURED		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
 FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
 FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—  
see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—  
See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—  
RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.28 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00782

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemSecondaryFunctionIdRefDemRatio.DemSecondaryFunctionIdRef
Parent Container	DemRatio
Description	This reference contains the link to a function identifier within the FiM which is used as a secondary FID.  The "primary" and all "secondary" FID inhibitions are combined by "OR".

Multiplicity	0..*		
Type	Symbolic name reference to [ FiMFID ]		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]



## Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMinhSumRef or FiMinhEventRef or FiMinhComponentRef shall be configured.

### DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

### DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

### Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.29 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00787

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemRatioIdDemRatio.DemRatioId
Parent Container	DemRatio
Description	Defines a unique ratio Id.  This parameter should not be changeable by user, because the Id should be generated by Dem itself to prevent gaps and multiple use of an Id. The ratio Ids should be sequentially ordered beginning with 0 and no gaps in between.
Multiplicity	1

Type	EcucIntegerParamDef (Symbolic Name generated for this parameter)		
Range	0 .. 65535		
Default value	—		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at

least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

#### DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.30 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00791

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemDebounceCounterStorageDemDebounceCounterBasedClass.DemDebounceCounterStorage
Parent Container	DemDebounceCounterBasedClass
Description	Switch to store the debounce counter value non-volatile or not. true: debounce counter value shall be stored non-volatile false: debounce counter value is volatile
Multiplicity	1
Type	EcucBooleanParamDef
Default value	false
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: local dependency: DemOperationCycleStatusStorage = True		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.31 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00794

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOBDCentralizedPID21HandlingDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDCentralizedPID21Handling		
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD		
Description	Switch to enable the centralized handling of PID \$21. true: centralized handling of PID \$21 enabled false: centralized handling of PID \$21 disabled		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucBooleanParamDef		
Default value	–		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	

Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU
--------------------	--

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or  
FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

### DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

---

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

---

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.32 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00795

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOBDDemGeneralOBDDemOBDDemCompliance		
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD		
Description	Configuration value to define the appropriate value to PID\$1C "OBD requirements to which vehicle or engine is certified." according to the respective standards, e.g. OBD, OBDII, JOBD etc. Notice as well J1979 or the "DiagnosticReadiness 1" DM05 message of J1939-73		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	0 .. 255		
Default value	-		
Post-Build Variant Value	true		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	-	
	Post-build time	-	

Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: (DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU) or (DemJ1939Readiness1Support == true)		

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.



## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.33 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00796

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemOBDEventDisplacementDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEventDisplacement		
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD		
Description	Activate/Deactivate a different displacement behavior for OBD events. OBD events with special Conditions (e.g. Pending, MIL_On...) shall not be displaced.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucBooleanParamDef		
Default value	–		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	

Scope / Dependency	scope: local dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU
--------------------	--

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.34 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00800

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemFreezeFrameRecordClassRefDemFreezeFrameRecNumClass.DemFreezeFrameRecordClassRef		
Parent Container	DemFreezeFrameRecNumClass		
Description	This parameter references record number(s) for a freeze frame record. Attributes: requiresIndex=true		
Multiplicity	1..254		
Type	Reference to [ DemFreezeFrameRecordClass ]		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	

Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration = DEM_FF_RECNUM_CONFIGURED
--------------------	---

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or  
FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.35 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00803

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemFreezeFrameRecordTriggerDemFreezeFrameRecordClass.DemFreezeFrameRecordTrigger
Parent Container	DemFreezeFrameRecordClass
Description	Defines the trigger to store the FreezeFrameRecord.
Multiplicity	1
Type	EcucEnumerationParamDef

Range	DEM_TRIGGER_ON_CONFIRMED FreezeFrameRecord Class.DemFreezeFrame RecordTrigger.DEM_TRIGGER_ON_CONFIRMED	Event Memory entries are triggered if the UDS status bit 3 (confirmedDTC) changes from 0 to 1.	
	DEM_TRIGGER_ON_FDC_THRESHOLD FreezeFrameRecord Class.DemFreezeFrame RecordTrigger.DEM_TRIGGER_ON_FDC_THRESHOLD	Event Memory entries are triggered when the FDC threshold is reached.	
	DEM_TRIGGER_ON_PENDING FreezeFrameRecord Class.DemFreezeFrame RecordTrigger.DEM_TRIGGER_ON_PENDING	Event Memory entries are triggered if the UDS status bit 2 (pendingDTC) changes from 0 to 1.	
	DEM_TRIGGER_ON_TEST_FAILED FreezeFrameRecord Class.DemFreezeFrame RecordTrigger.DEM_TRIGGER_ON_TEST_FAILED	Event Memory entries are triggered if the UDS status bit 0 (test Failed) changes from 0 to 1.	
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: local dependency: DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration == DEM_FF_RECNUM_CONFIGURED		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

FIM

—  
Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—  
see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—  
See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—  
RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.36 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00807

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemDtrIdDemDtr.DemDtrId		
Parent Container	DemDtr		
Description	The index identifier value assigned to this DTR. The value is generated during the Dem configuration process.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef (Symbolic Name generated for this parameter)		
Range	0 .. 65535		
Default value	-		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	-	
	Post-build time	-	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the



following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or  
FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at  
least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be  
configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.37 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00808

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemDtrEventRefDemDtr.DemDtrEventRef		
Parent Container	DemDtr		
Description	Reference to the DemEventParameter this DTR is related to. If the related event is not configured, the Dem cannot ensure consistency between the DTR and the event.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	Reference to [ DemEventParameter ]		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.38 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00809

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemDtrMidDemDtr.DemDtrMid
------	---------------------------

Parent Container	DemDtr		
Description	The OBDMID of the DTR. The values 0x00, 0x20, 0x40, 0x60, 0x80, 0xA0, 0xC0, 0xE0 are reserved.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	0 .. 255		
Default value	—		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	true		
Post-Build Variant Value	true		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.39 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00810

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemDtrTidDemDtr.DemDtrTid		
Parent Container	DemDtr		
Description	The OBDTID of the DTR.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	0 .. 255		
Default value	–		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	true		
Post-Build Variant Value	true		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.40 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00811

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemDtrUasIdDemDtr.DemDtrUasId		
Parent Container	DemDtr		
Description	The UaSid the DTR data shall be scaled to, and reported together with the rescaled DTR data.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	0 .. 255		
Default value	–		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	true		
Post-Build Variant Value	true		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or



FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.41 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00812

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemDtrUpdateKindDemDtr.DemDtrUpdateKind		
Parent Container	DemDtr		
Description	Update conditions applied by the Dem to reports of DTR values. Only supported if a related Event is configured . If no related Event is configured, the Dem behaves as if DemDtrUpdate Kind is configured to "DEM_DTR_UPDATE_ALWAYS".		
Multiplicity	0..1 1		
Type	EcucEnumerationParamDef		
Range	DEM_DTR_UPDATE_ALWAYSDemDtr.DemDtrUpdate Kind.DEM_DTR_UPDATE_ALWAYS	Any DTR result reported by the monitor is used by the Dem.	
	DEM_DTR_UPDATE_STEADYDemDtr.DemDtrUpdate Kind.DEM_DTR_UPDATE_STEADY	The Dem accepts reported DTRs only when the configured debouncing mechanism is stable at the FAIL or PASS limit.	
Default value	DemDtr.DemDtrUpdateKind.DEM_DTR_UPDATE_ALWAYS		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: local dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU, DemDtrEventRef		

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #77941: [Dem] DTR handling

### Problem description:

1) [SWS\_Dem\_00754] The Dem shall use the DemDtrUpdateKind for the evaluation and processing of reported DTR-values, i.e. DTRs are only forwarded to the Dcm, if the related event (if it is configured) has a certain state  
-> the second part starting with "i.e. DTRs are ..." in the above requirement is misleading and should be removed.

2) DemDtrUpdateKind in description already mentions the default value. This should be made explicit.

3) DemDtrUasid is defined as post-build modifiable. However, if the UASID is changed, then it would generally be necessary to also change the coefficients of conversion. But those parameters are not postbuild.

4) The following requirement is unclear concerning consideration of storage-condition and enablecondition:

[SWS\_Dem\_00757] Based on DemDtrUpdateKind and the status of the associated event (taking enable/storage conditions into account), the Dem shall either process or ignore the reported DTR values.

5) SWS\_Dem\_00765 mentions that the API Dem\_SetDTR() is reentrant  
-> it should only be reentrant for different dtrids

#### **Agreed solution:**

1) in [SWS\_Dem\_00754] remove the following text:  
"i.e. DTRs are only forwarded to the Dcm, if the related event (if it is configured) has a certain state"

2) in DemDtrUpdateKind [ECUC\_Dem\_00812]:  
change description to "Update conditions applied by the Dem to reports of DTR values. Only supported if a related Event is configured".  
Add default value "DEM\_DTR\_UPDATE\_ALWAYS"  
Set multiplicity to 1.

4) change [SWS\_Dem\_00757] to:  
If DemDtrEventRef references a DemEventParameter, the Dem shall process the reported DTR values only if all the enable/storage conditions of the referenced event are fulfilled, independent of DemDtrUpdateKind. If any of the enable/storage conditions of the referenced event are not fulfilled, then the Dem shall ignore the reported DTR values.

5) in SWS\_Dem\_00765 (Dem\_SetDTR) change Reentrancy to: "Reentrant for different DTRIds. Non reentrant for the same DTRId."  
—Last change on issue 77941 comment 4—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

**1.42 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00814****Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemDtrCompuNumerator1DemDtr.DemDtrCompuNumerator1		
Parent Container	DemDtr		
Description	Part of the conversion between the binary representation and the physical meaning analogous to the SW-C Template conversion CompuRationalCoeffs with 2 numerator coefficients and 1 denominator coefficient in the direction compulInternalToPhys. This is the second numerator value, which is multiplied with $x_1$ , i.e., the factor.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucFloatParamDef		
Range	[-INF .. INF]		
Default value	-		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	-	
	Post-build time	-	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

---

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

---

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

---

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

---

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

## BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.43 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00815

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemDtrCompuDenominator0DemDtr.DemDtrCompuDenominator0		
Parent Container	DemDtr		
Description	Part of the conversion between the binary representation and the physical meaning analogous to the SW-C Template conversion CompuRationalCoeffs with 2 numerator coefficients and 1 denominator coefficient in the direction compuInternalToPhys. This is the only one supported denominator value, a constant divisor.  The value 0 is not allowed.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucFloatParamDef		
Range	[-INF .. INF]		
Default value	-		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	-	
	Post-build time	-	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1



## 1.44 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00824

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemCallbackDTCStatusChangedFncDemCallbackJ1939DTCStatusChanged.DemCallbackDTCStatusChangedFnc		
Parent Container	DemCallbackJ1939DTCStatusChanged		
Description	Function name of prototype "DTCStatusChanged".  <b>Note: If the parameter DemTriggerDcmReports is enabled, this parameter shall not be "Dcm_DemTriggerOnDTCStatus".</b>		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucFunctionNameDef		
Default value	—		
maxLength	—		
minLength	—		
regularExpression	—		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU <b>dependency: DemTriggerDcmReport</b>		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

## BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #77729: Remove DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754]

**Problem description:**

Remove DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754] as the callback containers are optional and thus the semantics of DemTriggerDcmReports == FALSE is covered but not configuring the container.

Furthermore, the parameter is specified in DemGeneral. With the introduction of multiple instances of Clients (multiple memory sets, parallel UDS/OBD,...) we get multiple instances of DCM. This can no longer be handled with one global switch. The multiple instances might require a callback or not. Furthermore the function names would need to be different (which is also not covered with one single switch).  
–Last change on issue 77729 comment 1–

**Agreed solution:**

set parameter DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754] to optional and obsolete

Remove the note "If the parameter DemTriggerDcmReports is enabled, this parameter shall not be "Dcm\_DemTriggerOnDTCStatus"" in the following descriptions :

-ECUC\_Dem\_00627

-ECUC\_Dem\_00824

-ECUC\_Dem\_00826

In the note under SWS\_Dem\_00830, remove the first sentence: "The configuration parameter DemTriggerDcmReports enables this function." and keep the rest of the note.

–Last change on issue 77729 comment 7–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	3	1

## 1.45 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00826

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemCallbackDTCStatusChangedFncDemCallbackOBDDTCStatusChanged.DemCallbackDTCStatusChangedFnc		
Parent Container	DemCallbackOBDDTCStatusChanged		
Description	Function name of prototype "DTCStatusChanged". <b>Note:</b> If the parameter DemTriggerDcmReports is enabled, this parameter shall not be "Dcm_DemTriggerOnDTCStatus".		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucFunctionNameDef		
Default value	–		
maxLength	–		
minLength	–		
regularExpression	–		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU <b>dependency: DemTriggerDcmReport</b>		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #77729: Remove DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754]

### Problem description:

Remove DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754] as the callback containers are optional and thus the semantics of DemTriggerDcmReports == FALSE is covered but not configuring the container.

Furthermore, the parameter is specified in DemGeneral. With the introduction of multiple instances of Clients (multiple memory sets, parallel UDS/OBD,...) we get multiple instances of DCM. This can no longer be handled with one global switch. The multiple instances might require a callback or not. Furthermore the function names would need to be different (which is also not covered with one single switch).  
–Last change on issue 77729 comment 1–

#### Agreed solution:

set parameter DemTriggerDcmReports [ECUC\_Dem\_00754] to optional and obsolete

Remove the note "If the parameter DemTriggerDcmReports is enabled, this parameter shall not be "Dcm\_DemTriggerOnDTCStatus"" in the following descriptions :

-ECUC\_Dem\_00627

-ECUC\_Dem\_00824

-ECUC\_Dem\_00826

In the note under SWS\_Dem\_00830, remove the first sentence: "The configuration parameter DemTriggerDcmReports enables this function." and keep the rest of the note.

–Last change on issue 77729 comment 7–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	3	1

## 1.46 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00838

#### Trace References:

none

#### Content:

Name	DemIUMPRDenGroupDemRatio.DemIUMPRDenGroup
Parent Container	DemRatio
Description	This parameter specifies the assigned denominator type which is applied in addition to the DEM_IUMPR_GENERAL_INDIVIDUAL_DENOMINATOR conditions.
Multiplicity	1

Type	EcucEnumerationParamDef		
Range	DEM_IUMPR_DEN_500MILLDenRatio.DemIUMPRDenGroup.DEM_IUMPR_DEN_500MILL	Additional condition based on definition of 500miles conditions as defined for OBD2.	
	DEM_IUMPR_DEN_COLDSTARRatio.DemIUMPRDenGroup.DEM_IUMPR_DEN_COLDSTART	Additional condition based on definition of "cold start" as defined for EU5+	
	DEM_IUMPR_DEN_EVAPDenRatio.DemIUMPRDenGroup.DEM_IUMPR_DEN_EVAP	Additional condition based on definition of "EVAP" conditions as defined for OBD2.	
	DEM_IUMPR_DEN_NONEDenRatio.DemIUMPRDenGroup.DEM_IUMPR_DEN_NONE	No further condition. Denominator increments based on GENERAL_INDIVIDUAL_DENOMINATOR only.	
	DEM_IUMPR_DEN_PHYS_APDenRatio.DemIUMPRDenGroup.DEM_IUMPR_DEN_PHYS_AP	Additional physical condition (component activity) computed within the SW-C and reported via Dem_ReplUMPRDenLock / Dem_ReplUMPRDenRelease.	
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the

following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or  
FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.47 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00839

**Trace References:**

none



**Content:**

Name	DemOBDDGroupingAssociativeEventsRefDemEventParameter.DemOBDDGroupingAssociativeEventsRef		
Parent Container	DemEventParameter		
Description	This parameter defines a reference which points to a representative event of one group of associate events. The "reverence event" must refer to it self. Note: One event is only allowed to be reverenced to only one group of associate events.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	Reference to [ DemEventParameter ]		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEven-

tRef or  
FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.48 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00840

**Trace References:**

none

## Content:

Name	DemDataElementDataTypeDemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementDataType		
Parent Container	DemExternalSRDataElementClass		
Description	Provide the implementation data type of data belonging to a external data.		
Multiplicity	1		
Type	EcucEnumerationParamDef		
Range	BOOLEANDemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementDataType.BOOLEAN	type of the data is boolean.	
	SINT16DemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementDataType.SINT16	type of the data is sint16.	
	SINT32DemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementDataType.SINT32	type of the data is sint32.	
	SINT8DemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementDataType.SINT8	type of the data is sint8.	
	UINT16DemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementDataType.UINT16	type of the data is uint16.	
	UINT32DemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementDataType.UINT32	type of the data is uint32.	
	UINT8DemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementDataType.UINT8	type of the data is uint8.	
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU <b>dependency: DemDataSize</b>		

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same names-

pace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**Rte**

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevEr-

rorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.49 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00841

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemDataElementEndiannessDemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementEndianness		
Parent Container	DemExternalSRDataElementClass		
Description	Defines the endianness of the data belonging to an external data.  If no DemDataElementEndianness is defined the value of DemDataElementDefaultEndianness is applicable.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucEnumerationParamDef		
Range	BIG_ENDIANDemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementEndianness.BIG_ENDIAN	Most significant byte shall come at the lowest address.	
	LITTLE_ENDIANDemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementEndianness.LITTLE_ENDIAN	Most significant byte shall come highest address	
	OPAQUEDemExternalSRDataElementClass.DemDataElementEndianness.OPAQUE	opaque data endianness	
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: local <b>dependency: DemDataElementDefaultEndianness</b>		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.50 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00843

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Choice container Name	DemDiagnosisScaling
Description	This container contains the configuration (parameters) of an alternative Diagnosis Representation. Out if this the scaling between Diagnosis and ECU internal representation and vice versa can be calculated.

### Included parameters:

No Included Parameters
------------------------

### Included containers:

Container Choices		
Container Name	Multiplicity	Scope / Dependency
DemAlternativeDataInterface	0..1	This container contains the configuration (parameters) of an alternative Diagnosis Representation by the means of a VariableDataPrototype in a Data Interface. Additionally a reference to PortInterfaceMapping can be defined which provide already the mapping rules between the VariableDataPrototype in a DataInterface used by the software component (DemSRDataElementClass) and the intended Diagnosis Representation defined by DemExternalSRDataElementClass.

Container Choices		
Container Name	Multiplicity	Scope / Dependency
DemAlternativeDataType	0..1	This container contains the configuration (parameters) of an alternative Diagnosis Representation by the means of an ApplicationDataType. Additionally the definition of a text table mapping can be a defined for ApplicationDataTypes that refers to a CompuMethod of category TEXTTABLE and SCALE_LINEAR_AND_TEXTTABLE.
DemAlternativeDiagnosticDataElement	0..1	This container contains the configuration (parameters) of an alternative Diagnosis Representation by the means of Diagnostic Extract.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76100: Misleading category on data type for AlternativeDataType

#### Problem description:

The description of the category in ECUC\_Dem\_00847 and ECUC\_Dem\_00847 is somewhat misleading. TEXTTABLE and SCALE\_LINEAR\_AND\_TEXTTABLE shall be set on the CompuMethod referenced by the data type and not on the data type itself.

#### Agreed solution:

In ECUC\_Dem\_00847, change:

Additionally the definition of a text table mapping can be a defined for ApplicationDataTypes of category TEXTTABLE and SCALE\_LINEAR\_AND\_TEXTTABLE.

to:

Additionally the definition of a text table mapping can be a defined for ApplicationDataTypes that refers to a CompuMethod of category TEXTTABLE and SCALE\_LINEAR\_AND\_TEXTTABLE.

Also the same text appears in the description of DemAlternativeDataType in ECUC\_Dem\_00843 and would need the same change.

–Last change on issue 76100 comment 2–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1



## 1.51 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00847

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Container Name	DemAlternativeDataType
Description	This container contains the configuration (parameters) of an alternative Diagnosis Representation by the means of an ApplicationDataType. Additionally the definition of a text table mapping can be defined for ApplicationDataTypes <a href="#">that refers to a CompuMethod</a> of category TEXTTABLE and SCALE_LINEAR_AND_TEXTTABLE.
Configuration Parameters	

### Included parameters:

Included Parameters	
Parameter Name	SWS Item ID
DemApplicationDataType	ECUC_Dem_00848

### Included containers:

Included Containers		
Container Name	Multiplicity	Scope / Dependency
DemTextTableMapping	0..*	The purpose of the DemDspTextTable Mapping is to associate a texttable value defined in the context of the Dem to a texttable value defined in the context of a CompuMethod referenced by a Data Type that shall be taken to create a data Element in a SenderReceiverInterface. By this means it is possible to create a primitive version of a TexttableMapping (which can only be applied if a data Element already exists). In other words, the DemDspTextTableMapping provides a similar mechanism to the Texttable Mapping in a situation where the TexttableMapping cannot be applied since the SenderReceiverInterface for the PortPrototype on the Dem Service Component does not yet exist.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76100: Misleading category on data type for AlternativeDataType

#### Problem description:

The description of the category in ECUC\_Dem\_00847 and ECUC\_Dem\_00847 is somewhat misleading. TEXTTABLE and SCALE\_LINEAR\_AND\_TEXTTABLE shall be set on the CompuMethod referenced by the data type and not on the data type itself.

**Agreed solution:**

In ECUC\_Dem\_00847, change:

Additionally the definition of a text table mapping can be defined for ApplicationDataTypes of category TEXTTABLE and SCALE\_LINEAR\_AND\_TEXTTABLE.

to:

Additionally the definition of a text table mapping can be defined for ApplicationDataTypes that refers to a CompuMethod of category TEXTTABLE and SCALE\_LINEAR\_AND\_TEXTTABLE.

Also the same text appears in the description of DemAlternativeDataType in ECUC\_Dem\_00843 and would need the same change.

–Last change on issue 76100 comment 2–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.52 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00879

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemOBDCentralizedPID31HandlingDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDCentralizedPID31Handling
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD
Description	Switch to enable the centralized handling of PID \$31. true: centralized handling of PID \$31 enabled false: centralized handling of PID \$31 disabled
Multiplicity	1
Type	EcucBooleanParamDef
Default value	–
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)**DCM**

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)**Rte**

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.53 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00885

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemDtcValueDemObdDTC.DemDtcValue		
Parent Container	DemObdDTC		
Description	Unique Diagnostic Trouble Code value for OBD		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	1 .. 65535		
Default value	–		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	true		
Post-Build Variant Value	true		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD

Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	VARIANT-PRE-COMPILE
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	X	VARIANT-POST-BUILD
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.54 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00890

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name	DemMemoryDestinationRef
Description	The event destination assigns events to none, memory destination assigns DTCs to one or two origins. If no event destination is assigned to a specific event, the event is handled internally and is not visible externally to the Dcm. If memory destinations. If more than one event memory destination is assigned to a specific event, the event DTC, the DTC can be present in the corresponding origins. event memories. In this case one of the references has to be DemMirrorMemory (SWS_Dem_CONSTR_6104).
Multiplicity	0..2
Type	Choice reference to [ DemMirrorMemory , DemPrimaryMemory , DemUserDefinedMemory ]
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false
Post-Build Variant Value	false

Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75876: Change multiplicity of DemMemoryDestinationRef [ECUC\_Dem\_00890] to 1..2

#### Problem description:

Outcome of telco Wigbert/Alexander: The DemMemoryDestinationRef [ECUC\_Dem\_00890] has a multiplicity of 0..2. What is the 0 for? It seems to be a bug

#### Agreed solution:

Set multiplicity of DemMemoryDestinationRef [ECUC\_Dem\_00890] to 1..2

Description : The memory destination assigns DTCs to one or two memory destinations. If more than one memory destination is assigned to a specific DTC, the DTC can be present in the corresponding event memories. In this case one of the references has to be DemMirrorMemory (SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_6104).

–Last change on issue 75876 comment 3–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.55 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00894

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemReportBehaviorDemEventParameter.DemReportBehavior
Parent Container	DemEventParameter

Description	Indicates the reporting behavior of the BSW Module (DemEventKind == DEM_EVENT_KIND_BSW) in order to determine the size of the reporting queue.  If the parameter is not defined it means REPORT_BEFORE_INIT.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucEnumerationParamDef		
Range	REPORT_AFTER_INITDem EventParameter.DemReport Behav- ior.REPORT_AFTER_INIT	Indicates that the Event will not be reported before Dem_Init().	
	REPORT_BEFORE_INITDem EventParameter.DemReport Behav- ior.REPORT_BEFORE_INIT	Indicates that the Event may be reported before Dem_Init().	
Default value	DemEventParameter.DemReportBehavior.REPORT_BEFORE_INIT		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: local <b>dependency: DemEventKind == DEM_EVENT_KIND_BSW</b>		

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

FIM



—  
Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—  
see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—  
See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—  
RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.56 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00897

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemAgingCycleCounterThresholdForTFSLCDemDTCAttributes.DemAgingCycleCounterThresholdForTFSLC		
Parent Container	DemDTCAttributes		
Description	Number of aging cycles needed to reset the testFailedSinceLastClear Bit.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	1 .. 255		
Default value	-		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	-	
	Post-build time	-	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	-	
	Post-build time	-	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemStatusBitHandlingTestFailedSinceLastClear == DEM_STATUS_BIT_AGING_AND_DISPLACEMENT		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.57 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00898

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOperationCycleId		
Description	This parameter's value is used, together with the aggregating container, to define a symbolic name of the operation cycle.		
Multiplicity	0..1 1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef (Symbolic Name generated for this parameter)		
Range	0 .. 255		
Default value	–		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: local		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76214: [Dem] demoperationcycleid is optional

#### Problem description:

why is the parameter demoperationcycleid optional?  
it should be mandatory analogous to demeventid

#### Agreed solution:

change multiplicity of DemOperationCycleId [ECUC\_Dem\_00898] from 0..1 to 1  
–Last change on issue 76214 comment 4–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	3	1

## 1.58 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00899

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemMaxNumberEventEntryEventBufferDemGeneral.DemMaxNumberEventEntryEventBuffer		
Parent Container	DemGeneral		
Description	Specifies the size of the buffer for storing environmental data (freeze frames and extended data) until they are processed and stored to the event memory.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	1 .. 250		
Default value	—		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: Required for DemEnvironmentDataCapture == DEM_CAPTURE_SYNCHRONOUS_TO_REPORTING		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.59 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00900

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemOBDEngineTypeDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEngineType		
Parent Container	DemGeneralOBD		
Description	Switch to provide either Gasoline or Diesel parameters.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucEnumerationParamDef		
Range	DEM_IGNITION_COMPRESSIONDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEngineType.DEM_IGNITION_COMPRESSION	Diesel engine type	
	DEM_IGNITION_SPARKDemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEngineType.DEM_IGNITION_SPARK	Gasoline engine type	
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU dependency: DemOBDSupport == DEM_OBD_MASTER_ECU    DEM_OBD_PRIMARY_ECU		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

---

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

---

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

---

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

---

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

## BW-C-Level:



Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.60 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00914

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemCounterBasedFdcThresholdStorageValueDemDebounceCounterBasedClass.DemCounterBasedFdcThresholdStorageValue		
Parent Container	DemDebounceCounterBasedClass		
Description	Threshold to allocate an event memory entry and to capture the Freeze Frame.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucIntegerParamDef		
Range	1 .. 32767		
Default value	–		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	–	
	Post-build time	–	
Scope / Dependency	scope: local dependency: DemFreezeFrameRecordTrigger, DemExtendedDataRecordTrigger, DemEventMemoryEntryStorageTrigger = DEM_STORAGE_ON_FDC_THRESHOLD		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

## BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.61 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00915

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemTimeBasedFdcThresholdStorageValueDemDebounceTimeBaseClass.DemTimeBasedFdcThresholdStorageValue		
Parent Container	DemDebounceTimeBaseClass		
Description	Threshold to allocate an event memory entry and to capture the Freeze Frame.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucFloatParamDef		
Range	[0.001 .. 3600]		
Default value	-		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	-	
	Post-build time	-	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	-	
	Post-build time	-	
Scope / Dependency	scope: local dependency: DemFreezeFrameRecordTrigger, DemExtendedDataRecordTrigger, DemEventMemoryEntryStorageTrigger = DEM_STORAGE_ON_FDC_THRESHOLD		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

---

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

---

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

---

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

---

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

## BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.62 Specification Item ECUC\_Dem\_00938

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DemGeneralCallbackMonitorStatusChangedFncDemGeneral.DemGeneralCallbackMonitorStatusChangedFnc		
Parent Container	DemGeneral		
Description	Function name of prototype "<Module>_DemTriggerOnMonitorStatus". Function for the General Monitor Status Changed notification.		
Multiplicity	0..1		
Type	EcucFunctionNameDef		
Default value	—		
maxLength	—		
minLength	—		
regularExpression	—		
Post-Build Variant Multiplicity	false		
Post-Build Variant Value	false		
Multiplicity Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Value Configuration Class	Pre-compile time	X	All Variants
	Link time	—	
	Post-build time	—	
Scope / Dependency	scope: ECU <b>dependency: DemGeneralInterfaceSupport</b>		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**  
—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**  
—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**  
—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**Rte**  
—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.63 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00013****Trace References:**[SRS\\_Diag\\_04000](#)**Content:**

The Dem module shall support DTC formats for DemDTC according to:

- ISO-14229-1ISO\_2d\_14229\_2d\_1\_2d\_2013
- SAE J2012 OBD DTC (aka 2-byte DTC) SAE\_2d\_J2012DA
- SAE J1939-73SAE\_2d\_J1939\_2d\_73
- ISO 11992-4ISO\_2d\_11992\_2d\_4
- SAE J2012 WWH-OB DTC (aka 3-byte DTC) SAE\_2d\_J2012DA

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were

replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.64 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00019

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04133, SRS\_Diag\_04068, SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

The Dem module shall support at least an aging counter for each event memory entry.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**



Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.65 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00024

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04073

### Content:

In case DemGeneral.DemEventCombinationSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemEventCombinationSupport.DEM\_EVCOMB\_ONRETRIEVAL or DemGeneral.DemEventCombinationSupport.DEM\_EVCOMB\_ONSTORAGE, the combination of multiple events to a DTC is defined by referencing from each event to the same DTC.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76601: [Dem] corrupt requirement SWS\_Dem\_00024

#### Problem description:

drop requirement SWS\_Dem\_00024 as it is not a meaningful sentence

#### Agreed solution:

Revert SWS\_Dem\_00024 back to :

In case DemEventCombinationSupport is set to DEM\_EVCOMB\_ONRETRIEVAL or DEM\_EVCOMB\_ONSTORAGE, the combination of multiple events to a DTC is defined by referencing from each event to the same DTC.

–Last change on issue 76601 comment 3–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.66 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00057

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04057

### Content:

The function Dem\_SetDTCFilter shall set the filter criteria for the client with the same client ID for subsequent calls of::

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity
- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate.

The filter criteria attributes shall be used until the next call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter or Dem initialization.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77300: [Dem] Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate/Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate conflicting reqs

##### Problem description:

the requirements [SWS\_Dem\_01253] and [SWS\_Dem\_00057] are conflicting:

both mention to set the filter criteria for  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate and Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate  
but setting by different apis:  
Dem\_SelectDTC <--> Dem\_SetDTCFilter

##### Agreed solution:

Remove the Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate and Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate API from the function Dem\_SetDTCFilter in SWS\_Dem\_00057.

–Last change on issue 77300 comment 1–

##### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.67 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00061

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04000

**Content:**

The function Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC shall get the number of DTCs matching the filter criteria defined by the function call of Dem\_SetDTCFilterSWS\_Dem\_00057.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.68 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00074

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04074, SRS\_Diag\_04079 04204

### Content:

The function Dem\_GetSizeOfFreezeFrameSelection shall return the size of the requested freeze frame record(s) by Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData for the specified ClientId, which represents the number of user data bytes, including any freeze frame header information (according to the format defined in SWS\_Dem\_00071).

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.69 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00075

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04074

### Content:

The APIDem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord shall copy the complete data of the requested extended data record by Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord API for the specified ClientId to the destination buffer (DestBuffer). The function shall transmit these data as a complete record with the format shown in figure [REF fig\_3a\_buffer\_formats\_GetExtendedDataRecordByDTCLayout]. The extended data record number is placed as the first byte in the copied data.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76541: Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord shall provide the extended data record number as well

**Problem description:**

In RfC # 71838 it was forgotten, that Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord needs to provide the EDR number along with the data. If more than one EDR is requested by Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord it is unclear which EDR number is returned by Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord.

**Agreed solution:**

DEM:

1) Change SWS\_Dem\_00075 to:

The API Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord shall copy the extended record number and complete data of the requested extended data record by Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord API for the specified ClientId to the destination buffer (DestBuffer). The function shall transmit these data as a complete record with the format shown in figure 7.52. The extended data record number is placed as the first byte in the copied data. (SRS\_Diag\_04074)

2) Change the Description of "Figure 7.52: Buffer format used by Dem\_DcmGetExtendedDataRecordByDTC" to "Figure 7.52: Buffer format used by Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord"

3) Update the image 7.52 so that the first byte is the "EDR" number

=== BSW UML start ===

4) Change the DestBuffer out-param of Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord SWS\_Dem\_00239 to (it was a copy& paste from freeze frames):

"This parameter contains a byte pointer that points to the buffer, to which the extended data record shall be written to. The format is: ExtendedDataRecord-Number, data[0], data[1], ..., data[n]"

5) Change description of parameter SizeOfExtendedDataRecord in SWS\_Dem\_00240 to "Size of the requested extended data record(s) including record number. The format for a single ExtendedDataRecord is: RecordNumber, data[1], ..., data[N]"

=== BSW UML end ===

6) Change parameter description of ExtendedDataNumber in SWS\_Dem\_91017 to: "Identification/Number of requested extended data record(s). Additionally the values 0xFE and 0xFF are explicitly allowed to request."

DCM:

Update SWS\_Dcm\_00386:

[SWS\_Dcm\_00386] [ Upon reception of UDS Service 0x019 with subfunction 0x06 or 0x10 or 0x19 the Dcm shall retrieve from the Dem the stored extended data records for the requested DTC and origin.]  
–Last change on issue 76541 comment 22–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.70 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00080

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04000, SRS\_Diag\_04115

**Content:**

If the function Dem\_EnableDTCSetting is called, the Dem shall enable the storage of all events and UDS status byte.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem. The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.71 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00124

**Trace References:**

SRS\_BSW\_00406

**Content:**

If **development error detection is enabled** and any instance calls any Dem API , excluding **DemGeneral.DemDevErrorDetect** is set to **TRUE** and any Dem API excluding :

- **Dem\_SetEventStatus** ,
- **Dem\_ResetEventStatus**
- **Dem\_SetEventAvailable**
- **Dem\_ResetEventDebounceStatus** and
- **Dem\_GetVersionInfo** , **before the Dem was**

**is called before Dem has been** fully initialized, the Dem module shall set the error code **DEM\_E\_UNINIT**.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76754: [Dem] Inconsistency between [SWS\_Dem\_00124] and [SWS\_Dem\_01112]

**Problem description:**

[SWS\_Dem\_00124] and [SWS\_Dem\_01112] have list of excluded APIs. But they are inconsistent. I think they can be merged into one.

[SWS\_Dem\_00124] d If development error detection is enabled and any instance calls any Dem API, excluding Dem\_SetEventStatus, Dem\_ResetEventDebounceStatus and Dem\_GetVersionInfo, before the Dem was fully initialized, the Dem module shall set the error code DEM\_E\_UNINIT. c  
(SRS\_BSW\_00406)

[SWS\_Dem\_01112] d If development error detection is enabled and any instance calls any Dem API, excluding Dem\_SetEventStatus, Dem\_ResetEventStatus, Dem\_SetEventAvailable and Dem\_GetVersionInfo, before the Dem was fully initialized, the Dem module shall set the error code DEM\_E\_UNINIT. c  
(SRS\_BSW\_00406)  
–Last change on issue 76754 comment 4–

**Agreed solution:**

Remove [SWS\_Dem\_01112]  
Modify [SWS\_Dem\_00124] If DemDevErrorDetect is set to TRUE and any Dem API excluding :  
- Dem\_SetEventStatus,  
- Dem\_ResetEventStatus,  
- Dem\_SetEventAvailable,  
- Dem\_ResetEventDebounceStatus  
- Dem\_GetVersionInfo,  
is called before Dem has been fully initialized, the Dem module shall set the error code DEM\_E\_UNINIT. (SRS\_BSW\_00406)  
–Last change on issue 76754 comment 3–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1



## 1.72 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00161

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04070 04195

### Content:

The Dem module shall handle the reoccurrence of unlearned events like new events, since they were previously deleted from the event memory by aging.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.73 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00185

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_ResetEventStatusDem_ResetEventStatus	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_ResetEventStatus( Dem_EventIdType EventId )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x05	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different EventIds. Non reentrant for the same EventId.	
Parameters (in):	EventIdDem_ResetEventStatus.EventId	Identification of an event by assigned EventId.

Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: <b>reset of Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.</b> E_NOT_OK: <b>reset of Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.</b>
Description:	Resets the event failed status. This API can only be used through the RTE and therefore no declaration is exported via Dem.h.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.74 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00187

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04067

### Content:

The function Dem\_ResetEventStatus shall set the event status bit 0 (TestFailed) to 0 and reset the Dem-internal debounce algorithm to initial values if configured. **The Dem shall execute this action asynchronously at a later point in time.**

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77928: [Dem] make interfaces asynchronous (Topic 2 from RfC 76727 - Refine Dem Interface)

**Problem description:**

copied from Wigberts RFC 76727:

## 2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

==> copied into separate rfc as it is a independent different topic and can be discussed separately

**Agreed solution:**

## 2) Make

- Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00185 and operation ResetEventStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00598 to:

E\_OK: Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_01080 and operation SetEventAvailable of SWS\_Dem\_01193 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the availability status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the availability status not accepted.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00839 and operation SetWIRStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00838 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was not be accepted (e.g. disabled controlDTCSetting) and should be repeated.

Modify the [SWS\_Dem\_00187] to

The function Dem\_ResetEventStatus shall trigger the setting of the event status bit 0 (TestFailed) to 0 and reset the Dem-internal debounce algorithm to initial values if configured. The Dem shall execute this action asynchronously at a later point in

time. c(SRS\_Diag\_04067)

Note : A later point in time means that the exact moment is implementation specific. This could be the next main function. Also a possible call of the status change callback is triggered asynchronously.

Add new requirement before [SWS\_Dem\_0110]

SWS\_Dem\_0xxxx The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetEventAvailable asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.(SRS\_Diag\_04126)

Add new requirement to end of chapter 7.7.9.2 User controlled WarningIndicatorRequested-bit

SWS\_Dem\_0yyyy : The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetWIRStatus asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.

–Last change on issue 77928 comment 5–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.75 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00208

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04072 04205

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_SetDTCFilterDem_SetDTCFilter
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SetDTCFilter( uint8 ClientId, uint8 DTCStatusMask, Dem_DTCFormatType DTCFormat, Dem_DTCOriginType DTCOrigin, boolean FilterWithSeverity, Dem_DTCSeverityType DTCSeverityMask, boolean FilterForFaultDetectionCounter )
Service ID[hex]:	0x13
Sync/Async:	Synchronous
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.

Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_SetDTCFilter.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
	DTCStatusMaskDem_Set DTCFilter.DTCStatusMask	Status-byte mask for DTC status-byte filtering Values: 0x00: Autosar-specific value to deactivate the status-byte filtering (different meaning than in ISO 14229-1) to report all supported DTCs (used for service 0x19 subfunctions 0x0A/0x15) 0x01..0xFF: Status-byte mask according to ISO 14229-1 DTCStatusMask (handed over by Dcm from service request directly) to filter for DTCs with at least one status bit set matching this status-byte mask
	DTCFormatDem_Set DTCFilter.DTCFormat	Defines the output-format of the requested DTC values for the sub-sequent API calls. If passed value does not fit to Configuration, the DET error DEM_E_WRONG_CONFIGURATION shall be reported, e.g. if DTCFormat "DEM_DTC_FORMAT_OBD" is passed, but OBD is not supported per configuration.
	DTCOriginDem_Set DTCFilter.DTCOrigin	If the Dem supports more than one event memory this parameter is used to select the source memory the DTCs shall be read from. If passed value does not fit to Configuration, the DET error DEM_E_WRONG_CONFIGURATION shall be reported, e.g. if DTCOrigin "DEM_DTC_ORIGIN_MIRROR_MEMORY" is passed, but no mirror memory is configured.
	FilterWithSeverityDem_Set DTCFilter.FilterWithSeverity	This flag defines whether severity information (ref. to parameter below) shall be used for filtering. This is to allow for coexistence of DTCs with and without severity information.
	DTCSeverityMaskDem_Set DTCFilter.DTCSeverityMask	<b>This parameter contains</b> Contains the DTCSeverityMask according to ISO14229-1 (see for example Service 0x19, subfunction 0x08). If the value is invalid the function returns DEM_WRONG_FILTER. .
	FilterForFaultDetectionCounterDem_Set DTCFilter.FilterForFaultDetection Counter	This flag defines whether the fault detection counter information shall be used for filtering. This is to allow for coexistence of DTCs with and without fault detection counter information. If fault detection counter information is filter criteria, only those DTCs with a fault detection counter value between 1 and 0x7E shall be reported. Remark: If the event does not use the debouncing inside Dem, then the Dem must request this information via GetFaultDetection Counter.
Parameters (inout):	None	

Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Filter set correctly E_NOT_OK: Indicates an invalid DTCSeverityMask a wrong DTCOrigin or DTCFormat
Description:	Sets the DTC Filter. The server shall perform a bit-wise logical AND-ing operation between the parameter DTCStatusMask and the current UDS status in the server. In addition to the DTCStatusAvailabilityMask, the server shall return all DTCs for which the result of the AND-ing operation is non-zero [i.e. (statusOfDTC & DTCStatusMask) != 0]. The server shall process only the DTC Status bits that it is supporting. OBD Events Suppression shall be ignored for this computation. If no DTCs within the server match the masking criteria specified in the client's request, no DTC or status information shall be provided following the DTCStatusAvailabilityMask byte in the positive response message (((statusOfDTC & DTCStatusMask) != 0) && ((severity & DTCSeverityMask) != 0)) == TRUE	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.76 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00212

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04066, SRS\_Diag\_04067

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_GetStatusOfDTCDem_GetStatusOfDTC
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetStatusOfDTC( uint8 ClientId, uint8* DTCStatus )
Service ID[hex]:	0x15

Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetStatusOfDTC.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	DTCStatusDem_GetStatusOfDTC.DTCStatus	This parameter receives the status information of the requested DTC. It follows the format as defined in ISO14229-1. If the return value of the function call is other than DEM_FILTERED_OK this parameter does not contain valid data.
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: The status of the DTC is correctly provided in the DTCStatus parameter. E_NOT_OK: No DTC selected DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist DEM_PENDING: Retrieving the DTC status is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment. DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT - Selected DTC does not have an assigned DTC status. DEM_BUSY: A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.
Description:	Gets the status of a DTC. For large configurations and DTC-calibration, the interface behavior can be asynchronous (splitting the DTC-search into segments). The DTCs of OBD Events Suppression shall be reported as Dem_WRONG_DTC.	

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)



Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)  
Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)  
C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)  
[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

**Problem description:**

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-

Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING

- In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

–Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.77 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00214

### Trace References:

none

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_GetNumberOfFilteredDTCDem_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC( uint8 ClientId, uint16* NumberOfFilteredDTC )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x17	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Re-entrant for different ClientIDs, Non re-entrant for same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	NumberOfFilteredDTCDem_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC.NumberOfFilteredDTC	The number of DTCs matching the defined status mask.
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Getting number of filtered DTCs was successful E_NOT_OK: No DTC filter set DEM_PENDING: The requested operation is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.
Description:	Gets the number of a filtered DTC.	

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

**Problem description:**

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to :

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API.(SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType  
SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif)

as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
  - In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.78 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00215

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_GetNextFilteredDTCDem_GetNextFilteredDTC	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetNextFilteredDTC( uint8 ClientId, uint32* DTC, uint8* DTCStatus )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x18	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous or Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Re-entrant for different ClientIDs, Non re-entrant for same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetNextFilteredDTC.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	DTCDem_GetNextFilteredDTC.DTC	Receives the DTC value in respective format of the filter returned by this function. If the return value of the function is other than DEM_FILTERED_OK this parameter does not contain valid data.
	DTCStatusDem_GetNextFilteredDTC.DTCStatus	This parameter receives the status information of the requested DTC. It follows the format as defined in ISO14229-1 If the return value of the function call is other than DEM_FILTERED_OK this parameter does not contain valid data.

Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Returned next filtered element E_NOT_OK: No DTC filter set DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT: No further element matching the filter criteria found DEM_PENDING: The requested operation is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment. Note that according to SWS_Dem_00653 this return value is not always allowed.
Description:	Gets the next filtered DTC matching the filter criteria. For UDS services, the interface has an asynchronous behavior, because a large number of DTCs has to be processed.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

#### Problem description:

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

#### Agreed solution:

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:



- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType  
SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
  - In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–



**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

**1.79 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00218****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04072

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_GetDTCByOccurrenceTimeDem_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime( uint8 ClientId, Dem_DTCRequestType DTCRequest, uint32* DTC )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x19	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Re-entrant for different ClientIDs, Non re-entrant for same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetDTCByOccurrence Time.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
	DTCRequestDem_GetDTCBy OccurrenceTime.DTCRequest	This parameter defines the request type of the DTC.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	DTCDem_GetDTCByOccurrence Time.DTC	Receives the DTC value in UDS format returned by the function. If the return value of the function is other than DEM_OCCURR_OK this parameter does not contain valid data.
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: get of DTC was successful E_NOT_OK: the call was not successful DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT: <b>Request is not supported by configuration and therefore invalid The requested element is not stored</b>
Description:	Gets the DTC by occurrence time. There is no explicit parameter for the DTC-origin as the origin always is DEM_DTC_ORIGIN_PRIMARY_MEMORY.	

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76861: Wrong return values of Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime [SWS\_Dem\_00218]

**Problem description:**

Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime [SWS\_Dem\_00218] provides the return value:  
DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT: Request is not supported by configuration and therefore invalid

which is wrong. It is a valid situation that no DTC is stored in the fault memory and this has nothing to do with configuration.

–Last change on issue 76861 comment 2–

#### Agreed solution:

1) Change return value description for DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT of SWS\_Dem\_00218 to:

DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT: The requested element is not stored

2) Change [SWS\_Dem\_00221] to:

The function Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime shall return DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT, if no DTC is matching the requested occurrence time in the parameter DTCRequest. (SRS\_Diag\_04070)

3) Change [SWS\_Dcm\_00766] to:

If the Dcm received DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT by calling Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime it shall response with a positive response and empty DTCAndStatusRecord. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

4) remove req. [SWS\_Dem\_00958] as the type Dem\_ReturnGetDTCByOccurrenceTimeType is not used anywhere

–Last change on issue 76861 comment 9–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.80 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00219

#### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04070 04195

#### Content:

The function Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime shall provide the capability to get one DTC stored in the primary event memory of the addressed **AREcucContainer**DemEventMemorySet according to the API parameter Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime.DTCRequest.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76465: Cleanup of TeX Documents

**Problem description:**

Most of the TeX documents need a cleanup according to autosar.sty. The ArSh rake task "CheckDocumentSource" tex provides evaluation methods of tex documents. Therefore we did a check on all AUTOSAR standards (FO, CP, AP). The results for each documents are attached.

**Agreed solution:**

Preconfiguration:

---

Please run rake UpdateTooling and rake UpdateCommonfiles to update/install all required folders/tools.

If the ArSh is not already configured see <https://www.autosar.org/wiki/doku.php?id=tools:toolingins>

Steps to do:

---

Please open the AUTOSAR Shell on your document folder and run

rake CheckDocumentSource

to be sure to get the latest results (The results of attached files are taken on 11.11.2017).

Please fix the given errors in your TeX files and rerun CheckDocumentSource to be sure that there are no errors.

Steps to fix TeX Errors:

---

Look at the results of the rake task CheckDocumentSource. Each [Error] points to the Latex file to be fixed like e.g. [ERROR] (latex\_instantiator.rb): [02\_Functional-Requirements.tex:15:1] no valid date .

In the given example the file 02\_FunctionalRequirements.tex has to be fixed in line 15.

We have a detailed description how to handle CheckDocumentSource errors

<https://www.autosar.org/wiki/doku.php?id=tools:checklatexsource>

The provided autosar tex commands are listed here:

<https://www.autosar.org/wiki/doku.php?id=tools:texcommandsforautosar>

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.81 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00221

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04070 04195

**Content:**

The **function** **API** Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime shall return **E\_NOT\_OKDEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT**, if no DTC is matching the requested occurrence time in the parameter Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime.DTCRequest.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76861: Wrong return values of Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime [SWS\_Dem\_00218]

**Problem description:**

Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime [SWS\_Dem\_00218] provides the return value: DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT: Request is not supported by configuration and therefore invalid

which is wrong. It is a valid situation that no DTC is stored in the fault memory and this has nothing to do with configuration.

–Last change on issue 76861 comment 2–

**Agreed solution:**

1) Change return value description for DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT of SWS\_Dem\_00218 to:

DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT: The requested element is not stored

2) Change [SWS\_Dem\_00221] to:

The function Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime shall return DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT, if no DTC is matching the requested occurrence time in the parameter DTCRequest. (SRS\_Diag\_04070)

3) Change [SWS\_Dcm\_00766] to:

If the Dcm received DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT by calling Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime it shall response with a positive response and empty DTCAndStatusRecord. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

4) remove req. [SWS\_Dem\_00958] as the type Dem\_ReturnGetDTCByOccurrenceTimeType is not used anywhere  
—Last change on issue 76861 comment 9—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.82 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00227

**Trace References:**

none

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDCDem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC( uint8 ClientId, uint32* DTC, sint8* DTCFaultDetectionCounter )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x3b	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Re-entrant for different ClientIDs, Non re-entrant for same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	DTCDem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC.DTC	Receives the DTC value in respective format of the filter returned by this function. If the return value of the function is other than DEM_FILTERED_OK this parameter does not contain valid data.
	DTCFaultDetectionCounterDem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC.DTCFaultDetectionCounter	This parameter receives the Fault Detection Counter information of the requested DTC. If the return value of the function call is other than DEM_FILTERED_OK this parameter does not contain valid data. -128dec...127dec PASSED...FAILED according to ISO 14229-1
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Returned next filtered element E_NOT_OK: No DTC filter set DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT: No further element matching the filter criteria found DEM_PENDING: The requested operation is asynchronously processed is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.
Description:	Gets the next filtered DTC and its associated Fault Detection Counter (FDC) matching the filter criteria. The interface has an asynchronous behavior, because a large number of DTCs has to be processed and the FDC might be received asynchronously from a SW-C, too.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

#### Problem description:

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all

Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API.(SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_XXXX1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING

- In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

–Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.83 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00231

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04075 04201

### Content:

The APIDem\_GetTranslationType shall return the translation format of the DemEventMemorySet configured in DemEventMemorySet.DemTypeOfDTCSupported.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

### Problem description:



Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.84 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00232

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04071

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_GetSeverityOfDTCDem_GetSeverityOfDTC
---------------	--

Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetSeverityOfDTC( uint8 ClientId, Dem_DTCSeverityType* DTCSeverity )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x0e	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetSeverityOfDTC.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	DTCSeverityDem_GetSeverityOfDTC.DTCSeverity	This parameter contains the DTCSeverity according to ISO 14229-1.
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: The DTC severity is correctly provided in the DTCSeverity parameter. E_NOT_OK: No DTC selected DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist DEM_PENDING: Retrieving the DTC is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment. DEM_BUSY: A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.
Description:	Gets the severity of the requested DTC. For large configurations and DTC-calibration, the interface behavior can be asynchronous (splitting the DTC-search into segments).	

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)  
[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

–Last change on issue 76727 comment 33–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

**Problem description:**

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API.(SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_00001: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING

- In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

–Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.85 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00233

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04095

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_DisableDTCRecordUpdateDem_DisableDTCRecordUpdate	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_DisableDTCRecordUpdate( uint8 ClientId )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x1a	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_DisableDTCRecordUpdate.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Event memory update successfully disabled E_NOT_OK: No DTC selected DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or a group of DTC was selected DEM_E_WRONG_CONDITION: Required conditions for the respective API call are not fulfilled. DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist DEM_PENDING: Disabling the DTC record update is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment. DEM_BUSY: A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.
Description:	Disables the event memory update of a specific DTC (only one at one time).	

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

**Problem description:**

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

- 1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

- 2) Make



\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

#### **Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)



Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)  
Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)  
Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)  
C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)  
[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

**Problem description:**

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"  
If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-

Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING

- In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

–Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #77865: [Dem] ReDesign Dem/Dcm interface of AR4.3: description of the interface Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate()

#### Problem description:

Description of Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate() (SWS\_Dem\_00233) says that the event memory update for one specific DTC only is disabled (only one at one time).

Also in Autosar Dem there is another requirement which says when it is to be called:

[SWS\_Dem\_00270] d The function Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate shall protect the event related data of the selected DTC within the selected DTCOrigin from updating or deleting, to allow a consistent read for the following subsequent API calls:

- Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData  
Dem\_GetSizeOfFreezeFrameSelection and  
Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData
- Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord  
Dem\_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection and  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord.

c()

But Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData() and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord() can select a single DTC or a group of DTC for filtering. Hence Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate() might have to block event memory update for a group of DTCs. This deviates from the description.

#### Agreed solution:

Change description of return type for DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in SWS\_Dem\_00233 to:  
"Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or a group of DTC was selected"

—Last change on issue 77865 comment 2—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.86 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00234

#### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04074

#### Content:

Service name:	Dem_EnableDTCRecordUpdateDem_EnableDTCRecordUpdate
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_EnableDTCRecordUpdate( uint8 ClientId )

Service ID[hex]:	0x1b	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_EnableDTCRecord Update.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	Always E_OK is returned: DTC record successfully updated. E_NOT_OK: No DTC selected. DEM_BUSY: A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.
Description:	Enables the event memory update of the DTC disabled by Dem_DisableDTCRecord Update() before.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

#### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

#### 1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

#### 2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

#### 3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)  
Dem\_ClearDTC()  
Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)  
a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:  
Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:  
SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:  
SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:  
The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:  
Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)  
Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)  
Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)  
Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)  
C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in [SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

#### Problem description:

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.



Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

#### **Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API.(SRS\_BSW\_00369)



Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
  - In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.87 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00236

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04066

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_GetNextFreezeFrameDataDem_GetNextFreezeFrameData
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetNextFreezeFrameData( uint8 ClientId, uint8* DestBuffer, uint16* BufSize )
Service ID[hex]:	0x1d
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.

Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetNextFreezeFrame Data.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	BufSizeDem_GetNextFreezeFrame Data.BufSize	When the function is called this parameter contains the maximum number of data bytes that can be written to the buffer. The function returns the actual number of written data bytes in this parameter.
Parameters (out):	DestBufferDem_GetNextFreezeFrame Data.DestBuffer	This parameter contains a byte pointer that points to the buffer, to which the freeze frame data record shall be written to. The format is: {RecordNumber, Num OfDIDs, DID[1], data[1], ..., DID[N], data[N]}
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Size and buffer successfully returned. DEM_BUFFER_TOO_SMALL: provided buffer size too small DEM_PENDING: The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later. DEM_WRONG_DTC: DTC value not existing E_NOT_OK : selection function is not called. DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Wrong DTC origin DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT: <b>Record number is not supported by configuration and therefore invalid</b> <b>Found no (further) element matching the filter criteria</b>
Description:	Gets freeze frame data by the DTC selected by Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData. The function stores the data in the provided DestBuffer.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #79153: [Dem][Dcm] inconsistency of getNextFreezeFrameData and Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord functions

#### Problem description:

As already mentioned in RfC # 79148 the DEM/DCM specs are inconsistent for describing the behavior of Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData and Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord.

Small corrections should be done in 4.3.1 as it is not working as described.

This request is supposed to do the minimal changes required to at least fix the inconsistencies between DCM and DEM.

#### Agreed solution:

in Dem:

- in SWS\_Dem\_00236: change description of DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT:

"Found no (further) element matching the filter criteria"

- in SWS\_Dem\_00239: change description of DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT:  
"Found no (further) element matching the filter criteria"

in Dcm:

- remove requirement Dcm\_01223

[SWS\_Dcm\_01223] If Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData() returns DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT and if multiple Extended Data Record is requested, the Dcm shall proceed with the next record. c()

- remove requirement Dcm\_01222

[SWS\_Dcm\_01222] If Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData() returns DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT and if a single Extended Data Record is requested, the Dcm shall send a NRC 0x31 (RequestOutOfRange). c()

- introduce new requirement before SWS\_Dcm\_01224

[SWS\_Dcm\_xxx01] When responding to UDS Service 0x19 with subfunction 0x04, or 0x18, the Dcm module shall collect the freeze frame data by first calling Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData() and then call Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData() repeatedly until DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT is returned.c()

- change SWS\_Dcm\_01224 from

[SWS\_Dcm\_01224] If at least one of the requested extended data is supported, the Dcm shall send a positive response. Otherwise the Dcm shall send a NRC 0x31 (RequestOutOfRange). c()

to

[SWS\_Dcm\_01224] If at least one of the requested freeze frame data is supported, the Dcm shall send a positive response. Otherwise the Dcm shall send a NRC 0x31 (RequestOutOfRange). c()

–Last change on issue 79153 comment 9–

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	4

## 1.88 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00239

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04066

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_GetNextExtendedDataRecordDem_GetNextExtendedDataRecord	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetNextExtendedDataRecord( uint8 ClientId, uint8* DestBuffer, uint16* BufSize )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x20	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetNextExtendedDataRecord.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	BufSizeDem_GetNextExtendedDataRecord.BufSize	When the function is called this parameter contains the maximum number of data bytes that can be written to the buffer. The function returns the actual number of written data bytes in this parameter.
Parameters (out):	DestBufferDem_GetNextExtendedDataRecord.DestBuffer	This parameter contains a byte pointer that points to the buffer, to which the <b>freeze frame extended</b> data record shall be written to. The format is: {ExtendedDataRecordNumber, NumOfDIDs, DIDdata[10], data[1], ..., DIDN, data[Nn]}
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Size and buffer successfully returned. E_NOT_OK : selection function is not called. DEM_BUFFER_TOO_SMALL: provided buffer size too small. DEM_PENDING: The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later. DEM_WRONG_DTC: DTC value not existing DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Wrong DTC origin DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT: <b>Record number is not supported by configuration and therefore invalid</b> <b>Found no (further) element matching the filter criteria</b>
Description:	Gets extended data record for the DTC selected by Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord. The function stores the data in the provided DestBuffer.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76541: Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord shall provide the extended data record number as well

**Problem description:**

In RfC # 71838 it was forgotten, that Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord needs to provide the EDR number along with the data. If more than one EDR is requested by Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord it is unclear which EDR number is returned by Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord.

**Agreed solution:**

DEM:

1) Change SWS\_Dem\_00075 to:

The API Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord shall copy the extended record number and complete data of the requested extended data record by Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord API for the specified ClientId to the destination buffer (DestBuffer). The function shall transmit these data as a complete record with the format shown in figure 7.52. The extended data record number is placed as the first byte in the copied data. (SRS\_Diag\_04074)

2) Change the Description of "Figure 7.52: Buffer format used by Dem\_DcmGetExtendedDataRecordByDTC" to "Figure 7.52: Buffer format used by Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord"

3) Update the image 7.52 so that the first byte is the "EDR" number

=== BSW UML start ===

4) Change the DestBuffer out-param of Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord SWS\_Dem\_00239 to (it was a copy& paste from freeze frames):

"This parameter contains a byte pointer that points to the buffer, to which the extended data record shall be written to. The format is: ExtendedDataRecord-Number, data[0], data[1], ..., data[n]"

5) Change description of parameter SizeOfExtendedDataRecord in SWS\_Dem\_00240 to "Size of the requested extended data record(s) including record number. The format for a single ExtendedDataRecord is: RecordNumber, data[1], ..., data[N]"

=== BSW UML end ===

6) Change parameter description of ExtendedDataNumber in SWS\_Dem\_91017 to: "Identification/Number of requested extended data record(s). Additionally the values 0xFE and 0xFF are explicitly allowed to request."

DCM:

Update SWS\_Dcm\_00386:

[SWS\_Dcm\_00386] [ Upon reception of UDS Service 0x019 with subfunction 0x06 or 0x10 or 0x19 the Dcm shall retrieve from the Dem the stored extended data records for the requested DTC and origin.]

–Last change on issue 76541 comment 22–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #79153: [Dem][Dcm] inconsistency of getNextFreezeFrameData and Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord functions

**Problem description:**

As already mentioned in RfC # 79148 the DEM/DCM specs are inconsistent for describing the behavior of Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData and Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord.

Small corrections should be done in 4.3.1 as it is not working as described.

This request is supposed to do the minimal changes required to at least fix the inconsistencies between DCM and DEM.

**Agreed solution:**

in Dem:

- in SWS\_Dem\_00236: change description of DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT:  
"Found no (further) element matching the filter criteria"

- in SWS\_Dem\_00239: change description of DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT:  
"Found no (further) element matching the filter criteria"

in Dcm:

- remove requirement Dcm\_01223

[SWS\_Dcm\_01223] If Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData() returns DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT and if multiple Extended Data Record is requested, the

Dcm shall proceed with the next record. c()

- remove requirement Dcm\_01222

[SWS\_Dcm\_01222] If Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData() returns

DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT and if a single Extended Data Record is requested, the Dcm shall send a NRC 0x31 (RequestOutOfRange). c()

- introduce new requirement before SWS\_Dcm\_01224

[SWS\_Dcm\_01224] When responding to UDS Service 0x19 with subfunction 0x04, or 0x18, the Dcm module shall collect the freeze frame data by first calling Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData() and then call Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData() repeatedly until DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT is returned.c()

- change SWS\_Dcm\_01224 from

[SWS\_Dcm\_01224] If at least one of the requested extended data is supported, the Dcm shall send a positive response. Otherwise the Dcm shall send a NRC 0x31 (RequestOutOfRange). c()

to

[SWS\_Dcm\_01224] If at least one of the requested freeze frame data is supported, the Dcm shall send a positive response. Otherwise the Dcm shall send a NRC 0x31 (RequestOutOfRange). c()

—Last change on issue 79153 comment 9—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	4

## 1.89 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00240

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04066

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelectionDem_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection( uint8 ClientId, uint16* SizeOfExtendedDataRecord )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x21	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	

Parameters (out):	SizeOfExtendedDataRecordDem_Get SizeOfExtendedDataRecord Selection.SizeOfExtendedDataRecord	Size of the requested extended data record(s) including record numbersize . The format for a single ExtendedData Record is: {RecordNumber, data[1], ..., data[N]}
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Size returned successfully E_NOT_OK : selection function is not called. DEM_PENDING: The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later. DEM_WRONG_DTC: DTC value not existing DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Wrong DTC origin DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT: Record number is not supported by configuration and therefore invalid
Description:	Gets the size of Extended Data Record by DTC selected by the call of Dem_Select ExtendedDataRecord.	

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76541: Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord shall provide the extended data record number as well

**Problem description:**

In RfC # 71838 is was forgotten, that Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord needs to provide the EDR number along with the data. If more than one EDR is requested by Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord is it unclear which EDR number is returned by Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord.

**Agreed solution:**

DEM:

1) Change SWS\_Dem\_00075 to:

The API Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord shall copy the extended record number and complete data of the requested extended data record by Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord API for the specified ClientId to the destination buffer (DestBuffer). The function shall transmit these data as a complete record with the format shown in figure 7.52. The extended data record number is placed as the first byte in the copied data. (SRS\_Diag\_04074)

2) Change the Description of "Figure 7.52: Buffer format used by Dem\_DcmGetExtendedDataRecordByDTC" to "Figure 7.52: Buffer format used by Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord"

3) Update the image 7.52 so that the first byte is the "EDR" number



=== BSW UML start ===

4) Change the DestBuffer out-param of Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord SWS\_Dem\_00239 to (it was a copy& paste from freeze frames):

"This parameter contains a byte pointer that points to the buffer, to which the extended data record shall be written to. The format is: ExtendedDataRecord-Number, data[0], data[1], ..., data[n]"

5) Change description of parameter SizeOfExtendedDataRecord in SWS\_Dem\_00240 to "Size of the requested extended data record(s) including record number. The format for a single ExtendedDataRecord is: RecordNumber, data[1], ..., data[N]"

=== BSW UML end ===

6) Change parameter description of ExtendedDataNumber in SWS\_Dem\_91017 to: "Identification/Number of requested extended data record(s). Additionally the values 0xFE and 0xFF are explicitly allowed to request."

DCM:

Update SWS\_Dcm\_00386:

[SWS\_Dcm\_00386] [ Upon reception of UDS Service 0x019 with subfunction 0x06 or 0x10 or 0x19 the Dcm shall retrieve from the Dem the stored extended data records for the requested DTC and origin.]

–Last change on issue 76541 comment 22–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.90 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00242

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04158 04150

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_DisableDTCSettingDem_DisableDTCSetting
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_DisableDTCSetting( uint8 ClientId )

Service ID[hex]:	0x24	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Re-entrant for different ClientIDs, Non re-entrant for same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_DisableDTCSetting.Client Id	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Returned next filtered element DEM_PENDING: The requested operation is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.
Description:	Disables the DTC setting for a DTC group all DTCs assigned to the DemEventMemorySet of the addressed client.	

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77857: Fix description of Dem\_EnableDTCSetting and Dem\_DisableDTCSetting

##### Problem description:

The Dem\_EnableDTCSetting and Dem\_DisableDTCSetting have been changed in 4.3.0, but the descriptions were not updated to the new behavior. The current description is partly wrong.

##### Agreed solution:

Change description of Dem\_DisableDTCSetting[SWS\_Dem\_00242] to:  
Disables the DTC setting for all DTCs assigned to the DemEventMemorySet of the addressed client.

Change description of Dem\_EnableDTCSetting[SWS\_Dem\_00243] to:  
(Re)-Enables the DTC setting for all DTCs assigned to the DemEventMemorySet of the addressed client.

##### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

##### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.91 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00243****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04095, SRS\_Diag\_04158, [SRS\\_Diag\\_04150](#)

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_EnableDTCSettingDem_EnableDTCSetting	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_EnableDTCSetting( uint8 ClientId )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x25	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Re-entrant for different ClientIDs, Non re-entrant for same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_EnableDTCSetting.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: The operation was successful; DEM_PENDING: The started operation is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.
Description:	<b>Enables (Re)-Enables</b> the DTC setting for <b>a DTC group</b> . <b>This API is intended for the Dcm</b> . It can only be used through the RTE (due to work-around described below <a href="#">SWS_Dem_00035</a> ), and therefore no declaration is exported via <a href="#">Dem_Dcm.hall</a> <b>DTCs assigned to the DemEventMemorySet of the addressed client</b> .	

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #77857: Fix description of Dem\_EnableDTCSetting and Dem\_DisableDTCSetting

**Problem description:**

The Dem\_EnableDTCSetting and Dem\_DisableDTCSetting have been changed in 4.3.0, but the descriptions were not updated to the new behavior. The current description is partly wrong.

**Agreed solution:**

Change description of Dem\_DisableDTCSetting[SWS\_Dem\_00242] to:  
Disables the DTC setting for all DTCs assigned to the DemEventMemorySet of the addressed client.

Change description of Dem\_EnableDTCSetting[SWS\_Dem\_00243] to:  
(Re)-Enables the DTC setting for all DTCs assigned to the DemEventMemorySet of the addressed client.

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.92 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00269

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04075 04201

**Content:**

The function Dem\_GetDTCOfEvent shall get the DTC which is mapped to EventId by the Dem configuration.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.93 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00281****Trace References:**

## SRS\_Diag\_04010

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverityDem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity( uint8 ClientId, uint32* DTC, uint8* DTCStatus, Dem_DTCSeverityType* DTCSeverity, uint8* DTCFunctionalUnit )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x3d	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Re-entrant for different ClientIDs, Non re-entrant for same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	DTCDem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity.DTC	Receives the DTC value in respective format of the filter returned by this function. If the return value of the function is other than DEM_FILTERED_OK this parameter does not contain valid data.
	DTCStatusDem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity.DTCStatus	This parameter receives the status information of the requested DTC. It follows the format as defined in ISO14229-1 If the return value of the function call is other than DEM_FILTERED_OK this parameter does not contain valid data.
	DTCSeverityDem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity.DTCSeverity	Receives the severity value returned by the function. If the return value of the function is other than DEM_FILTERED_OK this parameter does not contain valid data.
	DTCFunctionalUnitDem_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity.DTCFunctionalUnit	Receives the functional unit value returned by the function. If the return value of the function is other than DEM_FILTERED_OK this parameter does not contain valid data.
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Returned next filtered element E_NOT_OK: No DTC filter set DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT: No further element matching the filter criteria found DEM_PENDING: The requested operation is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.
Description:	Gets the next filtered DTC and its associated Severity matching the filter criteria. The interface has an asynchronous behavior, because a large number of DTCs has to be processed.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

**Problem description:**

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected

DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_XXXX1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType  
SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
  - In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.94 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00291

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

### Content:

The Dem module shall support only the legislative freeze frame (record number 0). This will be a single list of PIDs assigned to this freeze frame (refer to DemPidClass).



**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.95 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00293****Trace References:**

**SRS\_Diag\_04082****Content:**

For the following PIDs the data layout shall be compliant to SAE J1979-DA SAE\_2d\_J1979DA.

- PID \$01 - monitor status since DTCs cleared (4 byte)
- PID \$02 PID \$02 is only required for service \$02 and therefore no interface (like Dem\_DcmReadDataOfPID02) is necessary. Instead the API Dem\_DcmGetDTCOfOBDFreezeFrame is used (refer to SWS\_Dem\_00623) - DTC that caused required freeze frame storage (2 byte)
- PID \$1C - OBD requirements to which vehicle or engine is certified (1 byte)
- PID \$21 - distance traveled while MIL is activated (2 byte)
- PID \$30 - number of warm-ups (WUC) since DTCs cleared (1 byte)
- PID \$31 - distance traveled since DTCs cleared (2 byte)
- PID \$41 - monitor status this driving cycle (4 byte)
- PID \$4D - engine run time while MIL is activated (2 byte)
- PID \$4E - engine run time since DTCs cleared (2 byte)
- PID \$91 - ECU OBD System Information (5 byte)

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update.

There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.96 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00294

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

In order to allow a monitor to report that the event cannot be computed in the driving cycle (aborted e.g. due to physical reasons), the Dem shall provide the API Dem\_SetEvent Disabled.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

## Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.97 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00296

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

### Content:

The Dem module shall provide the API Dem\_ReplUMPRFaultDetect for the asymmetric monitor to report that a malfunction could have been found.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.98 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00297

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

### Content:

The Dem shall provide the API Dem\_ReplIUMPRDenLock to IUMPR-relevant SW-C, to control the denominator specific to the respective RatioId.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.99 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00298

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

### Content:

In order to support the data requests in service \$09 as described above, the Dem shall provide the API Dem\_DcmGetInfoTypeValue08 or Dem\_DcmGetInfoTypeValue0B to the Dcm.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

- 1) search for "No description"
- 2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204
- 3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.100 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00300

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem shall be able to handle Permanent DTCs according to regulations (refer to CaliforniaCodeRegulations\_2d\_Section1968\_2e\_2) within the specific event memory type "permanent" (refer to chapter [REF sub\_3a\_EventDestination]).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize



the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.101 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00301

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem shall provide the ability to access the non-volatile stored Permanent DTC by filtering for permanent DTCs to the Dcm (refer to Dem\_SetDTCFilter and Dem\_GetNext FilteredDTC).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.102 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00304

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

A Dem delivery shall provide function call interfaces to the Dcm and the respective Service Needs to declare to the Dcm that these PIDs are supported.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.103 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00308****Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem shall provide the API Dem\_ReplIUMPRDenRelease to IUMPR-relevant SW-C, to control the denominator specific to the respective RatioId.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.104 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00331

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04030 04179

**Content:**

The Dem module shall provide the capability to reset the failed status of an event without reporting a passed result (refer to Dem\_ResetEventStatus).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.105 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00338****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

The operation cycle management of the Dem module shall use the reported state (Dem\_OperationCycleState.DEM\_CYCLE\_STATE\_START / Dem\_OperationCycleState.DEM\_CYCLE\_STATE\_END) of the API Dem\_SetOperationCycleState to set the Dem specific operation cycle state (started / ended).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.106 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00346

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082

**Content:**

The Dem module shall use PID \$0D (refer to chapter [REF subsub\_3a\_Requireddatabythe Demmodule]) to calculate PID \$21 and PID \$31.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize

the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.107 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00347

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

If PID \$1E (auxiliary input status) is supported the PTO (Power Take Off) related event status handling shall implemented inside the Dem module (refer to CaliforniaCodeReg Sec1971\_2d\_1).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.108 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00348

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem module shall provide the disabling of events (refer to Dem\_SetEventDisabled). OBD Events Suppression (refer to chapter [REF sub\_3a\_Availability\_20\_of\_20\_events]) shall be ignored for this computation.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.



**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.109 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00349

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem module shall support the configuration parameter DemObdDTC.DemEventOB-  
DReadinessGroup for OBD systems, to assign individual events to one specific readiness  
group.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.110 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00351****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04141

**Content:**

The Dem module shall compute and provide the number of confirmed faults (PID \$01, Byte A).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.111 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00354

#### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

#### Content:

The Dem module shall compute for PID \$01 the readiness status (if all events of a DemObdDTC.DemEventOBDRreadinessGroup are reported as OK tested since last clear, or the event has caused MIL on). Suppressed Events (refer to chapter [REF sub\_3a\_Availability\_20\_of\_20\_events]) shall be ignored for this computation.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.112 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00355

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

### Content:

The Dem module shall compute for PID \$41 the readiness group complete for current driving cycle (if all events of a group are tested in the current driving cycle). OBD Events Suppression (refer to chapter [REF sub\_3a\_Availability\_20\_of\_20\_events]) shall be ignored for this computation.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update.

There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.113 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00356

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem module shall compute the readiness group disabled (if the disabled status is reported by the monitor for any event of a group). OBD Events Suppression (refer to chapter [REF sub\_3a\_Availability\_20\_of\_20\_events]) shall be ignored for this computation.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

## Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.114 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00357

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

### Content:

If the DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEngineType is set to DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEngineType.DEM\_IGNITION\_SPARK the Dem module shall provide the API Dem\_DcmGetInfoTypeValue08 for InfoType \$08 IUMPR data.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.115 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00358

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)



## Content:

If the DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEngineType is set to DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEngineType.DEM\_IGNITION\_COMPRESSION the Dem module shall provide the API Dem\_Dcm GetInfoTypeValue0B for Info Type \$0B IUMPR data.

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.116 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00360

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

### Content:

For OBD relevant systems the Dem module shall provide the API Dem\_ReplUMPRFault Detect.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

- 1) search for "No description"
- 2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## **1.117 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00361**

### **Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

### **Content:**

The Dem module shall provide the configuration parameter DemRatio.DemRatioKind, to indicate per RatioId if the numerator is calculated based on the TESTED-status or the API call.

### **RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### **Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### **Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### **Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were

replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.118 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00362

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem module shall provide the APIs for locking (refer to Dem\_ReplIUMPRDenLock) and unlocking (refer to Dem\_ReplIUMPRDenRelease) of the denominator under special conditions if the DemRatio.DemIUMPRDenGroup is configured as DemRatio.DemIUMPRDenGroup.DEM\_IUMPR\_DEN\_PHYS\_API.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.119 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00370

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04057

**Content:**

If development error detection is enabled and a Dem function detects a development error, **excluding DEM\_E\_NODATAAVAILABLE, then** the Dem function shall return immediately with E\_NOT\_OK (in case of Std\_ReturnType) or an appropriate negative return value, after the development error was reported.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #59085: Rollout of 'Runtime errors'

**Problem description:**

Inconsistencies in SWS with semantics of Default errors

–Last change on issue 59085 comment 26–

**Agreed solution:**

solution in Column "G" of the new attachment  
<https://www.autosar.org/bugzilla/attachment.cgi?id=4604>

**Notes:**

- It is not enough just to migrate the error from one classification table to another. Please also check the related requirements (and background information) which is referring to that error and adapt them if needed.
- The review task of the ITs shall be done by the WP to which the specification "belongs".

**\*\*\* BSW UML Model \*\*\***

SWS\_CanNm:

---

Chapter 8.6.1 Optional Interfaces:

Add within SWS\_CanNm\_00325 the API function Det\_ReportRuntimeError

SWS\_LinIf:

---

SWS\_LinIf\_00359: add Det\_ReportRuntimeError

SWS\_UdpNm:

---

Replace UDPNM\_E\_NO\_INIT with UDPNM\_E\_UNINIT in description of API  
UdpNm\_MainFunction\_<Instance Id> (SWS\_UdpNm\_00234)

**\*\*\* ECUC XML \*\*\***

Not affected. No configuration of runtime error reporting required (see SWS BSW General).

–Last change on issue 59085 comment 88–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.120 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00377

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04082

### Content:

The Dem module shall provide the interface Dem\_SetPtoStatus allowing a SW-C implementing the PTO functionality to notify the Dem module if PTO is active or inactive (refer to section 8).

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

- 1) search for "No description"
- 2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204
- 3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.121 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00378

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem module shall support the configuration parameter DemObdDTC.DemConsiderPtoStatus indicating that a certain event is affected by the Dem PTO handling.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).



Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.122 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00410

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04070 04195

**Content:**

The Dem module shall report DTCs in the chronological order of the event storage (refer to API Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC), if:

- the DTCStatus parameter has the“pending DTC” or “confirmed DTC” bit or both bits set
- all other bits of the Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC.DTCStatus parameter are set to false
- DemGeneral.DemResetConfirmedBitOnOverflow is set to true

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.123 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00411****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04102 04195

**Content:**

If the Dem module is requested to report in chronological order, the most recent event memory entry shall be reported at first.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.124 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00412

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_0407004195, SRS\_Diag\_04102 04195

**Content:**

If a new event get stored in the event memory, the Dem shall update the chronological order by setting the new event as most recent event memory entry.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.125 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00436

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04068](#)

### Content:

If the Dem module implements application-specific debounce algorithms, the event states `Dem_EventStatusType.DEM_EVENT_STATUS_PREFAILED` and `Dem_EventStatusType.DEM_EVENT_STATUS_PREPASSED` shall be used within `Dem_SetEventStatus` indicating the maturation process.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77508: [Dem] Further specific debounce algorithms

##### Problem description:

chapter "7.6.3.3 Further specific debounce algorithms" is very unspecific.

requirement [SWS\_Dem\_00436] is actually not specifying a really meaningful requirement.

It is in general possible to do any vendor specific extensions or deviations when doing a DEM implementation.

##### Agreed solution:

remove chapter "7.6.3.3 Further specific debounce algorithms" and requirement [SWS\_Dem\_00436]

–Last change on issue 77508 comment 3–

##### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.126 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00463

#### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04085

#### Content:

If the SW-C or BSW module cannot not provide the requested data (`DemRead` returns other than `E_OK`), the Dem shall fill the missing data with the padding value `0xFF`, reports the development report the runtime error `DEM_E_NODATAAVAILABLE` to the Det and continues continue its normal operation.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #59085: Rollout of 'Runtime errors'

**Problem description:**

Inconsistencies in SWS with semantics of Default errors

–Last change on issue 59085 comment 26–

**Agreed solution:**

solution in Column "G" of the new attachment  
<https://www.autosar.org/bugzilla/attachment.cgi?id=4604>

**Notes:**

- It is not enough just to migrate the error from one classification table to another. Please also check the related requirements (and background information) which is referring to that error and adapt them if needed.
- The review task of the ITs shall be done by the WP to which the specification "belongs".

**\*\*\* BSW UML Model \*\*\***

SWS\_CanNm:

---

Chapter 8.6.1 Optional Interfaces:

Add within SWS\_CanNm\_00325 the API function Det\_ReportRuntimeError

SWS\_LinIf:

---

SWS\_LinIf\_00359: add Det\_ReportRuntimeError

SWS\_UdpNm:

---

Replace UDPNM\_E\_NO\_INIT with UDPNM\_E\_UNINIT in description of API  
UdpNm\_MainFunction\_<Instance Id> (SWS\_UdpNm\_00234)

**\*\*\* ECUC XML \*\*\***

Not affected. No configuration of runtime error reporting required (see SWS BSW General).

–Last change on issue 59085 comment 88–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.127 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00469

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_0410404189, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

### Content:

The Dem module shall provide the ability to map Dem-internal data values (e.g. aging counter, occurrence counter) to specific data element (refer to DemInternalDataElement in DemDataElementClass) contained in an extended data records.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem. The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.128 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00470

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the Dem module implements customer-specific Dem-internal data elements, the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement shall be extended with the respective enumeration values.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**



Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.129 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00471

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04127, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_OCCCTR, then the Dem-internal value of the occurrence counter (refer to chapter [REF sub-sub\_3a\_Event\_20\_occurrence]) shall be mapped to the respective data element.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.130 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00472

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_AGINGCTR\_UPCNT or to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_AGINGCTR\_DOWNCNT, then the Dem-internal value of the aging counter (refer to chapter [REF subsub\_3a\_OperationCycleCounters]) shall be mapped to the respective data element (based on SWS\_Dem\_00643 or SWS\_Dem\_00673).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.131 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00473****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_OVFLIND, then the Dem-internal value of the overflow indication (refer to chapter [REF subsub\_3a\_EventMemoryOverflowIndication]) shall be mapped to the respective data element as boolean (0 = False, 1 = True).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.132 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00477

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04102 04195

**Content:**

The function Dem\_GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx shall report the data of the extended data record of the requested diagnostic event.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem. The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.133 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00481

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

If an operation cycle has started, all status reports from SW-Cs and BSW modules via Dem\_SetEventStatus for those events, being assigned to this cycle, shall be accepted by the Dem from this point in time on.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.134 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00482

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

If an operation cycle has ended, all status reports from Dem\_SetEventStatus for events assigned to this cycle shall be ignored and the UDS status byte shall remain unchanged.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.135 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00483

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

If the API Dem\_SetOperationCycleState is called with Dem\_OperationCycleState Type.DEM\_CYCLE\_STATE\_START and the respective operation cycle was already started, the operation cycle shall be restarted (started again).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.136 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00484****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

If the API Dem\_SetOperationCycleState is called with Dem\_OperationCycleState Type.DEM\_CYCLE\_STATE\_END and the respective operation cycle was already ended, the API shall perform no further action.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.137 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00513

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04010

**Content:**

If the callback-function Dem\_GetFaultDetectionCounter returns other than E\_OK or is not configured (refer to configuration container DemCallbackGetFDC) for a DTC filtered by the function Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC, this DTC shall not match the filter criteria (i.e. assume FDC is 0). FDC value shall be returned as 0.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76131: [Dem] DemCallbackGetFDC is optional

**Problem description:**

The container DemCallbackGetFDC is made optional with RFC # 44038

There it is also stated that those events will be ignored for API Dem\_GetNextFilteredDtcAndFdc.

In Dem Spec today we have:

[SWS\_Dem\_00513] d If the callback-function GetFaultDetectionCounter returns other than E\_OK or is not configured (refer to configuration container DemCallbackGetFDC) for a DTC filtered by the function Dem\_DcmGetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC, this DTC shall not match the filter criteria (i.e. assume FDC is 0).

=> actually the existence of the container DemCallbackGetFDC becomes a indirect filter criteria for dtcfilter but depending on the query function:

assume DTC A is configured with no DemCallbackGetFDC. and is reporting

failed:

Scenario 1:

setDTCFilter (all failed events, filterForFDC=FALSE)

getNextFilteredDTC -> will return DTC A

Scenario 1:

setDTCFilter (all failed events, filterForFDC=FALSE)

getNextFilteredDTCAndFDC -> will NOT return DTC A

Looks somehow inconsistent!

–Last change on issue 76131 comment 2–

#### Agreed solution:

change SWS\_Dem\_00513 to :

[SWS\_Dem\_00513] d If the callback-function GetFaultDetectionCounter returns other than E\_OK or is not configured (refer to configuration container DemCallback-GetFDC) for a DTC filtered by the function Dem\_DcmGetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC, this FDC value shall be returned as 0.

–Last change on issue 76131 comment 7–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.138 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00515

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_0409204191, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

### Content:

The Dem module shall call the callback DemClearEventAllowed for each event it is configured for, before clearing this event.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.



**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.139 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00516

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_0409204191, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

### Content:

If the return value of the callback DemClearEventAllowed is equal to E\_NOT\_OK, the return value shall not be changed and event-deletion is allowed.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and

DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.140 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00528

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem module shall provide the configuration parameter DemEventParameter.DemEventConfirmationThreshold per event defining the maximum number of tested and failed cycles, before the event becomes "confirmed", i.e. enters the confirmed state.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.141 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00570

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04065, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

**Content:**

If the Dem module is requested to clear diagnostic information and the configuration parameter DemGeneral.DemClearDTCBehavior is set to DemGeneral.DemClearDTCBehavior.DEM\_CLRRESP\_VOLATILE, the Dem module shall return E\_OK after the volatile memory is cleared.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.142 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00571

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04065, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

### Content:

If the Dem module is requested to clear diagnostic information and the configuration parameter DemGeneral.DemClearDTCBehavior is set to DemGeneral.DemClearDTCBehavior.DEM\_CLRRESP\_NONVOLATILE\_TRIGGER, the Dem module shall return E\_OK after the volatile memory is cleared and clearing of the non-volatile memory is triggered.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

- 1) search for "No description"
- 2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204
- 3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.143 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00572

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04065, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

**Content:**

If the Dem module is requested to clear diagnostic information and the configuration parameter DemGeneral.DemClearDTCBehavior is set to DemGeneral.DemClearDTCBehavior.DEM\_CLRRESP\_NONVOLATILE\_FINISH, the Dem module shall return E\_OK after the volatile memory and the non-volatile memory is cleared.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be

released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.144 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00573

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04065, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

**Content:**

If DemGeneral.DemTriggerMonitorInitBeforeClearOk is set to TRUE, the Dem\_ClearDTC shall not return E\_OK until the events initialization is triggered (callback function InitMonitorForEvent is called). If DemGeneral.DemTriggerMonitorInitBeforeClearOk is set to FALSE, Dem\_ReturnClearDTCType.DEM\_CLEAR\_OK is not considering Event initialization (InitMonitorForEvent).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #73864: [Dem] further clarification of CLEAR\_FAILED (Dem\_01202)

**Problem description:**

req for returning CLEAR\_FAILED needs to be more detailed.

Especially scenarios with event combination will result in strange return values, in case clearEventAllowed is considered.

E.g. consider 2 event are mapped to the same dtc and combination on re-



trieval is configured. one event returns clearAllowed=FALSE and the other return clearAllowed=TRUE. The visible result of the clear is, it is not cleared.

### Agreed solution:

Change requirement :

[SWS\_Dem\_01202] If the Dem is requested to clear diagnostic information, the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED in case:

- the requested supported DTC(s) is not cleared
- all ClearEventAllowed callbacks return FALSE (in case of event combination ClearEventAllowed returns FALSE for one event of the DTC).

by :

[SWS\_Dem\_01202] If the Dem is requested to clear diagnostic information, the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED:

- in case of clearing a single DTC at least one ClearEventAllowed callback assigned to the event of the DTC returns FALSE.
- in case of clearing a group or all DTCs, the individual DTCs shall be cleared like described in clearing single DTC. The resulting return value of clear group or clear all shall be DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED if all individual DTCs returned DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED.

Change the [SWS\_Dem\_00667] by :

[SWS\_Dem\_00667] If the DTC is not configured to use event combination, the out-parameter Allowed of the callback ClearEventAllowed returns false (and the return value is equal to E\_OK) after the execution of this callback function, the Dem shall not clear the respective event memory entry and Dem-internal data values.(SRS\_Diag\_04092, SRS\_Diag\_04122)

Add new requirement below [SWS\_Dem\_00667] :

[SWS\_Dem\_00XXX] If the DTC is configured to use event combination, if only one ClearEventAllowed callback has the out-parameter Allowed set to FALSE and returns E\_OK after the execution of this callback function, the Dem shall not clear the event status, respective event memory entry and Dem-internal data values. (SRS\_Diag\_04092, SRS\_Diag\_04122, SRS\_Diag\_04073)

–Last change on issue 73864 comment 4–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.145 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00577

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

### Content:

The Dem module shall provide the configuration parameter DemGeneral.DemOperationCycleStatusStorage to define if the operation cycle state shall be available over the power cycle (stored non-volatile) or not.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

##### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

##### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

##### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.146 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00586

#### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04126

#### Content:

The function Dem\_SetDTCSTSuppression is available if the configuration parameter DemGeneral.DemSuppressionSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemSuppressionSupport.DEM\_NO\_SUPPRESSION.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76814: Provide getter for dtc suppression

##### Problem description:

The Dem only provides means to set the DTC suppression state, a symmetric API to read back the suppression state of an DTC is missing.

##### Agreed solution:

Add a new C-API:

Std\_ReturnType Dem\_GetDTCSuppression(uint8 ClientId, boolean \*SuppressionStatus)

Asynchronous

Reentrant

Param (in): ClientId - Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module

Param (out): SuppressionStatus - Defines whether the respective DTC is suppressed (TRUE) or enabled (FALSE).

Return value: Std\_ReturnType - E\_OK: Operation was successful

E\_NOT\_OK: Dem\_SelectDTC was not called.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: No valid DTC or DTC group selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Wrong DTC origin selected

DEM\_PENDING: The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later.

Description: "Returns the suppression status of a specific DTC.

API Availability: This API will be available only if (ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemSuppressionSupport) == DEM\_DTC\_SUPPRESSION)"

Add a new operation to C/S interface "DTCSuppression" SWS\_Dem\_00608:

Name: GetDTCSuppression

Take parameters and description from C-API above, link both to SRS\_Diag\_04154

Add new requirement to chapter 7.4.76 Suppress DTC output

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_GetDTCSuppression is called, the Dem shall return the status if the DTC is suppressed in the output parameter SuppressionStatus. A value of TRUE means that the DTC was suppressed by a call of Dem\_SetDTCSuppression with SuppressionStatus = TRUE, a value of FALSE means, that the DTC is currently not suppressed. (SRS\_Diag\_04154)

Add text below this requirement:

Also there are various reasons, why a DTC is not processed and thus is not visible for diagnostics, the suppression state itself is only changed by Dem\_SetDTCSuppression. Dem\_GetDTCSuppression only evaluates this suppression state.

Remove SWS\_Dem\_00586

Change SWS\_Dem\_01253 to :

If the API Dem\_SelectDTC is called, the Dem shall use the selected DTC or group

of DTC in the requested DTCTOrigin and DTCFormat as target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC  
Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC  
Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC  
Dem\_ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult  
Dem\_GetDTCSuppression.  
(SRS\_Diag\_04010)  
—Last change on issue 76814 comment 24—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.147 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00590

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

Events that have been confirmed and activate the MIL (refer to OBD-relevant DTCs, chapter [REF sub\_3a\_DTC\_20\_kind]), shall be stored robustly against ClearDTC or powerfail (for details confer CaliforniaCodeRegulations\_2d\_Section1968\_2e\_2).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and

DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

- 1) search for "No description"
- 2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204
- 3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.148 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00592

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_SIGNIFICANCE, then the (static) Dem-internal value of the DTC significance (refer to chapter [REF sub-sub\_3a\_DTC\_20\_significance]) shall be mapped to the respective data element with 0 = OCCURRENCE and 1 = FAULT.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.149 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00594****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04156

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTCDem_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC( uint8 ClientId, uint8* DTCFunctionalUnit )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x34	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	DTCFunctionalUnitDem_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC.DTCFunctionalUnit	Functional unit value of this DTC
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: The DTC functional unit is correctly provided in the DTCSeverity parameter. <b>E_NOT_OK: No DTC selected</b> DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist DEM_PENDING: Retrieving the DTC functional unit is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment. <b>DEM_BUSY: A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.</b>

Description:	Gets the functional unit of the requested DTC.
--------------	--

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

### Agreed solution:

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."



Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)

Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_91017)

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50  
Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)  
[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisabledDTCRecordUpdateType

5)  
replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)  
Extend return type description as:  
SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"  
SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully  
updated"  
SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record success-  
fully selected"  
SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully  
selected  
–Last change on issue 76727 comment 33–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

**Problem description:**

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_00057: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType  
SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif)  
as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
  - In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.150 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00596

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem module shall provide access on PID data elements of the most important freeze frame being selected for the output of service \$02 (OBD freeze frame of the event which caused MIL on) to the Dcm module (refer to Dem\_DcmReadDataOfOBDFreezeFrame).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.151 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00597

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The index values (refer to API parameter DataElementIndexOfPID) of Dem\_DcmRead DataOfOBDFreezeFrame shall be assigned zero-based and consecutive. Their order shall be derived from the position of the data elements (refer to DcmDspPidDataPos, of the referencing DcmDspPidData containers) in the Dcm's PID layout.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.152 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00598

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04061

**Content:**

Name	DiagnosticMonitorDiagnosticMonitor
------	------------------------------------

Name	DiagnosticMonitorDiagnosticMonitor	
Comment	Provide the capability to modify the event information. One port of this interface type is provided per application-related diagnostic event by the Dem Service Component. It has EventId as a port-defined argument.	
IsService	true	
Variation	–	
Possible Errors	0	E_OK
	1	E_NOT_OK

## Operations:

ClearPrestoredFreezeFrameDiagnosticMonitor.ClearPrestoredFreezeFrame		
Comments	–	
Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemMaxNumberPrestoredFF)} > 0	
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.
	E_NOT_OK	Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

PrestoreFreezeFrameDiagnosticMonitor.PrestoreFreezeFrame		
Comments	–	
Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemMaxNumberPrestoredFF)} > 0	
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.
	E_NOT_OK	Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

ResetEventDebounceStatusDiagnosticMonitor.ResetEventDebounceStatus			
Comments	–		
Variation	({ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDebounceCounterBasedSupport)} == true)    ({ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDebounceTimeBasedSupport)} == true)		
Parameters	DebounceResetStatus DiagnosticMonitor.Reset EventDebounce Status.DebounceReset Status	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_DebounceResetStatus Type
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.	
	E_NOT_OK	Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.	

ResetEventStatusDiagnosticMonitor.ResetEventStatus
--

ResetEventStatusDiagnosticMonitor.ResetEventStatus		
Comments	–	
Variation	–	
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful
	E_NOT_OK	Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

SetEventDisabledDiagnosticMonitor.SetEventDisabled		
Comments	–	
Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport)} != DEM_OBD_NO_OBD_SUPPORT	
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.
	E_NOT_OK	Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

SetEventStatusDiagnosticMonitor.SetEventStatus			
Comments	–		
Variation	–		
Parameters	EventStatusDiagnosticMonitor.SetEventStatus.EventStatus	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_EventStatusType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.	
	E_NOT_OK	Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.	

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77928: [Dem] make interfaces asynchronous (Topic 2 from RfC 76727 - Refine Dem Interface)

### Problem description:

copied from Wigberts RFC 76727:

#### 2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.



==> copied into separate rfc as it is a independent different topic and can be discussed separately

**Agreed solution:**

2) Make

- Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00185 and operation ResetEventStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00598 to:

E\_OK: Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_01080 and operation SetEventAvailable of SWS\_Dem\_01193 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the availability status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the availability status not accepted.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00839 and operation SetWIRStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00838 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was not be accepted (e.g. disabled controlDTCSetting) and should be repeated.

Modify the [SWS\_Dem\_00187] to

The function Dem\_ResetEventStatus shall trigger the setting of the event status bit 0 (TestFailed) to 0 and reset the Dem-internal debounce algorithm to initial values if configured. The Dem shall execute this action asynchronously at a later point in time. c(SRS\_Diag\_04067)

Note : A later point in time means that the exact moment is implementation specific. This could be the next main function. Also a possible call of the status change callback is triggered asynchronously.

Add new requirement before [SWS\_Dem\_0110]

SWS\_Dem\_0xxxx The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetEventAvailable asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.(SRS\_Diag\_04126)

Add new requirement to end of chapter 7.7.9.2 User controlled WarningIndicatorRequested-bit

SWS\_Dem\_0yyyy : The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetWIRStatus asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.

–Last change on issue 77928 comment 5–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

**1.153 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00600****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04030 04181

**Content:**

Name	GeneralDiagnosticInfoGeneralDiagnosticInfo	
Comment	–	
IsService	true	
Variation	–	
Possible Errors	0	E_OK
	1	E_NOT_OK
	10	DEM_E_NO_DTC_AVAILABLE
	14	DEM_E_NO_FDC_AVAILABLE
	21	DEM_BUFFER_TOO_SMALL
	48	DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT

**Operations:**

GetDTCOfEventGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetDTCOfEvent	
Comments	–
Variation	–

GetDTCOfEventGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetDTCOfEvent			
Parameters	EventIdGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetDTCOfEvent.EventId	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_EventIdType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	DTCFormatGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetDTCOfEvent.DTCFormat	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_DTCFormatType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	DTCOfEventGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetDTCOfEvent.DTCOfEvent	Comment	–
		Type	uint32
		Variation	–
		Direction	OUT
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	E_NOT_OK		
	DEM_E_NO_DTC_AVAILABLE	there is no DTC configured in the requested format	

GetDebouncingOfEventGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetDebouncingOfEvent			
Comments	–		
Variation	(((ecuc(Dem/DemConfigSet/DemEventParameter/DemDebounceAlgorithmClass)) instanceof {ecuc(Dem/DemConfigSet/DemEventParameter/DemDebounceAlgorithmClass/DemDebounceCounterBased)}))    ((ecuc(Dem/DemConfigSet/DemEventParameter/DemDebounceAlgorithmClass)) instanceof {ecuc(Dem/DemConfigSet/DemEventParameter/DemDebounceAlgorithmClass/DemDebounceTimeBase)}))		
Parameters	EventIdGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetDebouncingOfEvent.EventId	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_EventIdType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	DebouncingStateGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetDebouncingOfEvent.DebouncingState	Comment	Bit 0 Temporarily Defective (corresponds to $0 < FDC < 127$ ) Bit 1 finally Defective (corresponds to $FDC = 127$ ) Bit 2 temporarily healed (corresponds to $-128 < FDC < 0$ ) Bit 3 Test complete (corresponds to $FDC = -128$ or $FDC = 127$ ) Bit 4 DTR Update (= Test complete && enable conditions / storage conditions fulfilled)
		Type	Dem_DebouncingStateType
		Variation	–
		Direction	OUT
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	E_NOT_OK		

GetEventExtendedDataRecordExGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx			
Comments	-		
Variation	-		
Parameters	EventIdGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx.EventId	Comment	-
		Type	Dem_EventIdType
		Variation	-
		Direction	IN
	RecordNumberGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx.RecordNumber	Comment	-
		Type	uint8
		Variation	-
		Direction	IN
	DestBufferGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx.DestBuffer	Comment	-
		Type	Dem_MaxDataValueType
		Variation	-
		Direction	OUT
	BufsizeGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx.Bufsize	Comment	-
		Type	uint16
		Variation	-
		Direction	INOUT
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	E_NOT_OK		
	DEM_BUFFER_TOO_SMALL	The provided buffer size is too small	
	DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT	The requested event data is not currently stored (but the request was valid) OR The requested record number is not supported by the event OR The requested DID is not supported by the freeze frame (GetEventFreezeFrameDataEx)	

GetEventFreezeFrameDataExGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventFreezeFrameDataEx	
Comments	–
Variation	–

GetEventFreezeFrameDataExGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventFreezeFrameDataEx			
Parameters	EventIdGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventFreezeFrameDataEx.EventId	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_EventIdType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	RecordNumberGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventFreezeFrameDataEx.RecordNumber	Comment	–
		Type	uint8
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	DataIdGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventFreezeFrameDataEx.DataId	Comment	–
		Type	uint16
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	DestBufferGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventFreezeFrameDataEx.DestBuffer	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_MaxDataValueType
		Variation	–
		Direction	OUT
Possible Errors	BufSizeGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventFreezeFrameDataEx.BufSize	Comment	–
		Type	uint16
		Variation	–
		Direction	INOUT
	E_OK	Operation successful	
	E_NOT_OK		
	DEM_BUFFER_TOO_SMALL	The provided buffer size is too small	
	DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT	The requested event data is not currently stored (but the request was valid) OR The requested record number is not supported by the event OR The requested DID is not supported by the freeze frame (GetEventFreezeFrameDataEx)	

GetEventStatus (obsolete)GeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventStatus			
Comments	Gets the current UDS status byte assigned to the DTC for the event Tags: atp.Status=obsolete atp.StatusUseInstead=GetEventUdsStatus		
Variation	–		
Parameters	EventIdGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventStatus.EventId	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_EventIdType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	UDSStatusByteGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventStatus.UDSStatusByte	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_UdsStatusByteType
		Variation	–
		Direction	OUT
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	E_NOT_OK		

GetEventUdsStatusGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventUdsStatus			
Comments	Gets the current UDS status byte assigned to the DTC for the event		
Variation	–		
Parameters	EventIdGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventUdsStatus.EventId	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_EventIdType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	UDSStatusByteGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetEventUdsStatus.UDSStatusByte	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_UdsStatusByteType
		Variation	–
		Direction	OUT
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	E_NOT_OK		

GetFaultDetectionCounterGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetFaultDetectionCounter			
Comments	–		
Variation	–		
Parameters	EventIdGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetFaultDetectionCounter.EventId	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_EventIdType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	FaultDetectionCounterGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetFaultDetectionCounter.FaultDetectionCounter	Comment	–
		Type	sint8
		Variation	–
		Direction	OUT
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	E_NOT_OK		
	DEM_E_NO_FDC_AVAILABLE	there is no fault detection counter available for the requested event	

GetMonitorStatusGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetMonitorStatus	
Comments	–
Variation	–

GetMonitorStatusGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetMonitorStatus			
Parameters	EventIDGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetMonitorStatus.EventID	Comment	Identification of an event by assigned EventId.
		Type	Dem_EventIdType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	MonitorStatusGeneralDiagnosticInfo.GetMonitorStatus.MonitorStatus	Comment	Monitor status byte of the requested event. If the return value of the function call is E_NOT_OK, this parameter does not contain valid data.
		Type	Dem_MonitorStatusType
		Variation	–
		Direction	OUT
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	E_NOT_OK		

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

##### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

##### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

##### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.154 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00608

##### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04030 04181

##### Content:

Name	DTCSuppressionDTCSuppression
------	------------------------------

Operations:

SetDTCSuppressionDTCSuppression.SetDTCSuppression	
Comments	—



SetDTCSuppressionDTCSuppression.SetDTCSuppression			
Variation	–		
Parameters	ClientIDDTCSuppression.SetDTCSuppression.ClientID	Comment	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
		Type	uint8
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	SuppressionStatusDTCSuppression.SetDTC-Suppression.SuppressionStatus	Comment	–
		Type	boolean
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	E_NOT_OK	No DTC selected.	
	DEM_WRONG_DTC	Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.	
	DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN	Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.	

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76511: Uniqueness of DTCs

### Problem description:

1) DTC values are unique in a given DTCOrigin and DemEventManagerMemorySet, but there is no requirement yet expressing this. Only the first sentence of chapter 7.4 mentions something like this (informative and incomplete).

2) Dem\_SetDTCSuppression uses a DTC value as index to identify the DTC to suppress. As DTCs are not unique among the different fault memories the API shall get extended by DTCOrigin and DemEventManagerMemorySet.

### Agreed solution:

1a) Add a new constraint after SWS\_Dem\_01247:

SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx1: The DemDtcValue [ECUC\_Dem\_00887] shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory. (SRS\_Diag\_04126)

1b) Add a new constraint after SWS\_Dem\_01247:

SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx2: The DemDtcValue [ECUC\_Dem\_00885] shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory. (SRS\_Diag\_04126)

2) Change API Dem\_SetDTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_01047] to: Std\_ReturnType

Dem\_SetDTCSuppression(uint8 ClientId, boolean SuppressionStatus)

Use ClientID description from existing APIs.

Do the same for the C/S DTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_00608] in operation Set-DTCSuppression.

Add Dem\_SetDTCSuppression as further bullet point in: SWS\_Dem\_01253

–Last change on issue 76511 comment 13–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76814: Provide getter for dtc suppression

#### Problem description:

The Dem only provides means to set the DTC suppression state, a symmetric API to read back the suppression state of an DTC is missing.

#### Agreed solution:

Add a new C-API:

Std\_ReturnType Dem\_GetDTCSuppression(uint8 ClientId, boolean \*SuppressionStatus)

Asynchronous

Reentrant

Param (in): ClientId - Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module

Param (out): SuppressionStatus - Defines whether the respective DTC is suppressed (TRUE) or enabled (FALSE).

Return value: Std\_ReturnType - E\_OK: Operation was successful

E\_NOT\_OK: Dem\_SelectDTC was not called.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: No valid DTC or DTC group selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Wrong DTC origin selected

DEM\_PENDING: The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later.

Description: "Returns the suppression status of a specific DTC.

API Availability: This API will be available only if (ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemSuppressionSupport) == DEM\_DTC\_SUPPRESSION)"

Add a new operation to C/S interface "DTCSuppression" SWS\_Dem\_00608:

Name: GetDTCSuppression

Take parameters and description from C-API above, link both to SRS\_Diag\_04154

Add new requirement to chapter 7.4.76 Suppress DTC output

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_GetDTC suppression is called, the Dem shall return the status if the DTC is suppressed in the output parameter `SuppressionStatus`. A value of `TRUE` means that the DTC was suppressed by a call of `Dem_SetDTC suppression` with `SuppressionStatus = TRUE`, a value of `FALSE` means, that the DTC is currently not suppressed. (SRS\_Diag\_04154)

Add text below this requirement:

Also there are various reasons, why a DTC is not processed and thus is not visible for diagnostics, the suppression state itself is only changed by `Dem_SetDTC suppression`. `Dem_GetDTC suppression` only evaluates this suppression state.

Remove SWS\_Dem\_00586

Change SWS\_Dem\_01253 to :

If the API `Dem_SelectDTC` is called, the Dem shall use the selected DTC or group of DTC in the requested `DTCOrigin` and `DTCFormat` as target for the following API calls:

`Dem_GetStatusOfDTC`

`Dem_GetSeverityOfDTC`

`Dem_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC`

`Dem_ClearDTC`

`Dem_DisableDTCRecordUpdate`

`Dem_EnableDTCRecordUpdate`

`Dem_GetDTCSelectionResult`

`Dem_GetDTC suppression`.

(SRS\_Diag\_04010)

–Last change on issue 76814 comment 24–

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #77864: [Dem] ReDesign Dem/Dcm interface of AR4.3: issue with SWS\_Dem\_01261

#### **Problem description:**

Dem requirement according to AR for the interface `Dem_SelectDTC()`:

[SWS\_Dem\_01261] API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format d If any of the APIs requiring a `Dem_SelectDTC` (see [SWS\_Dem\_01253]) is called on a se-

lected DTC that does not exist in the selected DTCFormat or if the selected DTC is a group of DTC or no DTC was selected, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. c  
(SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Concern: The text of this requirement can be interpreted in such a way that any call to the API with a group as an argument leads to DEM\_WRONG\_DTC as the return value.

Guess this requirement needs to be rewritten as:

[SWS\_Dem\_01261] API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format | If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC (see [SWS\_Dem\_01253]) is called on a selected DTC that does not exist in the selected DTCFormat or if the selected DTC is a group of DTC or a Single DTC but no DTC was selected, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API.

#### Agreed solution:

Change [SWS\_Dem\_01261] to:

API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format | If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC (see [SWS\_Dem\_01253]) is called while the selection with Dem\_SelectDTC is invalid, then the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API.

A selection is invalid in case the provided DTC-HexCode (parameter DTC) does not specify a valid DTC or GroupOfDTCs in the corresponding DTCFormat. The selection also is invalid, if Dem\_SelectDTC was not called before.

Add return value DEM\_WRONG\_DTC and DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN to Dem\_SetDTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_01047] and operation SetDTCSuppression from DTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_00608]. Use the same description as in SWS\_Dem\_00212.

—Last change on issue 77864 comment 4—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.155 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00609

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	DcmIf (obsolete)DcmIf	
Comment	Provides the operations only related to the Dcm. One port of this interface type is provided globally by the Dem Service Component.  Tags: atp.Status=obsolete	
IsService	true	
Variation	–	
Possible Errors	0	E_OK
	3	DEM_FAILED
	4	DEM_PENDING
	5	DEM_CLEAR_BUSY
	6	DEM_CLEAR_MEMORY_ERROR
	8	DEM_WRONG_DTC
	9	DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN
	11	DEM_CONTROL_DTC_WRONG_DTCGROUP
	12	DEM_CONTROL_DTC_SETTING_N_OK

### Operations:

DcmClearDTCdcmIf.DcmClearDTC	
Comments	–
Variation	–

DcmClearDTCDcmIf.DcmClearDTC			
Parameters	DTCDcmIf.DcmClearDTC.DTC	Comment	–
		Type	uint32
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	DTCFormatDcmIf.DcmClearDTC.DTCFormat	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_DTCFormatType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	DTCOriginDcmIf.DcmClearDTC.DTCOrigin	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_DTCOriginType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	DEM_FAILED		
	DEM_PENDING	Clearing the DTCs is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.	
	DEM_CLEAR_BUSY	Another client is currently clearing DTCs. The requested operation will not be started and the caller shall try again at a later moment.	
	DEM_CLEAR_MEMORY_ERROR	An error occurred during erasing a memory location (e.g. if DemClearDTCBehavior is set to DEM_CLRRESP_NON-VOLATILE_FINISH and erasing of non-volatile-block failed).	
	DEM_WRONG_DTC	Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or clearing is restricted by configuration to group of all DTCs only.	
	DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN	Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.	

DcmEnabledTCSettingDcmIf.DcmEnabledTCSetting			
Comments	–		
Variation	–		
Parameters	DTCTGroupDcmIf.DcmEnableDTCSetting.DTCGroup	Comment	–
		Type	uint32
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	DTCKindDcmIf.DcmEnableDTCSetting.DTCKind	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_DTCKindType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	DEM_CONTROL_DTC_WRONG_DTCGROUP		
	DEM_CONTROL_DTC_SETTING_N_OK		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76903: Return value literals in SWS\_DM\_00666 differ from C-API return value literals

**Problem description:**

SWS\_Dem\_00666 defines return values:

3 DEM\_FAILED  
5 DEM\_BUSY  
6 DEM\_MEMORY\_ERROR  
7 DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED

but in SWS\_Dem\_00656 (Dem\_ClearDTC) the following values are defined:

DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED  
DEM\_CLEAR\_BUSY  
DEM\_CLEAR\_MEMORY\_ERROR

In RfC# 76748 E\_NOT\_OK was added as return value for calls without select.  
This shall also be added.

–Last change on issue 76903 comment 2–

**Agreed solution:**

In SWS\_Dem\_00666:  
remove DEM\_FAILED (as duplicate of DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED)

change return value literals from:  
DEM\_BUSY to DEM\_CLEAR\_BUSY  
DEM\_MEMORY\_ERROR to DEM\_CLEAR\_MEMORY\_ERROR

Add return value:  
E\_NOT\_OK: "No DTC selected"  
–Last change on issue 76903 comment 2–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

**1.156 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00623****Trace References:**

## SRS\_Diag\_04082

### Content:

The function Dem\_DcmGetDTCofoBDFreezeFrame shall return the DTC associated with the most important freeze frame being selected for the output of service \$02 (PID \$02).

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

#### BW-C-Level:



Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.157 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00630

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04074

### Content:

If a single DTC is selected and the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called with a valid Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData.DTC and a valid Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData.Record Number which is not stored, Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData the Dem shall return E\_OK and Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData.BufSize 0 (empty buffer).

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76765: Access to event related data APIs shall depend on Dem\_SelectDTC

#### Problem description:

In 4.3.0 in RfC # 71838 the Dem/Dcm interaction was updated. It was forgotten that the APIs:

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

shall also depend

on Dem\_SelectDTC.

–Last change on issue 76765 comment 7–

#### Agreed solution:

To SWS\_Dem\_01253 add the following two APIs:

- Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData [SWS\_Dem\_91015]
- Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord [SWS\_Dem\_91017]

From API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord remove the following parameters:

- uint32 DTC
- Dem\_DTCType DTCType

Add the following return values to Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData:

E\_OK: The freeze frame is correctly selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.

DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the freeze frame is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Add the following return values to Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord :

E\_OK: The extended data record is correctly selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.

DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the extended data record is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Remove the dependencies to the two parameters and add reference to selected DTC instead in:

[SWS\_Dem\_01272] If the API Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the ExtendedDataNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord

Dem\_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_01268] If the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the FreezeFrameRecordNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData

Dem\_GetSizeOfFreezeFrameSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_00630] If a single DTC is selected and the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called with a valid RecordNumber which is not stored, Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData shall return E\_OK and BufSize 0 (empty buffer). (SRS\_Diag\_04074)

–Last change on issue 76765 comment 11–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.158 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00633

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04099 04202

**Content:**

The function `Dem_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData` shall return the data of the most recent freeze frame record of the requested diagnostic event (refer to `DemDTCAttributes.DemFreezeFrameClassRef`). Note: This does not include the OBD-II or J1939 freeze frame.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new `FO_SRS_Diag`

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new `FO_SRS_Diag` with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on `Dcm/Dem` needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on `Dem/Dcm`

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of `SRS_Diag` in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into `Dem`.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the `SRS_Diag` harmonization. If `Dem` and `Dcm` will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the `SRS-Diag` (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
`SRS_Diag_04069` -> `SRS_Diag_04204`

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

`SRS_Diag_04069`: [`SWS_Dem_00570`] [`SWS_Dem_00571`],[`SWS_Dem_00572`]

[`SWS_Dem_00573`],[`SWS_Dem_00661`],[`SWS_Dem_00664`],[`SWS_Dem_01057`],[`SWS_Dem_0`

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.159 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00634

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04099 04202

### Content:

If DemGeneral.DemTriggerDltReports is enabled, the Dem module shall provide access on all extended data records (refer to Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords) to the Dlt module.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

- 1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.160 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00635

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04099 04202

**Content:**

The function Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords shall report the data of all extended data records of the requested diagnostic event.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were

replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.161 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00636

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04099

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordDataDem_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData( Dem_EventIdType EventId, uint8* DestBuffer, uint16* BufSize )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x41	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Non Reentrant	
Parameters (in):	EventIdDem_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData.EventId	Identification of an event by assigned EventId.
Parameters (inout):	BufSizeDem_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData.BufSize	When the function is called this parameter contains the maximum number of data bytes that can be written to the buffer. The function returns the actual number of written data bytes in this parameter.

Parameters (out):	DestBufferDem_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData.DestBuffer	This parameter contains a byte pointer that points to the buffer, to which the freeze frame record shall be written to. The format is raw hexadecimal values and contains no header-information.
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Operation was successful. DEM_E_NODATAAVAILABLENO_SUCH_ELEMENT: The requested event data is not currently stored (but the request was valid). DEM_PENDING: The requested data is currently transported from NvM and needs to be requested again.
Description:	Gets the data of an most recent freeze frame record by event. The OBD-II freeze frame is not returned by this function.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76939: [DEM] [Dlt] Avoid using of DET codes as API return types

#### Problem description:

The DET error code DEM\_E\_NODATAAVAILABLE shall not be used as return value of C-APIs. Use DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT instead.

#### Agreed solution:

Change return value DEM\_E\_NODATAAVAILABLE to DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT in the following APIs:

Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData [SWS\_Dem\_00636]

Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords [SWS\_Dem\_00637]

Add requirement to chapter "7.11.7 Interaction with Diagnostic Log & Trace (Dlt)":

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData is called and for the provided EventId no UDS snapshot record is stored, the Dem shall return DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT. The value of the out parameters DestBuffer and BufSize are undefined in this case.

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: If Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords is called and for the provided EventId no extended data record is stored, the Dem shall return DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT. The value of the out parameters DestBuffer and BufSize are undefined in this case.

=== SWS DLT ===

Add the following requirement below SWS\_Dlt\_00478:

+ [SWS\_Dlt\_00xx1]

Only if Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords returns with E\_OK, the provided information shall be further processed by the DLT module. No retry nor error handling shall be done. (SRS\_Dlt\_00007)

Add the following requirement below SWS\_Dlt\_00479:

+ [SWS\_Dlt\_00xx2]

Only if Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData() returns with E\_OK, the provided information shall be further processed by the DLT module. No retry nor error handling shall be done. (SRS\_Dlt\_00007)

–Last change on issue 76939 comment 7–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.162 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00637

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04099

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecordsDem_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords( Dem_EventIdType EventId, uint8* DestBuffer, uint16* BufSize )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x40	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Non Reentrant	
Parameters (in):	EventIdDem_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords.EventId	Identification of an event by assigned EventId.
Parameters (inout):	BufSizeDem_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords.BufSize	When the function is called this parameter contains the maximum number of data bytes that can be written to the buffer. The function returns the actual number of written data bytes in this parameter.



Parameters (out):	DestBufferDem_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords.DestBuffer	This parameter contains a byte pointer that points to the buffer, to which the extended data shall be written to. The format is raw hexadecimal values and contains no header-information.
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Operation was successful. DEM_E_NODATAAVAILABLENO_SUCH_ELEMENT: The requested event data is not currently stored (but the request was valid). DEM_PENDING: The requested data is currently transported from NvM and needs to be requested again.
Description:	Gets the data of all extended data records of an event.	

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76939: [DEM] [Dlt] Avoid using of DET codes as API return types

**Problem description:**

The DET error code DEM\_E\_NODATAAVAILABLE shall not be used as return value of C-APIs. Use DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT instead.

**Agreed solution:**

Change return value DEM\_E\_NODATAAVAILABLE to DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT in the following APIs:

Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData [SWS\_Dem\_00636]  
Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords [SWS\_Dem\_00637]

Add requirement to chapter "7.11.7 Interaction with Diagnostic Log & Trace (Dlt)":

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData is called and for the provided EventId no UDS snapshot record is stored, the Dem shall return DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT. The value of the out parameters DestBuffer and BufSize are undefined in this case.

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: If Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords is called and for the provided EventId no extended data record is stored, the Dem shall return DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT. The value of the out parameters DestBuffer and BufSize are undefined in this case.

=== SWS DLT ===

Add the following requirement below SWS\_Dlt\_00478:

+ [SWS\_Dlt\_00xx1]

Only if Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords returns with E\_OK, the provided information shall be further processed by the DLT module. No retry nor error handling shall be done. (SRS\_Dlt\_00007)

Add the following requirement below SWS\_Dlt\_00479:

+ [SWS\_Dlt\_00xx2]

Only if Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData() returns with E\_OK, the provided information shall be further processed by the DLT module. No retry nor error handling shall be done. (SRS\_Dlt\_00007)

–Last change on issue 76939 comment 7–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.163 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00643

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04068, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the data element DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_AGINGCTR\_UPCNT is configured, the aging counter mapping shall be based on a count-up mechanism from 0 to DemDTCAAttributes.DemAgingCycleCounter Threshold (refer to ISO-14229-1ISO\_2d\_14229\_2d\_1\_2d\_2013, Annex D).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.164 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00645****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04010, SRS\_Diag\_04000, SRS\_Diag\_04001, SRS\_Diag\_04112

**Content:**

The Dem module shall support different DTC numbers for UDS, OBD, and J1939 DTCs based on separate configuration parameters (refer to parameters DemDTC and DemObd DTC in DemConfigSet).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality.

This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.165 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00650

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04079 04204

**Content:**

Each call of Dem\_SetFreezeFrameRecordFilter shall lead to a reset of the sequence.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.166 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00661

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04065, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

### Content:

The first client requesting call to clear diagnostic information shall lock the clearing process for all other clients.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.167 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00662

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04065, SRS\_Diag\_04122

**Content:**

If the clearing process is locked and another client calls the Dem\_ClearDTC API, the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_BUSY

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76535: [Dem] duplicated req 662 / 664

**Problem description:**

[SWS\_Dem\_00662] d If the clearing process is locked and another client calls the Dem\_ClearDTC API, the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_BUSY  
c(SRS\_Diag\_04065,  
SRS\_Diag\_04122)

[SWS\_Dem\_00664] d If the clearing process is locked, the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_BUSY for any subsequent call of Dem\_ClearDTC from a different client.

=> both are stating the same requirement: one can be removed.

Do we have a req for defining behavior in case a client is calling clear (or any other asynchronous service) and then calling selectDTC before the asynchronous service is completed?

**Agreed solution:**

- 1) Remove SWS\_Dem\_00662
- Last change on issue 76535 comment 3–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.168 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00664****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04065, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

**Content:**

If the clearing process is locked, the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_BUSY for any subsequent call of Dem\_ClearDTC from a different client.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.169 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00665

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04122

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_ClearDTCDem_ClearDTC	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_ClearDTC( uint8 ClientId )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x23	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_ClearDTC.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	



Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: DTC successfully cleared E_NOT_OK: No DTC selected DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or clearing is restricted by configuration to group of all DTCs only. DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist DEM_CLEAR_FAILED: DTC clearing failed DEM_CLEAR_BUSY: Another client is currently clearing DTCs. The requested operation will not be started and the caller shall try again at a later moment. DEM_CLEAR_MEMORY_ERROR: An error occurred during erasing a memory location (e.g. if DemClearDTCBehavior is set to DEM_CLRRESP_NON-VOLATILE_FINISH and erasing of non-volatile-block failed). DEM_PENDING: Clearing the DTCs is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment. DEM_BUSY: A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.
Description:	Clears single DTCs, as well as groups of DTCs.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

#### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

- 1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

- 2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:  
SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)  
[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)  
replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)  
Extend return type description as:  
SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"  
SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"  
SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"  
SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"  
–Last change on issue 76727 comment 33–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

**Problem description:**

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected

DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_XXXX1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType  
SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
  - In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.170 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00666

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04122

### Content:

Name	ClearDTCClearDTC
------	------------------

Name	ClearDTCClearDTC	
Comment	Provides the operations only related to complex device drivers. One port of this interface type is provided globally by the Dem Service Component. It has ClientId as a port-defined argument.	
IsService	true	
Variation	–	
Possible Errors	0	E_OK
	3 1	DEM_FAILED E_NOT_OK
	4	DEM_PENDING
	5	DEM_CLEAR_BUSY
	6	DEM_CLEAR_MEMORY_ERROR
	7	DEM_CLEAR_FAILED
	8	DEM_WRONG_DTC
	9	DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN
	22	DEM_BUSY

## Operations:

ClearDTCClearDTC.ClearDTC		
Comments	–	
Variation	–	
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful
	DEM_FAILED E_NOT_OK	No DTC selected
	DEM_PENDING	Clearing the DTCs is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.
	DEM_CLEAR_BUSY	Another client is currently clearing DTCs. The requested operation will not be started and the caller shall try again at a later moment.
	DEM_CLEAR_MEMORY_ERROR	An error occurred during erasing a memory location (e.g. if DemClearDTCBehavior is set to DEM_CLRRESP_NON-VOLATILE_FINISH and erasing of non-volatile-block failed).
	DEM_CLEAR_FAILED	DTC clearing failed
	DEM_WRONG_DTC	Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or clearing is restricted by configuration to group of all DTCs only.
	DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN	Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.
	DEM_BUSY	A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.

SelectDTCClearDTC.SelectDTC	
Comments	–
Variation	–

SelectDTCClearDTC.SelectDTC			
Parameters	DTCClearDTC.Select DTC.DTC	Comment	–
		Type	uint32
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	DTCFormatClearDTC.Select DTC.DTCFormat	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_DTCFormatType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
	DTCOriginClearDTC.Select DTC.DTCOrigin	Comment	–
		Type	Dem_DTCOriginType
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successful	
	E_NOT_OK	No DTC selected	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

#### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)

Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)



Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in [SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76903: Return value literals in SWS\_DM\_00666 differ from C-API return value literals

#### Problem description:

SWS\_Dem\_00666 defines return values:

3 DEM\_FAILED  
5 DEM\_BUSY  
6 DEM\_MEMORY\_ERROR  
7 DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED

but in SWS\_Dem\_00656 (Dem\_ClearDTC) the following values are defined:

DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED  
DEM\_CLEAR\_BUSY  
DEM\_CLEAR\_MEMORY\_ERROR

In RfC# 76748 E\_NOT\_OK was added as return value for calls without select.  
This shall also be added.

–Last change on issue 76903 comment 2–

#### Agreed solution:

In SWS\_Dem\_00666:  
remove DEM\_FAILED (as duplicate of DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED)

change return value literals from:  
DEM\_BUSY to DEM\_CLEAR\_BUSY  
DEM\_MEMORY\_ERROR to DEM\_CLEAR\_MEMORY\_ERROR

Add return value:  
E\_NOT\_OK: "No DTC selected"  
–Last change on issue 76903 comment 2–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #77580: Clarify DEM\_FAILED return value

#### Problem description:

It seems that DEM\_FAILED on SWS\_DEM\_00666 is not used and actually DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED is meant.

#### Agreed solution:

Remove DEM\_FAILED from SWS\_DEM\_00666.  
–Last change on issue 77580 comment 4–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

**1.171 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00667****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_0409204191, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

**Content:**

If the DTC is not configured to use event combination, the out-parameter DemClearEvent Allowed.Allowed of the callback DemClearEventAllowed returns “false” and the return value is equal to E\_OK, the Dem shall not clear the respective event memory entry and Dem-internal data values Dem-internalDataValue.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #73864: [Dem] further clarification of CLEAR\_FAILED (Dem\_01202)

**Problem description:**

req for returning CLEAR\_FAILED needs to be more detailed.

Especially scenarios with event combination will result in strange return values, in case clearEventAllowed is considered.

E.g. consider 2 event are mapped to the same dtc and combination on retrieval is configured. one event returns clearAllowed=FALSE and the other return clearAllowed=TRUE. The visible result of the clear is, it is not cleared.

**Agreed solution:**

Change requirement :

[SWS\_Dem\_01202] If the Dem is requested to clear diagnostic information, the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED in case:

- the requested supported DTC(s) is not cleared
- all ClearEventAllowed callbacks return FALSE (in case of event combination ClearEventAllowed returns FALSE for one event of the DTC).

by :

[SWS\_Dem\_01202] If the Dem is requested to clear diagnostic information, the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED:

- in case of clearing a single DTC at least one ClearEventAllowed callback assigned to the event of the DTC returns FALSE.
- in case of clearing a group or all DTCs, the individual DTCs shall be cleared like described in clearing single DTC. The resulting return value of clear group or clear all shall be DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED if all individual DTCs returned DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED.

Change the [SWS\_Dem\_00667] by :

[SWS\_Dem\_00667] If the DTC is not configured to use event combination, the out-parameter Allowed of the callback ClearEventAllowed returns false (and the return value is equal to E\_OK) after the execution of this callback function, the Dem shall not clear the respective event memory entry and Dem-internal data values.(SRS\_Diag\_04092, SRS\_Diag\_04122)

Add new requirement below [SWS\_Dem\_00667] :

[SWS\_Dem\_00XXX] If the DTC is configured to use event combination, if only one ClearEventAllowed callback has the out-parameter Allowed set to FALSE and returns E\_OK after the execution of this callback function, the Dem shall not clear the event status, respective event memory entry and Dem-internal data values. (SRS\_Diag\_04092, SRS\_Diag\_04122, SRS\_Diag\_04073)

–Last change on issue 73864 comment 4–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.172 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00668****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_0409204191, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

**Content:**

If the out-parameter 'Allowed' of the callback DemClearEventAllowed returns "false" and the configuration parameter DemCallbackClearEventAllowed.DemClearEventAllowedBehavior is set to DemCallbackClearEventAllowed.DemClearEventAllowedBehavior.DEM\_NO\_STATUS\_BYTE\_CHANGE, the related UDS status byte shall not be modified.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be

released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.173 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00669

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_0409204191, SRS\_Diag\_04122 04194

**Content:**

If the out-parameter DemClearEventAllowed.Allowed of the callback DemClearEvent Allowed returns "false" and the configuration parameter DemCallbackClearEventAllowed.DemClearEventAllowedBehavior is set to DemCallbackClearEventAllowed.DemClearEventAllowedBehavior.DEM\_ONLY\_THIS\_CYCLE\_AND\_READINESS, the related UDS status bits 1 (TestFailedThisOperationCycle), 4 (TestNotCompletedSinceLastClear), 5 (TestFailedSinceLastClear), and 6 (TestNotCompletedThisOperationCycle) shall be reset.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**



Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.174 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00670

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04117

### Content:

If the configuration parameter DemGeneral.DemClearDTCLimitation is set to DemGeneral.DemClearDTCLimitation.DEM\_ONLY\_CLEAR\_ALL\_DTCS, the APIs Dem\_ClearDTC are called with a selected DTC group different to DEM\_DTC\_GROUP\_ALL\_DTCS the Dem shall return Dem\_ReturnClearDTCType.DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

#### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

- 1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

- 2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

- 3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

- a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)  
Dem\_ClearDTC()  
Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)  
a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:  
Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:  
SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:  
SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:  
The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:  
Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)  
Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)  
Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)  
Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)  
C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in [SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.175 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00673

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_0407604178, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the data element `DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM_AGINGCTR_DOWNCNT` is configured, the aging counter mapping shall be based on a count-down mechanism from `DemDTCAAttributes.DemAgingCycleCounterThreshold` to 0 (refer to ISO-14229-1:2013, Annex D).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.176 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00693****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

If an event is reported and an event memory entry with the same priority exists and the existing event is tested in this operation cycle (`TestNotCompletedThisOperationCycle` bit == 0), the Dem shall discard the reported event.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.177 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00695****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04102 04195

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEventDisplacement is set to TRUE all emission related events with the following conditions shall not be considered during the displacement mechanism and shall not be displaced from error memory:

Eventold is emission related

AND

(Eventold triggers MIL indicator

OR (Eventold holds legislative Freeze Frame AND has equal or higher priority)

OR Pending-DTC==1)

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.178 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00696

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04102 04195

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEventDisplacement is set to FALSE the Dem module shall process the displacement without the OBD-behavior (refer to figure [REF fig\_3a\_dem\_model\_draw\_Combined\_displacement\_criteria\_processing]).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.179 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00698

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04133, SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

### Content:

The process of aging (counting of aging counter) starts when healing is completed (WarningIndicatorRequested bit == 0 , refer to [REF fig\_3a\_dem\_model\_draw\_event\_deletion\_processing]).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.180 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00703****Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem of the Master ECU shall calculate information for PID \$31 / \$4D / \$4E vehicle wide.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.



**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem. The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

- 1) search for "No description"
- 2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204
- 3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.181 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00704

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

Only the Master ECU is allowed to report information for PID \$31 / \$4D / \$4E to the scan tool.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.182 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00709****Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

Ratios which refer to a Suppressed Event shall not be computed.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.183 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00710

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The numerator shall be calculated in the ECU (Master and Primary).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.184 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00711

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04082

### Content:

The Dem of the Master ECU shall calculate the IUMPR-Cycle Flag.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.185 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00712****Trace References:**[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)**Content:**

In master and optionally primary OBD ECUs, the Dem shall increment ECU global internal general denominator depending on the denominatorDem\_lumprDenomCondIdType.DEM\_IUMPR\_GENERAL\_OBDCOND, if available. Depending on the system definition the global general denominator (and therefore the Dem\_lumprDenomCondIdType.DEM\_IUMPR\_GENERAL\_OBDCOND) may not be needed in some or even all primary ECUs. In such cases the Dem\_lumprDenomCondIdType.DEM\_IUMPR\_GENERAL\_OBDCOND is never set, and the general denominator is stuck at 0 (and reporting of the InfoType \$08 / \$0B is disabled in the Dcm).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were

replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.186 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00714

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem shall provide an API Dem\_SetIUMPRDenCondition to get informed about the IUMPR-Cycle Flag status by a software component.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and

DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

- 1) search for "No description"
- 2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204
- 3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.187 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00715

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem module shall provide configuration parameter DemRatio.DemIUMPRDenGroup to offer several conditions to be applied to the denominator per RatioId.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**



Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.188 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00717

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem shall provide the API Dem\_SetIUMPRDenCondition to set the status of a particular condition (mainly in depending ECUs).

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.189 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00718

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04082

### Content:

In Master, Primary and Dependent / Secondary ECUs executing service \$04 shall clear all emission-related diagnostic information in primary and user defined (event) memory (if configured) as defined in SAE J1979 SAE\_2d\_J1979.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

- 1) search for "No description"
- 2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204
- 3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.190 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00719

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

Executing service \$04 shall include non emission-related diagnostic information (e.g. De-bouncing counter, Event/DTC related status information as TestFailedSinceLastClear) of primary and all user defined event memories (if configured) in Master, Primary and Dependent / Secondary ECUs.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality.

This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.191 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00735

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_SetDataOfPID21Dem_SetDataOfPID21	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SetDataOfPID21( const uint8* PID21value )	
Service ID[hex]:	0xa6	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Non Reentrant	
Parameters (in):	PID21valueDem_SetDataOf PID21.PID21value	Buffer containing the contents of PID \$21. The buffer is provided by the Dcm with the appropriate size, i.e. during configuration, the Dcm identifies the required size from the largest PID in order to configure a PIDBuffer.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	Always E_OK is returned, as E_NOT_OK will never appear.
Description:	Service to set the value of PID \$21 in the Dem by a software component. API is needed in OBD-relevant ECUs only. API Availability: This API will be available only if ((ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport)) != DEM_OBD_NO_OBD_SUPPORT)	

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #77924: Inconsistency between Dem and Rte interfaces

**Problem description:**

SWS\_Rte\_07086 : All input parameters that are passed by reference or passed as an array expression shall be declared as pointer to const with the means of the P2CONST macro.

For the APIS, Dem\_SetDataOfPID<NM> (where NM = 21, 31, 4D, and 4E) the pointer parameters are of type IN, which is not according to the requirement [SWS\_Rte\_07086]. This is causing inconsistency between Dem and Rte interfaces.

**Agreed solution:**

[SWS\_Dem\_00735]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID21(uint8 const \*PID21value)

[SWS\_Dem\_00736]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID31(uint8 const \*PID31value)

[SWS\_Dem\_00737]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID4D(uint8 const \*PID4Dvalue)

[SWS\_Dem\_00738]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID4E(uint8 const \*PID4Evalue)

–Last change on issue 77924 comment 1–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.192 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00736****Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_SetDataOfPID31Dem_SetDataOfPID31
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SetDataOfPID31( const uint8* PID31value )
Service ID[hex]:	0xa7

Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Non Reentrant	
Parameters (in):	PID31valueDem_SetDataOf PID31.PID31value	Buffer containing the contents of PID \$31. The buffer is provided by the Dcm with the appropriate size, i.e. during configuration, the Dcm identifies the required size from the largest PID in order to configure a PIDBuffer.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	Always E_OK is returned, as E_NOT_OK will never appear.
Description:	Service to set the value of PID \$31 in the Dem by a software component. API is needed in OBD-relevant ECUs only. API Availability: This API will be available only if $\{\{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport)\} \neq DEM\_OBD\_NO\_OBD\_SUPPORT\}$	

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77924: Inconsistency between Dem and Rte interfaces

### Problem description:

SWS\_Rte\_07086 : All input parameters that are passed by reference or passed as an array expression shall be declared as pointer to const with the means of the P2CONST macro.

For the APIS, Dem\_SetDataOfPID<NM> (where NM = 21, 31, 4D, and 4E) the pointer parameters are of type IN, which is not according to the requirement [SWS\_Rte\_07086]. This is causing inconsistency between Dem and Rte interfaces.

### Agreed solution:

[SWS\_Dem\_00735]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID21(uint8 const \*PID21value)

[SWS\_Dem\_00736]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID31(uint8 const \*PID31value)

[SWS\_Dem\_00737]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID4D(uint8 const \*PID4Dvalue)

[SWS\_Dem\_00738]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID4E(uint8 const \*PID4Evalue)

–Last change on issue 77924 comment 1–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.193 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00737

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_SetDataOfPID4DDem_SetDataOfPID4D	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SetDataOfPID4D( const uint8* PID4Dvalue )	
Service ID[hex]:	0xa8	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Non Reentrant	
Parameters (in):	PID4DvalueDem_SetDataOfPID4D.PID4Dvalue	Buffer containing the contents of PID \$4D. The buffer is provided by the Dcm with the appropriate size, i.e. during configuration, the Dcm identifies the required size from the largest PID in order to configure a PIDBuffer.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	Always E_OK is returned, as E_NOT_OK will never appear.
Description:	Service to set the value of PID \$4D in the Dem by a software component. API is needed in OBD-relevant ECUs only. API Availability: This API will be available only if {ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport)} != DEM_OBD_NO_OBD_SUPPORT)	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77924: Inconsistency between Dem and Rte interfaces

#### Problem description:

SWS\_Rte\_07086 : All input parameters that are passed by reference or passed as an array expression shall be declared as pointer to const with the means of the P2CONST macro.

For the APIS, Dem\_SetDataOfPID<NM> (where NM = 21, 31, 4D, and 4E) the pointer parameters are of type IN, which is not according to the requirement [SWS\_Rte\_07086]. This is causing inconsistency between Dem and Rte interfaces.

#### Agreed solution:



[SWS\_Dem\_00735]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID21(uint8 const \*PID21value)

[SWS\_Dem\_00736]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID31(uint8 const \*PID31value)

[SWS\_Dem\_00737]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID4D(uint8 const \*PID4Dvalue)

[SWS\_Dem\_00738]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID4E(uint8 const \*PID4Evalue)

–Last change on issue 77924 comment 1–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.194 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00738

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04082

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_SetDataOfPID4EDem_SetDataOfPID4E	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SetDataOfPID4E( const uint8* PID4Evalue )	
Service ID[hex]:	0xa9	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Non Reentrant	
Parameters (in):	PID4EvalueDem_SetDataOf PID4E.PID4Evalue	Buffer containing the contents of PID \$4E. The buffer is provided by the Dcm with the appropriate size, i.e. during configuration, the Dcm identifies the required size from the largest PID in order to configure a PIDBuffer.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	Always E_OK is returned, as E_NOT_OK will never appear.
Description:	Service to set the value of PID \$4E in the Dem by a software component. API is needed in OBD-relevant ECUs only. API Availability: This API will be available only if {{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport)}} != DEM_OBD_NO_OBD_SUPPORT)	

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #77924: Inconsistency between Dem and Rte interfaces

**Problem description:**

SWS\_Rte\_07086 : All input parameters that are passed by reference or passed as an array expression shall be declared as pointer to const with the means of the P2CONST macro.

For the APIS, Dem\_SetDataOfPID<NM> (where NM = 21, 31, 4D, and 4E) the pointer parameters are of type IN, which is not according to the requirement [SWS\_Rte\_07086]. This is causing inconsistency between Dem and Rte interfaces.

**Agreed solution:**

[SWS\_Dem\_00735]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID21(uint8 const \*PID21value)

[SWS\_Dem\_00736]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID31(uint8 const \*PID31value)

[SWS\_Dem\_00737]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID4D(uint8 const \*PID4Dvalue)

[SWS\_Dem\_00738]

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDataOfPID4E(uint8 const \*PID4Evalue)

–Last change on issue 77924 comment 1–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.195 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00748****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04141

**Content:**

The function Dem\_DcmReadDataOfPID1C shall return the appropriate value “OBD requirements to which vehicle or engine is certified.” according to the respective standards ISO\_2d\_15031\_2d\_5, e.g. OBD, OBDII, JOBD etc. The value PID1Cvalue to return is in configuration parameter DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDCCompliance defined.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.196 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00754****Trace References:**

**SRS\_Diag\_04082****Content:**

The Dem shall use the DemDtr.DemDtrUpdateKind for the evaluation and processing of reported DTR-values, i.e. **DTRs are only forwarded to the Dcm, if the related event (if it is configured) has a certain state.** :

- DemDtr.DemDtrUpdateKind.DEM\_DTR\_UPDATE\_ALWAYS: State of the **Event event** is not considered (default, and used if DemDtr.DemDtrEventRef is not configured).
- DemDtr.DemDtrUpdateKind.DEM\_DTR\_UPDATE\_STEADY: Only when the **Events events** pre-debouncing within Dem is “stuck“ at the FAIL or PASS limit, and the latest result matches the debouncing direction.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #77941: [Dem] DTR handling

**Problem description:**

1) [SWS\_Dem\_00754] The Dem shall use the DemDtrUpdateKind for the evaluation and processing of reported DTR-values, i.e. DTRs are only forwarded to the Dcm, if the related event (if it is configured) has a certain state  
-> the second part starting with "i.e. DTRs are ..." in the above requirement is misleading and should be removed.

2) DemDtrUpdateKind in description already mentions the default value. This should be made explicit.

3) DemDtrUasid is defined as post-build modifiable. However, if the UASID is changed, then it would generally be necessary to also change the coefficients of conversion. But those parameters are not postbuild.

4) The following requirement is unclear concerning consideration of storage-condition and enablecondition:

[SWS\_Dem\_00757] Based on DemDtrUpdateKind and the status of the associated event (taking enable/storage conditions into account), the Dem shall either process or ignore the reported DTR values.

5) SWS\_Dem\_00765 mentions that the API Dem\_SetDTR() is reentrant  
-> it should only be reentrant for different dtrids

**Agreed solution:**

1) in [SWS\_Dem\_00754] remove the following text:

"i.e. DTRs are only forwarded to the Dcm, if the related event (if it is configured) has a certain state"

2) in DemDtrUpdateKind [ECUC\_Dem\_00812]:

change description to "Update conditions applied by the Dem to reports of DTR values. Only supported if a related Event is configured".

Add default value "DEM\_DTR\_UPDATE\_ALWAYS"

Set multiplicity to 1.

4) change [SWS\_Dem\_00757] to:

If DemDtrEventRef references a DemEventParameter, the Dem shall process the reported DTR values only if all the enable/storage conditions of the referenced event are fulfilled, independent of DemDtrUpdateKind. If any of the enable/storage conditions of the referenced event are not fulfilled, then the Dem shall ignore the reported DTR values.

5) in SWS\_Dem\_00765 (Dem\_SetDTR) change Reentrancy to: "Reentrant for different DTRIds. Non reentrant for the same DTRId.

–Last change on issue 77941 comment 4–

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### **Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### **Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### **Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.197 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00755

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem shall only support a linear mapping, i.e. only support values for the coefficients b, c, and f.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no

added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.198 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00756

#### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04030 04179

#### Content:

The Dem shall provide an API Dem\_SetDTR within an interface DTRCentralReport to provide a report mechanism to the SW-C for their test results. Arguments shall be the DemDtr.DemDtrId, the test value, the minimum and maximum limit, and a state indicating whether the monitor provides a valid **minium** **minimum** limit, maximum limit, or both, or whether the reported values shall be reset to zero.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.199 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00757

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082

**Content:**

Based on If DemDtr.DemDtrUpdateKind and the status of the associated event (taking EventRef references a DemEventParameter, the Dem shall process the reported DTR values only if all the enable/storage conditions of the referenced event are fulfilled, independent of DemDtr.DemDtrUpdateKind. If any of the enable/storage conditions into account), of the referenced event are not fulfilled, then the Dem shall either process or ignore the reported DTR values.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #77941: [Dem] DTR handling

**Problem description:**

1) [SWS\_Dem\_00754] The Dem shall use the DemDtrUpdateKind for the evaluation and processing of reported DTR-values, i.e. DTRs are only forwarded to the Dcm, if the related event (if it is configured) has a certain state  
-> the second part starting with "i.e. DTRs are ..." in the above requirement is misleading and should be removed.

2) DemDtrUpdateKind in description already mentions the default value. This should be made explicit.



3) DemDtrUasid is defined as post-build modifiable. However, if the UASID is changed, then it would generally be necessary to also change the coefficients of conversion. But those parameters are not postbuild.

4) The following requirement is unclear concerning consideration of storage-condition and enablecondition:

[SWS\_Dem\_00757] Based on DemDtrUpdateKind and the status of the associated event (taking enable/storage conditions into account), the Dem shall either process or ignore the reported DTR values.

5) SWS\_Dem\_00765 mentions that the API Dem\_SetDTR() is reentrant  
-> it should only be reentrant for different dtrids

#### **Agreed solution:**

1) in [SWS\_Dem\_00754] remove the following text:  
"i.e. DTRs are only forwarded to the Dcm, if the related event (if it is configured) has a certain state"

2) in DemDtrUpdateKind [ECUC\_Dem\_00812]:  
change description to "Update conditions applied by the Dem to reports of DTR values. Only supported if a related Event is configured".  
Add default value "DEM\_DTR\_UPDATE\_ALWAYS"  
Set multiplicity to 1.

4) change [SWS\_Dem\_00757] to:  
If DemDtrEventRef references a DemEventParameter, the Dem shall process the reported DTR values only if all the enable/storage conditions of the referenced event are fulfilled, independent of DemDtrUpdateKind. If any of the enable/storage conditions of the referenced event are not fulfilled, then the Dem shall ignore the reported DTR values.

5) in SWS\_Dem\_00765 (Dem\_SetDTR) change Reentrancy to: "Reentrant for different DTRIds. Non reentrant for the same DTRId."  
-Last change on issue 77941 comment 4-

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### **Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.200 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00759

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04030 04179

**Content:**

The Dem shall ensure the validity of the test results vs. threshold(s). If the parameter Dem\_SetDTR.TestResult passed in Dem\_SetDTR is inside/outside the thresholds given by Dem\_SetDTR.UpperLimit and Dem\_SetDTR.LowerLimit, Dem\_DcmGetDTR-Data.Testvalue of Dem\_DcmGetDTRData shall also be inside/outside Dem\_DcmGetDTR-Data.Upplimvalue and Dem\_DcmGetDTRData.Lowlimvalue. If rounding effects lead to an unintended change of the meaning, the thresholds shall be shifted by one increment accordingly to restore the result.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.201 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00760

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Upon request by the DcmIf Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs is called with an "availability Obdmid" value of 0x00, 0x20, the Dem shall compute 0x40, 0x60, 0x80, 0xA0, 0xC0 or 0xE0 and the Dem has configured DemDtr.DemDtrMid, the Dem shall compute and provide the "Supported-OBDMID" information, i.e. the "availability OBDMIDs". Since the in the OUT parameter Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs reports only value per standardized OBDMID (\$00, \$20, \$40...), the Dcm has to call this API iteratively until no further availability OBDMID is supported Obdmidvalue and return E\_OK. The computed Obdmids parameter is according to ISO 15031-5ISO\_2d\_15031\_2d\_5 and sets a bit for each supported Obdmid in the request range (starting with Obdmid+1) and having the last bit of the Dem\_Dcm

**GetAvailableOBDMIDs.Obdmidvalue** set, if any subsequent range has configured Obdmids.

#### **RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #77870: [Dem / Dcm] ReDesign Dem/Dcm interface of AR4.3: issue with Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs()

#### **Problem description:**

[SWS\_Dem\_00760] d Upon request by the Dcm, the Dem shall compute Supported-OBDMID information, i.e. the availability OBDMIDs. Since the Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs reports only value per standardized OBDMID (00, 20, 40...), the Dcm has to call this API iteratively until no further availability OBDMID is supported. c()

Since Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs reports only value per standardized OBDMID (00, 20, 40...), the Dcm has to call this API iteratively until no further availability OBDMID is supported. But there is no matching requirement in the Dcm spec.

Refer to Dcm requirement below

[SWS\_Dcm\_00957] d On reception of an OBD Service 06 request with only "availability OBDMID(s)" as parameter(s), the Dcm module shall obtain the supported OBDMIDs by calling the DEM interface Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs() for each "availability OBDMID (00, 20, ...)" contained within the request and concatenate the results within the response message. c()

Moreover Dcm expects to get supported OBDMIDs by calling Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs, but in Dem spec it is only said to compute, not provide, OBD MID information.

SWS\_Dem\_00760 should be rephrased to:

Upon request by the Dcm, via Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs() the Dem shall compute and provide Supported-OBDMID information, i.e. the availability OBDMIDs.

Additionally SWS\_Dcm\_00957 should be rephrased to call Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs() iteratively until no further availability OBDMID is supported

#### **Agreed solution:**

In DEM:

Rephrase the SWS\_Dem\_00760 to:

[SWS\_Dem\_00760] If Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs is called with an "availability Obdmid" value of 0x00, 0x20, 0x40, 0x60, 0x80, 0xA0, 0xC0 or 0xE0 and the Dem has configured DemDtrMid [ECUC\_Dem\_00809], the Dem shall compute and provide the Supported-OBDMID information in the OUT parameter Obdmidvalue and return E\_OK. The computed Obdmids parameter is according to ISO-15031-5 and sets a bit for each supported Obdmid in the request range (starting with Obdmid+1) and having the last bit of the Obdmidvalue set, if any subsequent range has configured Obdmids.

After SWS\_Dem\_00760 add new requirement :

SWS\_Dem\_00xxx : If Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs is called with an invalid Obdmid value, the Dem shall report the Det development error DEM\_E\_INVALID\_OBDMID.(SRS\_DIAG\_04082)

Update the table SWS\_Dem\_00173 with

Type or error:Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs called with invalid OBDMID Relevance: Development Related error: DEM\_E\_INVALID\_OBDMID code Value: 0x50 [hex]

Remove in [SWS\_Dem\_00766] the return value E\_NOT\_OK: Report of DTR result failed.

In DCM:

Change [SWS\_Dcm\_00957] to

On reception of an OBD Service 06 request with only "availability OBDMID(s)" as parameter(s), the Dcm module shall obtain the supported OBDMIDs by calling the DEM interface Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs()for each "availability OBDMID (00, 20, ...)" contained within the request and concatenate the results within the response message according to ISO-15031-5.

–Last change on issue 77870 comment 11–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.202 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00762

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

## Content:

Upon request by the Dcm, the Dem shall respond with the data available for a particular OBDMID / TID-index per requested OBDMID using the API Dem\_DcmGetDTRData. This value can be used by the Dcm to iteratively request for the DTR data per OBDMID / TID-index starting from 0 to number-of-TIDs minus one.

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.203 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00764

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

### Content:

If no Event is assigned per DTR, the Dem shall reset the DTR data upon a Service\$04 clear command by the Dcm, i.e. a Dem\_ClearDTC with:

**Dem\_DcmClearDTC.DTC** = DEM\_DTC\_GROUP\_ALL\_DTCS

**Dem\_DcmClearDTC.DTCFormat** = Dem\_DTCFormatType.DEM\_DTC\_FORMAT\_OBD

**Dem\_DcmClearDTC.DTCOrigin** = Dem\_DTCOriginType.DEM\_DTC\_ORIGIN\_PRIMARY\_MEMORY

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality.

This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.204 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00765

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04030 04181

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_SetDTRDem_SetDTR	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SetDTR( uint16 DTRId, sint32 TestResult, sint32 LowerLimit, sint32 UpperLimit, Dem_DTRControlType Ctrlval )	
Service ID[hex]:	0xa2	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different DTRIds. Non reentrant for the same DTRId.	
Parameters (in):	DTRIdDem_SetDTR.DTRId	Identification of a DTR element by assigned DTRId.
	TestResultDem_SetDTR.TestResult	Test result of DTR
	LowerLimitDem_SetDTR.LowerLimit	Lower limit of DTR
	UpperLimitDem_SetDTR.UpperLimit	Upper limit of DTR
	CtrlvalDem_SetDTR.Ctrlval	Control value of the DTR to support its interpretation Dem-internally.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Report of DTR result successful E_NOT_OK: Report of DTR result failed



Description:	Reports a DTR result with lower and upper limit. The internal eventstatus serves as master whether the DTR values are forwarded or ignored, also taking the DTRUpdateKind into account. The EventId that is related to the DTR is assigned per configuration (and derived from ServiceNeeds). Processing takes enable/storage conditions into account. API is needed in OBD-relevant ECUs only. API Availability: This API will be available only if $\{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport)\} \neq DEM\_OBD\_NO\_OBD\_SUPPORT$
--------------	---

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77941: [Dem] DTR handling

#### Problem description:

1) [SWS\_Dem\_00754] The Dem shall use the DemDtrUpdateKind for the evaluation and processing of reported DTR-values, i.e. DTRs are only forwarded to the Dcm, if the related event (if it is configured) has a certain state  
-> the second part starting with "i.e. DTRs are ..." in the above requirement is misleading and should be removed.

2) DemDtrUpdateKind in description already mentions the default value. This should be made explicit.

3) DemDtrUasid is defined as post-build modifiable. However, if the UASID is changed, then it would generally be necessary to also change the coefficients of conversion. But those parameters are not postbuild.

4) The following requirement is unclear concerning consideration of storage-condition and enablecondition:

[SWS\_Dem\_00757] Based on DemDtrUpdateKind and the status of the associated event (taking enable/storage conditions into account), the Dem shall either process or ignore the reported DTR values.

5) SWS\_Dem\_00765 mentions that the API Dem\_SetDTR() is reentrant  
-> it should only be reentrant for different dtrids

#### Agreed solution:

1) in [SWS\_Dem\_00754] remove the following text:  
"i.e. DTRs are only forwarded to the Dcm, if the related event (if it is configured) has a certain state"

2) in DemDtrUpdateKind [ECUC\_Dem\_00812]:  
change description to "Update conditions applied by the Dem to reports of DTR values. Only supported if a related Event is configured".

Add default value "DEM\_DTR\_UPDATE\_ALWAYS"  
Set multiplicity to 1.

4) change [SWS\_Dem\_00757] to:

If DemDtrEventRef references a DemEventParameter, the Dem shall process the reported DTR values only if all the enable/storage conditions of the referenced event are fulfilled, independent of DemDtrUpdateKind. If any of the enable/storage conditions of the referenced event are not fulfilled, then the Dem shall ignore the reported DTR values.

5) in SWS\_Dem\_00765 (Dem\_SetDTR) change Reentrancy to: "Reentrant for different DTRIds. Non reentrant for the same DTRId."  
—Last change on issue 77941 comment 4—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.205 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00766

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDsDem_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs
---------------	--

Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs( uint8 Obdmid, uint32* Obdmidvalue )	
Service ID[hex]:	0xa3	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant	
Parameters (in):	ObdmidDem_DcmGetAvailable OBDMIDs.Obdmid	Availability OBDMID (\$00,\$20, \$40...)
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	ObdmidvalueDem_DcmGetAvailable OBDMIDs.Obdmidvalue	Bit coded information on the support of OBDMIDs.
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Report of DTR result successful E_NOT_OK: Report of DTR result failed
Description:	Reports the value of a requested "availability-OBDMID" to the DCM upon a Service \$06 request. Derived from that the tester displays the supported tests a mechanic can select from. API is needed in OBD-relevant ECUs only.  API Availability: This API will be available only if {ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport)} != DEM_OBD_NO_OBD_SUPPORT)	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77870: [Dem / Dcm] ReDesign Dem/Dcm interface of AR4.3: issue with Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs()

#### Problem description:

[SWS\_Dem\_00760] d Upon request by the Dcm, the Dem shall compute Supported-OBDMID information, i.e. the availability OBDMIDs. Since the Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs reports only value per standardized OBDMID (00, 20, 40...), the Dcm has to call this API iteratively until no further availability OBDMID is supported. c()

Since Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs reports only value per standardized OBDMID (00, 20, 40...), the Dcm has to call this API iteratively until no further availability OBDMID is supported. But there is no matching requirement in the Dcm spec.

Refer to Dcm requirement below

[SWS\_Dcm\_00957] d On reception of an OBD Service 06 request with only "availability OBDMID(s)" as parameter(s), the Dcm module shall obtain the supported OBDMIDs by calling the DEM interface Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs() for each "availability OBDMID (00, 20, ...)" contained within the request and concatenate the results

within the response message. c())

Moreover Dcm expects to get supported OBDMIDs by calling Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs, but in Dem spec it is only said to compute, not provide, OBD MID information.

SWS\_Dem\_00760 should be rephrased to:

Upon request by the Dcm, via Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs() the Dem shall compute and provide Supported-OBDMID information, i.e. the availability OBDMIDs.

Additionally SWS\_Dcm\_00957 should be rephrased to call Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs() iteratively until no further availability OBD-MID is supported

#### **Agreed solution:**

In DEM:

Rephrase the SWS\_Dem\_00760 to:

[SWS\_Dem\_00760] If Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs is called with an "availability Obdmid" value of 0x00, 0x20, 0x40, 0x60, 0x80, 0xA0, 0xC0 or 0xE0 and the Dem has configured DemDtrMid [ECUC\_Dem\_00809], the Dem shall compute and provide the Supported-OBDMID information in the OUT parameter Obdmidvalue and return E\_OK. The computed Obdmids parameter is according to ISO-15031-5 and sets a bit for each supported Obdmid in the request range (starting with Obdmid+1) and having the last bit of the Obdmidvalue set, if any subsequent range has configured Obdmids.

After SWS\_Dem\_00760 add new requirement :

SWS\_Dem\_00xxx : If Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs is called with an invalid Obdmid value, the Dem shall report the Det development error DEM\_E\_INVALID\_OBDMID.(SRS\_DIAG\_04082)

Update the table SWS\_Dem\_00173 with

Type or error: Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs called with invalid OBDMID Relevance: Development Related error: DEM\_E\_INVALID\_OBDMID code Value: 0x50 [hex]

Remove in [SWS\_Dem\_00766] the return value E\_NOT\_OK: Report of DTR result failed.

In DCM:

Change [SWS\_Dcm\_00957] to

On reception of an OBD Service 06 request with only "availability OBDMID(s)" as parameter(s), the Dcm module shall obtain the supported OBDMIDs

by calling the DEM interface Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs() for each "availability OBDMID (00, 20, ...)" contained within the request and concatenate the results within the response message according to ISO-15031-5.

–Last change on issue 77870 comment 11–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.206 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00773

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

In case the counter is available and started, it shall be incremented at the end of the referenced operation cycle (refer to DemEventParameter.DemOperationCycleRef).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.207 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00775

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04074, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the counter 'Cycles since first failed' is mapped to an extended data record (DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_CYCLES\_SINCE\_FIRST\_FAILED), it shall be available per 'event related data' record.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.208 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00779****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04068, SRS\_Diag\_0410404189, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the counter 'Failed cycles' is mapped to an extended data record (DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_FAILED\_CYCLES), it shall be available per 'event related data' record.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.209 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00787

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04070 04195

### Content:

If an 'stored event' gets re-qualified as failed (UDS status bit 0 changes from 0 to 1) and a respective event memory entry exists, the Dem module shall update the chronological order of the event storage by setting the particular event as most recent event memory entry.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.210 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00817

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04127, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

### Content:

Internal data elements (refer to DemInternalDataElementClass) shall not be stored, but the current value shall be used instead.



**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.211 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00818****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04068, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_MAX\_FDC\_SINCE\_LAST\_CLEAR, then the Dem-internal value of the maximum Fault Detection Counter since last clear (refer to chapter [REF sub-sub\_3a\_FaultDetectionCounterReporting]) shall be mapped to the respective data element.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #77608: [Dem] Check clear parameters according ISO

**Problem description:**

taken from comment 13 of RFC # 76727

Statement from Carl-Eike:

"as I understood, the timing chart for clearing given in the ISO has some strong requirements on evaluation order. Wouldn't those be violated, if a clear fails due to a wrong DTC at a later step? It's well possible that it doesn't matter

much or at all, but as it seems it was the reason to introduce GetSelectionResult for use in the clear processing.

I've noticed something else reading the SWS. Why are so many requirements starting with "The API Dem\_Xyz shall"? The API is the set of everything needed by the application to use the Dem (say, # defines, typedefs, functions, ...). Dem\_Xyz on the other hand is simply a function - part of the API, but surely not "the API". This is evident especially for those functions that only make any sense when used in combination. Could the "The API " part be removed from all the requirements?"

#### Conclusion:

currently the Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult function cannot consider the restriction of DemClearDTCLimitation [ECUC\_Dem\_00790]. Therefore getDTCSelectionResult does not correctly indicate, whether a later clear-request will succeed. This is required for proper ISO handling.

#### Agreed solution:

Add a new API Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC(  
uint8 ClientId  
)

Service ID[hex]:

Sync/Async: Asynchronous

Reentrancy: Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.

Parameters (in): ClientId Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.

Parameters (inout): None

Parameters (out): None

Return value: Std\_ReturnType E\_OK: The DTC select parameter check is successful and the requested DTC or group of DTC in the selected origin is selected for further operations.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or a single DTC was selected and Dem only supports to Clear all DTCs

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist

DEM\_PENDING: Checking the SelectDTC parameters is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again later.

Add requirement below requirement SWS\_Dem\_1258

SWS\_Dem\_xxxxx :

The API Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC shall behave exactly as Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult with the only difference that, DEM\_WRONG\_DTC is returned in case of a single DTC was selected but the Dem is configured to delete

only all DTCs for that client.

–Last change on issue 77608 comment 2–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.212 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00819

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04127, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DEM\_MAX\_FDC\_DURING\_CURRENT\_CYCLE, then the Dem-internal value of the maximum Fault Detection Counter during current operation cycle (refer to chapter [REF subsub\_3a\_FaultDetectionCounterReporting]) shall be mapped to the respective data element.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.213 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00820****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04127, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_CYCLES\_SINCE\_LAST\_FAILED, then the Dem-internal value of the operation cycle counter since last failed (refer to chapter [REF subsub\_3a\_CyclesSinceLastFailed]) shall be mapped to the respective data element.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.214 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00821

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_0410404189, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

### Content:

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_CYCLES\_SINCE\_FIRST\_FAILED, then the Dem-internal value of the operation cycle counter since first failed (refer to chapter [REF subsub\_3a\_CyclesSinceFirstFailed]) shall be mapped to the respective data element.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.215 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00822

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04079, SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_FAILED\_CYCLES, then the Dem-internal value of the failed operation cycle counter (refer to chapter [REF subsub\_3a\_FailedCycles]) shall be mapped to the respective data element.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.216 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00823

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04096 04067

**Content:**

If configuration parameter DemGeneral.DemResetConfirmedBitOnOverflow is set to false and in case an event has UDS status bit 3 set and gets qualified as passed and is not stored in an event memory entry the Dem module shall try to allocate an event memory entry to get an aging counter.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update.

There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.217 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00826

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemGeneral.DemAgingRequiresTestedCycle is set to True, the Dem module shall process (count further) the aging cycle counter value, if the respective aging cycle ends/restarts and the UDS status bit 6 is set to zero.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**



Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.218 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00830

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

If the UDS status changed by clearing the event memory Dcm\_DemTriggerOnDTCStatus shall not be called.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #70300: [Dem] remove SWS\_Dem\_00830 and Dem\_DcmControlDTCStatusChangedNotification

**Problem description:**

The specifications covering avoidance of DTCStatusChange notifications to DCM are unclear.

Simone pointed me to the following rfc, which introduced the functionality:  
[https://www.autosar.org/bugzilla/show\\_bug.cgi?id=51077](https://www.autosar.org/bugzilla/show_bug.cgi?id=51077)

Still i did not see any reason of the above mentioned functionality.

Therefore i propose to remove it.

**Rational:**

- excluding the clear from status change notifications results in an incontinuous list of status changes reported to DCM.
- excluding status changes from roe can be managed within DCM. there is no need to bother the dem.
- the approach makes the behavior of dtcStatusChange inconsistent to dcmDtc-StatuChange

### Agreed solution:

Remove SWS\_Dem\_00830

–Last change on issue 70300 comment 26–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.219 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00838

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04128

### Content:

Name	EventStatusEventStatus	
Comment	Provides the capability modify the event status. One port of this interface type is provided per application-related diagnostic event by the Dem Service Component. It has EventId as a port-defined argument.	
IsService	true	
Variation	–	
Possible Errors	0	E_OK
	1	E_NOT_OK

### Operations:

SetWIRStatusEventStatus.SetWIRStatus			
Comments	–		
Variation	–		
Parameters	WIRStatusEventStatus.SetWIRStatus.WIRStatus	Comment	–
		Type	boolean
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successfulRequest to set the WIR status was successful.	
	E_NOT_OK	Request to set the WIR status was not accepted (e.g. disabled controlDTCSetting) and should be repeated.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77928: [Dem] make interfaces asynchronous (Topic 2 from RfC 76727 - Refine Dem Interface)

**Problem description:**

copied from Wigberts RFC 76727:

## 2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

==> copied into separate rfc as it is a independent different topic and can be discussed separately

**Agreed solution:**

## 2) Make

- Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00185 and operation ResetEventStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00598 to:

E\_OK: Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_01080 and operation SetEventAvailable of SWS\_Dem\_01193 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the availability status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the availability status not accepted.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00839 and operation SetWIRStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00838 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was not be accepted (e.g. disabled controlDTCSetting) and should be repeated.

Modify the [SWS\_Dem\_00187] to

The function Dem\_ResetEventStatus shall trigger the setting of the event status bit 0 (TestFailed) to 0 and reset the Dem-internal debounce algorithm to initial values if configured. The Dem shall execute this action asynchronously at a later point in

time. c(SRS\_Diag\_04067)

Note : A later point in time means that the exact moment is implementation specific. This could be the next main function. Also a possible call of the status change callback is triggered asynchronously.

Add new requirement before [SWS\_Dem\_0110]

SWS\_Dem\_0xxxx The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetEventAvailable asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.(SRS\_Diag\_04126)

Add new requirement to end of chapter 7.7.9.2 User controlled WarningIndicatorRequested-bit

SWS\_Dem\_0yyyy : The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetWIRStatus asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.

–Last change on issue 77928 comment 5–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.220 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00839

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_SetWIRStatusDem_SetWIRStatus
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SetWIRStatus( Dem_EventIdType EventId, boolean WIRStatus )
Service ID[hex]:	0x7a
Sync/Async:	Synchronous Asynchronous
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different EventIds. Non reentrant for the same EventId.

Parameters (in):	EventIdDem_SetWIRStatus.EventId	Identification of an event by assigned EventId. The Event Number is configured in the DEM. Min.: 1 (0: Indication of no Event or Failure) Max.:Result of configuration of Event Numbers in DEM (Max is either 255 or 65535)
	WIRStatusDem_Set WIRStatus.WIRStatus	Requested status of event related WIR-bit (regarding to the current status of function inhibition) WIRStatus = TRUE -> WIR-bit shall be set to "1" WIRStatus = FALSE -> WIR-bit shall be set to "0"
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: <b>The request is accepted Request to set the WIR status was successful.</b> E_NOT_OK: <b>not be Request to set the WIR status was not accepted</b> (e.g. disabled controlDTCSetting) and should be repeated.
Description:	Sets the WIR status bit via failsafe SW-Cs. This API can only be used through the RTE and therefore no declaration is exported via Dem.h.	

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77928: [Dem] make interfaces asynchronous (Topic 2 from RfC 76727 - Refine Dem Interface)

### Problem description:

copied from Wigberts RFC 76727:

#### 2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

==> copied into separate rfc as it is a independent different topic and can be discussed separately

### Agreed solution:

#### 2) Make

- Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00185 and operation ResetEventStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00598 to:

E\_OK: Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_01080 and operation SetEventAvailable of SWS\_Dem\_01193 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the availability status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the availability status not accepted.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00839 and operation SetWIRStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00838 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was not be accepted (e.g. disabled controlDTCSetting) and should be repeated.

Modify the [SWS\_Dem\_00187] to

The function Dem\_ResetEventStatus shall trigger the setting of the event status bit 0 (TestFailed) to 0 and reset the Dem-internal debounce algorithm to initial values if configured. The Dem shall execute this action asynchronously at a later point in time. c(SRS\_Diag\_04067)

Note : A later point in time means that the exact moment is implementation specific. This could be the next main function. Also a possible call of the status change callback is triggered asynchronously.

Add new requirement before [SWS\_Dem\_0110]

SWS\_Dem\_0xxxx The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetEventAvailable asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.(SRS\_Diag\_04126)

Add new requirement to end of chapter 7.7.9.2 User controlled WarningIndicatorRequested-bit

SWS\_Dem\_0yyyy : The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetWIRStatus asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.

–Last change on issue 77928 comment 5–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.221 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00850

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04030, SRS\_Rte\_00182 04181

**Content:**

Name	DataServicees_{Data}DataServicees_{Data}
Comment	—
IsService	false
Variation	((ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDataElementClass)) instanceof {ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDataElementClass/DemExternalSRDataElementClass)}) Data = {ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDataElementClass.SHORT-NAME)}

Name	DataServices_{Data}DataServices_{Data}	
Data Elements	dataDataServices_{Data}.data	
	Type	boolean
	Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDataElementClass/DemExternalSRDataElementClass/DemDataElementDataType)} == BOOLEAN
	dataDataServices_{Data}.data	
	Type	sint8
	Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDataElementClass/DemExternalSRDataElementClass/DemDataElementDataType)} == SINT8
	dataDataServices_{Data}.data	
	Type	sint16
	Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDataElementClass/DemExternalSRDataElementClass/DemDataElementDataType)} == SINT16
	dataDataServices_{Data}.data	
	Type	sint32
	Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDataElementClass/DemExternalSRDataElementClass/DemDataElementDataType)} == SINT32
	dataDataServices_{Data}.data	
	Type	uint32
	Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDataElementClass/DemExternalSRDataElementClass/DemDataElementDataType)} == UINT32
	dataDataServices_{Data}.data	
	Type	uint8
	Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDataElementClass/DemExternalSRDataElementClass/DemDataElementDataType)} == UINT8
	dataDataServices_{Data}.data	
	Type	uint16
	Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemDataElementClass/DemExternalSRDataElementClass/DemDataElementDataType)} == UINT16

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)



**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.222 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00853****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

For all operation cycles with DemOperationCycle.DemOperationCycleAutostart set to true Dem\_Init shall start the operation cycle (identical to Dem\_SetOperationCycleState is called with parameter Dem\_OperationCycleStateType.DEM\_CYCLE\_STATE\_START) with the exception of executing all callbacks resulting from UDS status byte changes.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.223 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00854****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

The operation cycle state shall be evaluated, when processing the events from the queue. If the operation cycle related to that event is started, the event shall be processed regularly (similar to Dem\_SetEventStatus). Otherwise in case the operation cycle is not started the event shall be dropped and the debounce counter shall be reset to zero.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

##### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

##### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

##### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.224 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00874

#### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04112

#### Content:

The function Dem\_J1939DcmGetNumberOfFilteredDTC shall return the number of J1939 DTCs matching the defined filter criteria of Table 4 for the corresponding primary event memory of the requested ClientId by the function call of Dem\_J1939DcmSetDTCFilter.

- In case the function has calculated the total number of matching DTCs, the return value shall be **Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType.DEM\_NUMBER\_E\_OK**.
- In case the search needs to be interrupted due to internal implementations or limitations, the return value can be set to **Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType.DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING**. The out parameter needs not to be valid in this case. The next call of Dem\_J1939DcmGetNumberOfFilteredDTC should continue after the interrupted element.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

**Problem description:**

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected

DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_00001: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType  
SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
  - In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.225 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00915

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04154 04126

### Content:

The Dem shall suppress a DTC if all related events of this DTC are not available (refer to chapter [REF sub\_3a\_Availability\_20\_of\_20\_events])

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.226 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00920****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04074, SRS\_Com\_02041

**Content:**

The Dem shall extend the endianness conversion defined in **OSEKISO\_2d\_COM 17356\_2d\_3** Chapter 2.4 to signed data types.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #73564: References to OSEK

**Problem description:**

The OSEK web site is not available.  
References need to be updated.

OSEK was pushed to ISO 17356  
ISO 17356-2: introduction?  
ISO 17356-3: OS  
ISO 17356-4: COM  
ISO 17356-5: NM (not sure we need to have such reference)  
ISO 17356-6: OIL (not sure we need to have such reference)

I tried to select the documents where it would make sense to get a fix.

**Agreed solution:**

Replace references to

\* OSEK web site

\* the OSEK file name / version

with an ISO reference (with ISO version)

Check references to OSEK subsection (or avoid such references), to make sure that the section numbering in ISO is the same.

SWS OS

=====

Change references in chapter 3.2.1 to ISO. Remove [16], [18], [19] and [20]

Remove [22] from chapter 3.2.2

Remove "OSEKtime OS [16] and the HIS Protected OSEK [22] are immature specifications that contain concepts necessary for AUTOSAR and satisfy specific application domains. It is the purpose of this document to identify these needs and to recommend the use of parts (or all) of these specifications as appropriate." from chapter 4.1.

Change "OSEK OS" to new ISO reference ("OSEK OS[.]" - many occurrences, also in chapter 10)

Remove "So called hard and smooth synchronization from OSEKtime [16] are supported by this single unified concept in AUTOSAR OS. Smooth synchronization may be emulated by setting the small adjustment values on the final expiry point. Hard synchronization may be emulated by setting large adjustment values on the final expiry point." from 7.4.2.2.3

Remove "and provides the type of protection given by the OSEKtime Interrupt re-enable schedule event [16]." from 7.7.2.1

Remove chapter 12.3

SWCT:

=====

Replace reference to OSEK COM:

Fifteen filter algorithms formally described by the enumeration type `DataFilterTypeEnum` in the meta-model are taken from OSEK COM 3.0.3 specification [18] that is referenced by the RTE specification [2].

by:

Fifteen filter algorithms formally described by the enumeration type `DataFilterTypeEnum` in the meta-model are taken from the ISO 17356-4 specification [18] that is referenced by the RTE specification [2].

Replace:

[TPS\_SWCT\_01222] Applicability of `DataFilter`

This OSEK specification states that filtering is only used for messages that can be interpreted as C language unsigned integer types (characters, unsigned integers and enumerations).(RS\_SWCT\_03221)

by:

[TPS\_SWCT\_01222] Applicability of `DataFilter`

The ISO 17356-4 specification states that filtering is only used for messages that can be interpreted as C language unsigned integer types (characters, unsigned integers and enumerations).(RS\_SWCT\_03221)

Replace:

[constr\_1044] Applicability of `DataFilter`

According to the origin of `DataFilter`, i.e. OSEK COM 3.0.3 specification [18], `DataFilters` can only be applied to values with an integer base type.()

by:

[constr\_1044] Applicability of `DataFilter`

According to the origin of `DataFilter`, i.e. ISO 17356-4 specification [18], `DataFilters` can only be applied to values with an integer base type.()

Replace footnote to [constr\_1090]:

This constraint is valid at least in the OSEK standard where an extended task

(that can have wait points) can only exist a single time in the context of the scheduler.

by:

This constraint is valid at least in the ISO 17356-3 standard where an extended task (that can have wait points) can only exist a single time in the context of the scheduler.

=====

Dem

=====

Replace the reference [17] Communication in Chapter 3.1 Input documents & related standards and norms Bibliography by : ISO 17356-3 in [www.iso.org/](http://www.iso.org/)

=====

Dcm

=====

Replace the reference [8]Communication in Chapter 3.1 Input documents & related standards and norms Bibliography by : ISO 17356-3 in [www.iso.org/](http://www.iso.org/)

=====

EXP\_VFB

Add an entry in chapter "13 References" related to ISO 17356-4:

ISO 17356-4

OSEK/VDX Communication (COM)

[www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Change the references to "OSEK-COM V3.0.3" in Table 4.2 (4.3.2 From the point of view of the receiver) and EXP\_Vfb\_00028 (4.3.4 Filtering between the sender and the receiver) to "ISO 17356-4". Link the references to the entry in chapter "13 References".

=====

SRS\_BSWGeneral

5 General Requirements on Basic Software

Replace "OSEK OS" by "ISO 17356-3"

5.2.3.4 Standard header Files

Replace in [SRS\_BSW\_00348]

Because E\_OK is already defined within OSEK OS, E\_OK has to be checked



for being already defined:

/\* for OSEK compliance this typedef has been added \*/

by

Because E\_OK is already defined within ISO 17356-3, E\_OK has to be checked for being already defined

/\* for ISO 17356 compliance this typedef has been added \*/

## 6.2 Related Standards and Norms

Remove

### 6.2.1 OSEK

[STD\_OSEK\_OS] OSEK/VDX Operating System Specification

<http://www.osek-vdx.org>

Replace with ISO 17356-3 norm

=====

SRS\_COM

1)

Remove [DOC\_OSEK\_GLOS] and all its references, since a) AUTOSAR has an own wording and glossary b) the references to (old) OSEK-terms most probably create more confusion than guidance

2)

Set reference of [DOC\_OSEK\_COM] to: ISO 17356-4: COM

Move reference to Chapter 7.3 ISO and remove Chapter 7.2 OSEK

3)

Remove section references to [DOC\_OSEK\_COM], just keep the textual references no numbers

4)

Search and replace textual references to OSEK COM 3.0.3 by ISO 17356-4: COM

5)

[SRS\_Com\_02084]: Change following text in Description,

from

< The possibilities to define those conditions shall be the same as defined in [DOC\_OSEK\_COM] reception filter algorithms (see [DOC\_OSEK\_GLOS], Section

2.2.2).

to

> The possibilities to define those conditions shall be the same as defined in [DOC\_ISO\_COM] reception filter algorithms (see [DOC\_ISO\_COM], Section 3.2.3).

6)

[SRS\_Com\_02058]: Change following text in Supporting Material,  
from

< If no update bits are used, the AUTOSAR COM module provides the deadline monitoring defined in [DOC\_OSEK\_COM] (Section 2.5.1).

to

> If no update bits are used, the AUTOSAR COM module provides the deadline monitoring defined in [DOC\_ISO\_COM] (Section 3.5.1).

=====

SRS\_Os

in chapter 6.2.1 OSEK:

Replace "[STD\_OSEK\_OS] OSEK/VDX Operating System, Version 2.2.3,  
<http://www.osek-vdx.org/mirror/os223.pdf>"  
by "[STD\_OSEK\_OS] ISO 17356-3: OS"

Replace "[STD\_OSEK\_OIL] OSEK / VDX Implementation Language (OIL) V2.5,  
OSEK Implementation Language, <http://www.osek-vdx.org/mirror/oil25.pdf>"  
by "[STD\_OSEK\_OIL] ISO 17356-6: OIL"

Remove "[STD\_OSEK\_TTOS] OSEK/VDX Time-Triggered Operating System,  
Version 1.0, July 24, 2001, <http://www.osek-vdx.org/mirror/ttos10.pdf>"

Remove "[STD\_OSEK\_ORTI] OSEK/VDX ORTI (OSEK RunTime Interface)  
Part A Version 2.1.1, Part B Version 2.1, <http://www.osek-vdx.org/mirror/ORTI-A-211.pdf>"

in [SRS\_Os\_11002]: remove [STD\_OSEK\_TTOS] from Supporting Material

=====

SWS\_COM

1)

Set reference of [17] to ISO 17356-4: COM

Set reference of [18] to ISO 17356-6: OIL

2)

Search and replace textual references to OSEK COM 3.0.3 by ISO 17356-4: COM or [17] (if suitable)

and textual references to OSEK OIL by ISO 17356-6: OIL or [18] (if suitable)

=====

SWS\_StandardTypes:

In Section 3.2: replace

[7] OSEK/VDX Operating System, Version 2.2.2 [www.osek-vdx.org/os222.pdf](http://www.osek-vdx.org/os222.pdf)  
by

[7] OSEK/VDX Operating System, ISO 17356-3: OS

=====

CP\_TR\_AutosarModelConstraints

see PS for the SWCT.

=====

=====

SRS\_NetworkManagement:

1) Change Section 7.2.1 name from "OSEK" to "ISO 17356-5"

Remove the description:

[5] [STD\_OSEK\_NM]

OSEK/VDX NM Specification (ISO 17356-5), Version 2.5.3

[STD\_OSEK\_NM] OSEK/VDX NM Specification (ISO 17356-5), V2.5.3

<http://www.osek-vdx.org/>

Change to :

OSEK/VDX NM Specification

[www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

==&gt;

7.2.1 ISO 17356-5

[5] ISO 17356-5: NM Specification

[www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

2) Replace "OSEK-NM" to "ISO 17356-5: NM Specification" in [SRS\_Nm\_02515]

3) Replace "OSEK NM 2.5.3" to "ISO 17356-5: NM Specification" in [SRS\_Nm\_00142]

–Last change on issue 73564 comment 28–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.227 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00952

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04067

### Content:

Name:	Dem_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCTypeDem_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType		
Type:	uint8		
Range:	DEM_STATUS_OKDem_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType.DEM_STATUS_OK	0x00	Status of DTC is OK
	DEM_STATUS_WRONG_DTCDem_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType.DEM_STATUS_WRONG_DTC	0x01	DTC value not existing (in this format)
	DEM_STATUS_WRONG_DTCORIGINDem_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType.DEM_STATUS_WRONG_DTCORIGIN	0x02	Wrong DTC origin
	DEM_STATUS_FAILEDDem_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType.DEM_STATUS_FAILED	0x03	DTC failed
	DEM_STATUS_PENDINGDem_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType.DEM_STATUS_PENDING	0x04	The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later.
Description:	Used to return the status of Dem_DcmGetStatusOfDTC.		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76947: Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType is no longer used

#### Problem description:

Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType [SWS\_Dem\_00952] is no longer used as it was replaced by Std\_ReturnType within RfC# 71838.

SWS\_Dem\_01100 still shows the wrong return types.

#### Agreed solution:

Remove Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType [SWS\_Dem\_00952]

Change in SWS\_Dem\_01100 all return types to DEM\_WRONG\_DTC. For better

readability rephrase the requirement to:

[SWS\_Dem\_01100] "Behavior of DTC query functions for suppressed DTCs" -  
In case any of the following APIs is called

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData

Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

Dem\_ClearDTC

on a single selected DTC which is suppressed, the Dem shall return  
DEM\_WRONG\_DTC.

(SRS\_Diag\_04154)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.228 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00953

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name:	Dem_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType (obsolete)Dem_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType (obsolete)		
Type:	uint8		
Range:	DEM_GET_SEVERITYOFDTC-OKDem_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTC-Type.DEM_GET_SEVERITYOFDTC_OK	OK	Severity successfully returned.
	DEM_GET_SEVERITYOFDTC-WRONG_DTCDem_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTC-Type.DEM_GET_SEVERITYOFDTC_WRONG_DTC	WRONG_DTC	DTC value not existing (in UDS format)
	DEM_GET_SEVERITYOFDTC-NOSEVERITYDem_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTC-Type.DEM_GET_SEVERITYOFDTC_NOSEVERITY	NOSEVERITY	Severity information is not available
	DEM_GET_SEVERITYOFDTC-PENDINGDem_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTC-Type.DEM_GET_SEVERITYOFDTC_PENDING	PENDING	The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later.
Description:	Used to return the status of Dem_DcmGetSeverityOfDTC.  Tags: atp.Status=obsolete		

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

### Agreed solution:

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous

operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)

Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

–Last change on issue 76727 comment 33–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.229 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00954

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04156

### Content:

Name:	Dem_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType (obsolete)Dem_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType (obsolete)		
Type:	uint8		
Range:	DEM_GET_FUNCTIONALUNITOFDTC-GetFunctionalUnitOfDCType.DEM_GET_FUNCTIONALUNITOFDTC_OK	0FDTC_OKDem_Return	Functional unit successfully returned.
	DEM_GET_FUNCTIONALUNITOFDTC-GetFunctionalUnitOfDCType.DEM_GET_FUNCTIONALUNITOFDTC_WRONG_DTC	0FDTC_WRONG_DTCDem_Return	DTC value not existing (in UDS format)
Description:	Used to return the status of Dem_DcmGetFunctionalUnitOfDTC.  Tags: atp.Status=obsolete		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:



- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

**Problem description:**

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_XXXX1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)

Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50

Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisabledDTCRecordUpdateType

5)  
replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)  
Extend return type description as:  
SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"  
SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully  
updated"  
SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record success-  
fully selected"  
SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully  
selected  
—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.230 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00956

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Name:	Dem_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (obsolete)Dem_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (obsolete)		
Type:	uint8		
Range:	DEM_NUMBER_OKDem_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTC-Type.DEM_NUMBER_OK	0x00	Getting number of filtered DTCs was successful.
	DEM_NUMBER_FAILEDDem_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTC-Type.DEM_NUMBER_FAILED	0x01	Getting number of filtered DTCs failed.
	DEM_NUMBER_PENDINGDem_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTC-Type.DEM_NUMBER_PENDING	0x02	The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later.
Description:	Used to return the status of Dem_DcmGetNumberOfFilteredDTC.  Tags: atp.Status=obsolete		

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

**Problem description:**

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_XXXX1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)

Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50

Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisabledDTCRecordUpdateType

5)  
replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)  
Extend return type description as:  
SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"  
SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"  
SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"  
SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"  
–Last change on issue 76727 comment 33–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.231 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00958

#### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04072](#)

#### Content:

Name:	Dem_ReturnGetDTCByOccurrenceTimeTypeDem_ReturnGetDTCByOccurrenceTimeType		
Type:	uint8		
Range:	DEM_OCCURR_OKDem_ReturnGetDTCByOccurrenceTimeType.DEM_OCCURR_OK	0x00	matching DTC available
	DEM_OCCURR_NOT_AVAILABLEDem_ReturnGetDTCByOccurrenceTimeType.DEM_OCCURR_NOT_AVAILABLE	0x01	no DTC is matching the requested occurrence time
Description:	Used to return the status of Dem_DcmGetDTCByOccurrenceTime.		

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76861: Wrong return values of Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime [SWS\_Dem\_00218]

**Problem description:**

Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime [SWS\_Dem\_00218] provides the return value: DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT: Request is not supported by configuration and therefore invalid

which is wrong. It is a valid situation that no DTC is stored in the fault memory and this has nothing to do with configuration.

–Last change on issue 76861 comment 2–

**Agreed solution:**

1) Change return value description for DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT of SWS\_Dem\_00218 to:

DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT: The requested element is not stored

2) Change [SWS\_Dem\_00221] to:

The function Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime shall return DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT, if no DTC is matching the requested occurrence time in the parameter DTCRequest. (SRS\_Diag\_04070)

3) Change [SWS\_Dcm\_00766] to:

If the Dcm received DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT by calling Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime it shall response with a positive response and empty DTCAndStatusRecord. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

4) remove req. [SWS\_Dem\_00958] as the type Dem\_ReturnGetDTCByOccurrenceTimeType is not used anywhere

–Last change on issue 76861 comment 9–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.232 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00966

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem shall provide an API Dem\_GetIUMPRDdenCondition to give a software component the possibility to get the General Denominator status information.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.233 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00968****Trace References:**



SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

The healing / aging for each event shall to be performed individually.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.234 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00969****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04074

**Content:**

The A pre-stored freeze frame shall be released after the data event it has been stored (event retention was successfull) or discarded(event retention failed)in the event memory or discarded.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76602: [Dem] req SWS\_Dem\_00969 is not very clear

**Problem description:**

rephrase 969:

from:

The pre-stored freeze frame shall be released after the data event has been stored (event retention was successfull) or discarded (event reten-

tion  
failed).

to:

The pre-stored freeze frame location shall be released after the data event has been stored in the event memory (event retention was successful) or discarded (e.g. clear prestored freeze frame or storage to event memory was triggered but failed).

#### Agreed solution:

change SWS\_Dem\_00969 to:

A pre-stored freeze frame shall be released after it has been stored in the event memory or discarded .

Put a note below SWS\_Dem\_00969

Note : A pre-stored freeze frame is considered to be stored if event retention is successful. A pre-stored freeze frame is discarded if the event retention failed;

–Last change on issue 76602 comment 6–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.235 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00976

#### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04112

#### Content:

Service name:	Dem_J1939DcmClearDTCDem_J1939DcmClearDTC
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_J1939DcmClearDTC( Dem_J1939DcmSetClearFilterType DTCTypeFilter, Dem_DTCTOriginType DTCTOrigin, uint8 ClientId )
Service ID[hex]:	0x95
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous
Reentrancy:	Re-entrant for different ClientIDs, Non re-entrant for same ClientId.

Parameters (in):	DTCTypeFilterDem_J1939DcmClear DTC.DTCTypeFilter	Defines the type of DTCs to be cleared.
	DTCOriginDem_J1939DcmClear DTC.DTCOrigin	This parameter is used to select the source memory the DTCs shall be read/cleared from.
	ClientIdDem_J1939DcmClear DTC.ClientId	ClientId to address the J1939 event memory
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: DTC successfully cleared DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or clearing is restricted by configuration to group of all DTCs only. DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist DEM_CLEAR_FAILED: DTC clearing failed DEM_CLEAR_BUSY: Another client is currently clearing DTCs. The requested operation will not be started and the caller shall try again at a later moment. DEM_CLEAR_MEMORY_ERROR: An error occurred during erasing a memory location (e.g. if DemClearDTCBehavior is set to DEM_CLRRESP_NON-VOLATILE_FINISH and erasing of non-volatile-block failed). DEM_PENDING: Clearing the DTCs is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.
Description:	Clears <b>active DTCs</b> the status of all event(s) related to the specified DTC(s), as well as <b>previously active DTCs</b> all associated event memory entries for these event(s).	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77466: [Dem] J1939DcmClearDTC

#### Problem description:

For J1939Dcm related interfaces, the parameter clientId was introduced in 4.3

1) the function name Dem\_J1939DcmClearDTC does no longer make sense (i mean keeping DCM in, while the clientId is added as parameter).

2) all the J1939 related interface functions are extended by the clientId, but the extension was done in a totally inconsistent way:

In contrast to all the "normal" client-related API's the clientId parameter is added to the end of the functions parameter list (instead of the beginning):

Example:

```
Std_ReturnType Dem_J1939DcmGetNumberOfFilteredDTC( uint16* NumberOfFilteredDTC, uint8 ClientId)
```

Furthermore in at least one place, the clientId is added somewhere in the middle:

Example:

```
Std_ReturnType Dem_J1939DcmSetDTCFilter(
Dem_J1939DcmDTCStatusFilterType DTCStatusFilter,
Dem_DTCKindType DTCKind,
Dem_DTCOriginType DTCOrigin,
uint8 ClientId,
Dem_J1939DcmLampStatusType* LampStatus
)
```

3) in J1939DCM spec the following api is described: void J1939Dcm\_DemTriggerOnDTCStatus( uint32 DTC, uint8 ClientId )  
this does not match to DEM which passes along the dtc and statusold/statusnew.  
–Last change on issue 77466 comment 4–

#### Agreed solution:

SWS\_Dem\_00976:

1) New description : clear the status of all event(s) related to the specified DTC(s), as well as all associated event memory entries for these event(s).  
–Last change on issue 77466 comment 11–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.236 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00984

#### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

#### Content:

If the counter 'Cycles since last failed' is mapped to an extended data record (DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement set to DemInternalDataElementClass.Dem

InternalDataElement.DEM\_CYCLES\_SINCE\_LAST\_FAILED), it shall be available per 'event related data' record.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

##### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

##### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

##### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.237 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00985

#### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04133, SRS\_Diag\_04068, SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

#### Content:

The aging counter shall be calculated based on the referenced aging/operation cycle (refer to configuration parameter DemDTCAAttributes.DemAgingCycleRef), if aging is enabled (refer to DemDTCAAttributes.DemAgingAllowed) for this event.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

##### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

##### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.238 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00992

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04099 04202

**Content:**

The format of the data in the destination buffer (DestBuffer) of the function Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData is raw hexadecimal values and contains no header-information like RecordNumber or DataId. The size of the buffer equals to the configuration settings of all respective data elements.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be

released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.239 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00993

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04099 04202

**Content:**

The format of the data in the destination buffer (DestBuffer) of the function Dem\_DltGetAll ExtendedDataRecords is raw hexadecimal values and contains no header information like RecordNumber. The size of the buffer equals to the configuration settings of all respective data elements.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no

added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.240 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00995

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04074, SRS\_Diag\_04104 04189

**Content:**

If the interfaces Dem\_GetEventFreezeFrameDataEx and Dem\_GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx are called in the context of GeneralCallbackEventDataChanged or CallbackEventDataChanged, the data of the triggering event shall be retrievable (return value E\_NOT\_OK is not allowed).

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag



### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.241 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_00999

### Trace References:

SRS\_BSW\_00005, SRS\_BSW\_00161, SRS\_BSW\_00162, SRS\_BSW\_00164,  
SRS\_BSW\_00168, SRS\_BSW\_00170, SRS\_BSW\_00327, SRS\_BSW\_00331,

SRS_BSW_00341,	SRS_BSW_00347,	SRS_BSW_00348,	SRS_BSW_00350,
SRS_BSW_00353,	SRS_BSW_00357,	SRS_BSW_00359,	SRS_BSW_00360,
SRS_BSW_00361,	SRS_BSW_00374,	SRS_BSW_00375,	SRS_BSW_00379,
SRS_BSW_00433,	SRS_Diag_04005,	SRS_Diag_04006,	SRS_Diag_04007,
SRS_Diag_04015,	SRS_Diag_04016,	SRS_Diag_04019,	SRS_Diag_04020,
SRS_Diag_04021,	SRS_Diag_04032,	SRS_Diag_04033,	SRS_Diag_04036,
SRS_Diag_04059,	SRS_Diag_04064,	SRS_Diag_04086,	SRS_Diag_04087,
SRS_Diag_04089,	SRS_Diag_04090,	SRS_Diag_04091,	SRS_Diag_04097,
SRS_Diag_04098,	SRS_Diag_04100,	SRS_Diag_04101,	SRS_Diag_04119,
SRS_Diag_04120,	SRS_Diag_04121,	SRS_Diag_04135,	SRS_Diag_04136,
SRS_Diag_04138,	SRS_Diag_04139,	SRS_Diag_04143,	SRS_Diag_04144,
SRS_Diag_04145,	SRS_Diag_04146		

**Content:**

These requirements are not applicable to this specification.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.242 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01042

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04130 04167

**Content:**

The Dem module shall release the locked clearing process as soon as the internal processing is finished and the Dem is able to process the next clear request.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.243 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01043

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

### Content:

If DemDTCAAttributes.DemAgingAllowed is set to 'false' the internal data element DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_AGINGCTR\_DOWNCNT shall be DemDTCAAttributes.DemAgingCycleCounterThreshold if configured or '255'.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.244 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01044

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

### Content:

If Dem DemDTCAAttributes.DemAgingAllowed is set to 'false' the internal data element DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_AGINGCTR\_UPCNT shall be '0'.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.245 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01045

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_CURRENT\_FDC, then the fault detection counter (refer to chapter [REF sub\_3a\_DebouncingOfDiagnostic Events]) shall be mapped to the respective data element.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.246 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01047

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_SetDTCSuppressionDem_SetDTCSuppression	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SetDTCSuppression( uint32 DTC, Dem_DTCFormatType DTCFormat, uint8 ClientId, boolean SuppressionStatus )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x33	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Non Reentrant	
Parameters (in):	DTCDem_SetDTCSuppression.DTC	Diagnostic Trouble Code
	DTCFormat, ClientId, Dem_SetDTCSuppression.DTCFormat, ClientId	Defines the input-format of the provided DTC value. Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
SuppressionStatusDem_SetDTCSuppression.SuppressionStatus	This parameter specifies whether the respective DTC shall be disabled (TRUE) or enabled (FALSE).	
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK (Operation was successful), : The status of the DTC is correctly provided in the DTCStatus parameter. E_NOT_OK (operation failed or event entry for this DTC still exists) : No DTC selected. DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist. DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.
Description:	Set the suppression status of a specific DTC. API Availability: This API will be available only if ((ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemSuppressionSupport)) == DEM_DTC_SUPPRESSION)	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76511: Uniqueness of DTCs

#### Problem description:

1) DTC values are unique in a given DTCOrigin and DemEventManagerMemorySet, but there is no requirement yet expressing this. Only the first sentence of chapter 7.4 mentions something like this (informative and incomplete).

2) Dem\_SetDTCSuppression uses a DTC value as index to identify the DTC

to suppress. As DTCs are not unique among the different fault memories the API shall get extended by DTCOrigin and DemEventMemorySet.

**Agreed solution:**

1a) Add a new constraint after SWS\_Dem\_01247:

SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx1: The DemDtcValue [ECUC\_Dem\_00887] shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory. (SRS\_Diag\_04126)

1b) Add a new constraint after SWS\_Dem\_01247:

SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx2: The DemDtcValue [ECUC\_Dem\_00885] shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory. (SRS\_Diag\_04126)

2) Change API Dem\_SetDTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_01047] to: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDTCSuppression(uint8 ClientId, boolean SuppressionStatus)

Use ClientID description from existing APIs.

Do the same for the C/S DTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_00608] in operation Set-DTCSuppression.

Add Dem\_SetDTCSuppression as further bullet point in: SWS\_Dem\_01253

–Last change on issue 76511 comment 13–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #77864: [Dem] ReDesign Dem/Dcm interface of AR4.3: issue with SWS\_Dem\_01261

**Problem description:**

Dem requirement according to AR for the interface Dem\_SelectDTC():

[SWS\_Dem\_01261] API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format d If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC (see [SWS\_Dem\_01253]) is called on a selected DTC that does not exist in the selected DTCFormat or if the selected DTC is a group of DTC or no DTC was selected, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. c

(SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Concern: The text of this requirement can be interpreted in such a way that any call to the API with a group as an argument leads to DEM\_WRONG\_DTC as the return value.

Guess this requirement needs to be rewritten as:

[SWS\_Dem\_01261] API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format | If any of the

APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC (see [SWS\_Dem\_01253]) is called on a selected DTC that does not exist in the selected DTCFormat or if the selected DTC is a group of DTC or a Single DTC but no DTC was selected, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API.

**Agreed solution:**

Change [SWS\_Dem\_01261] to:

API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format | If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC (see [SWS\_Dem\_01253]) is called while the selection with Dem\_SelectDTC is invalid, then the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API.

A selection is invalid in case the provided DTC-HexCode (parameter DTC) does not specify a valid DTC or GroupOfDTCs in the corresponding DTCFormat. The selection also is invalid, if Dem\_SelectDTC was not called before.

Add return value DEM\_WRONG\_DTC and DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN to Dem\_SetDTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_01047] and operation SetDTCSuppression from DTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_00608]. Use the same description as in SWS\_Dem\_00212.

–Last change on issue 77864 comment 4–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.247 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01078

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04076 04178

**Content:**

If DemGeneral.DemOperationCycleStatusStorage is set to FALSE, a call of Dem\_Shutdown shall end all operation cycles which are not stored in non-volatile memory.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**



After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.248 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01080****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04126

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_SetEventAvailableDem_SetEventAvailable	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SetEventAvailable( Dem_EventIdType EventId, boolean AvailableStatus )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x37	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different EventIds. Non reentrant for the same EventId.	
Parameters (in):	EventIdDem_SetEventAvailable.EventId	Identification of an event by assigned EventId.
	AvailableStatusDem_SetEventAvailable.AvailableStatus	This parameter specifies whether the respective Event shall be available (TRUE) or not (FALSE).
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Operation Request to set the availability status was successful. E_NOT_OK: change of available Request to set the availability status not accepted.
Description:	Set the available status of a specific Event.	

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.249 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01084

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04134 04190

**Content:**

If the configuration parameter DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement is set to DemInternalDataElementClass.DemInternalDataElement.DEM\_CURRENT\_FDC, then the Dem-internal value of the current fault detection counter (refer to [REF Monitor\_20\_internal\_20\_debounce\_20\_algorithm] and [REF Debounce\_20\_algorithm\_20\_initialization\_20\_and\_20\_reset\_20\_conditions]) shall be mapped to the respective data element with the following value-translation: [0..127]->[0x00..0x7F] and [-1..-128]->[0xFF..0x80].

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.250 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01095

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

### Content:

On invocation of Dem\_SetDataOfPID21 the internal PID \$21 value shall be updated.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

- 1) search for "No description"
- 2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example: SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.251 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01096

#### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

#### Content:

An OBDMaster ECU shall calculate the PID \$21 value by its own (considering SWS\_Dem\_00346).

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality.

This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.252 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01097

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

On invocation of Dem\_GetDataOfPID21 the internal calculated PID \$21 value shall be returned.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.253 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01098

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

On invocation of Dem\_DcmReadDataOfPID21 the Dem shall return the current value of PID \$21.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.254 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01099****Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

If Dem\_DcmReadDataOfPID21 is called before Dem\_SetDataOfPID21, the Dem shall return 0xFFFF as PID \$21 value.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.255 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01100

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04154 04126



## Content:

A suppressed DTC shall not be visible for the following DCM query-functions, in that case  
In case any of the following APIs shall return the given return code: is called

- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC return Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTC-Type.DEM\_STATUS\_WRONG\_DTC
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC return Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTC-Type.DEM\_GET\_SEVERITYOFDTC\_WRONG\_DTC
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC return Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTC-Type.DEM\_GET\_FUNCTIONALUNITOFDTC\_WRONG\_DTC
- Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in subsequent API calls
- Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord return DEM\_WRONG\_ClearDTC
- Dem\_ClearDTC return Dem\_ReturnClearDTCType.DEM\_CLEAR\_

on a single selected DTC which is suppressed, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

### Problem description:

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all

Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

### Agreed solution:

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to :

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API.(SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType  
SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif)

as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
- In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #76947: Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType is no longer used

#### Problem description:

Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType [SWS\_Dem\_00952] is no longer used as it was replaced by Std\_ReturnType within RfC# 71838.

SWS\_Dem\_01100 still shows the wrong return types.

#### Agreed solution:

Remove Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType [SWS\_Dem\_00952]

Change in SWS\_Dem\_01100 all return types to DEM\_WRONG\_DTC. For better readability rephrase the requirement to:

[SWS\_Dem\_01100] "Behavior of DTC query functions for suppressed DTCs" -  
In case any of the following APIs is called

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC  
Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC  
Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord  
Dem\_ClearDTC

on a single selected DTC which is suppressed, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC.

(SRS\_Diag\_04154)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.256 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01101

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04154 04126

**Content:**

A suppressed DTC shall not be visible for the following Dcm query-functions; therefore the following functions shall treat the DTC as if filter is not matching:

- Dem\_DcmGetDTCOfOBDFreezeFrame
- Dem\_DcmReadDataOfOBDFreezeFrame
- Dem\_DcmGetInfoTypeValue08
- Dem\_DcmGetInfoTypeValue0B
- Dem\_DcmReadDataOfPID01
- Dem\_DcmGetDTRData
- Dem\_GetDTCByOccurrenceTime
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredRecord
- Dem\_J1939DcmClearDTC

- Dem\_J1939DcmFirstDTCwithLampStatus
- Dem\_J1939DcmGetNextDTCwithLampStatus
- Dem\_J1939DcmGetNextFreezeFrame
- Dem\_J1939DcmSetDTCFilter
- Dem\_J1939DcmGetNumberOfFilteredDTC
- Dem\_J1939DcmGetNextFilteredDTC
- Dem\_J1939DcmSetRatioFilter
- Dem\_J1939DcmGetNextFilteredRatio
- Dem\_J1939DcmReadDiagnosticReadiness1
- Dem\_J1939DcmReadDiagnosticReadiness2
- Dem\_J1939DcmReadDiagnosticReadiness3
- Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData
- Dem\_SetDTCFilter
- Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

##### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

##### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

##### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.257 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01102

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04154 04126

### Content:

DTC suppression shall not stop event processing of the corresponding DTC.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.258 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01112

### Trace References:

SRS\_BSW\_00406

### Content:

If development error detection is enabled and any instance calls any Dem API, excluding Dem\_SetEventStatus, Dem\_ResetEventStatus, Dem\_SetEventAvailable and Dem\_GetVersionInfo, before the Dem was fully initialized, the Dem module shall set the error code DEM\_E\_UNINIT.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76754: [Dem] Inconsistency between [SWS\_Dem\_00124] and [SWS\_Dem\_01112]

**Problem description:**

[SWS\_Dem\_00124] and [SWS\_Dem\_01112] have list of excluded APIs. But they are inconsistent. I think they can be merged into one.

[SWS\_Dem\_00124] d If development error detection is enabled and any instance calls any Dem API, excluding Dem\_SetEventStatus, Dem\_ResetEventDebounceStatus and Dem\_GetVersionInfo, before the Dem was fully initialized, the Dem module shall set the error code DEM\_E\_UNINIT. c  
(SRS\_BSW\_00406)

[SWS\_Dem\_01112] d If development error detection is enabled and any instance calls any Dem API, excluding Dem\_SetEventStatus, Dem\_ResetEventStatus, Dem\_SetEventAvailable and Dem\_GetVersionInfo, before the Dem was fully initialized, the Dem module shall set the error code DEM\_E\_UNINIT. c  
(SRS\_BSW\_00406)  
–Last change on issue 76754 comment 4–

**Agreed solution:**

Remove [SWS\_Dem\_01112]  
Modify [SWS\_Dem\_00124] If DemDevErrorDetect is set to TRUE and any Dem API excluding :  
- Dem\_SetEventStatus,  
- Dem\_ResetEventStatus,  
- Dem\_SetEventAvailable,  
- Dem\_ResetEventDebounceStatus  
- Dem\_GetVersionInfo,  
is called before Dem has been fully initialized, the Dem module shall set the error code DEM\_E\_UNINIT. (SRS\_BSW\_00406)  
–Last change on issue 76754 comment 3–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.259 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01137****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04141

**Content:**

For WWH-OBID PID\$01 shall not provide the number of confirmed faults.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1



## 1.260 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01138

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04141

### Content:

The Dem module shall compute and provide the ECU MIL status (PID \$01, Byte A).

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.261 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01139****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04141

**Content:**

For WWH-OBD PID\$01 shall not provide the ECU MIL status.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

- 1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.262 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01188

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem shall increment the ratio-individual IUMPR-numerator at maximum of one time per OBD driving cycle.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were

replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.263 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01190

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04072 04205

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_GetEventExtendedDataRecordExDem_GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx( Dem_EventIdType EventId, uint8 RecordNumber, uint8* DestBuffer, uint16* BufSize )	
Service ID[hex]:	0x6d	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant	
Parameters (in):	EventIdDem_GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx.EventId	Identification of an event by assigned EventId.
	RecordNumberDem_GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx.RecordNumber	Identification of requested Extended data record. Valid values are between 0x01 and 0xEF as defined in ISO14229-1.
Parameters (inout):	BufSizeDem_GetEventExtendedDataRecordEx.BufSize	When the function is called this parameter contains the maximum number of data bytes that can be written to the buffer. The function returns the actual number of written data bytes in this parameter.

Parameters (out):	DestBufferDem_GetEventExtendedData RecordEx.DestBuffer	This parameter contains a byte pointer that points to the buffer, to which the extended data shall be written to. The format is raw hexadecimal values and contains no header-information.
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Operation was successful E_NOT_OK: Operation could not be performed DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT: The requested event data is not currently stored (but the request was valid) OR the requested record number is not supported by the event. DEM_BUFFER_TOO_SMALL: The provided buffer size is too small.
Description:	Gets the data of an extended data record by event.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.264 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01193

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	EventAvailableEventAvailable
Comment	—
IsService	true
Variation	{ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral/DemAvailabilitySupport)} == DEM_EVENT_AVAILABILITY

Name	EventAvailableEventAvailable	
Possible Errors	0	E_OK
	1	E_NOT_OK

## Operations:

SetEventAvailableEventAvailable.SetEventAvailable			
Comments	–		
Variation	–		
Parameters	AvailableStatusEvent Available.SetEvent Available.AvailableStatus	Comment	–
		Type	boolean
		Variation	–
		Direction	IN
Possible Errors	E_OK	Operation successfulRequest to set the availability status was successful.	
	E_NOT_OK	Request to set the availability status not accepted.	

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77928: [Dem] make interfaces asynchronous (Topic 2 from RfC 76727 - Refine Dem Interface)

### Problem description:

copied from Wigberts RFC 76727:

#### 2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

==> copied into separate rfc as it is a independent different topic and can be discussed separately

### Agreed solution:

#### 2) Make

- Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
  - Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
  - Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839
- asynchronous.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00185 and operation ResetEventStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00598 to:

E\_OK: Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_01080 and operation SetEventAvailable of SWS\_Dem\_01193 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the availability status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the availability status not accepted.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00839 and operation SetWIRStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00838 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was not be accepted (e.g. disabled controlDTCSetting) and should be repeated.

Modify the [SWS\_Dem\_00187] to

The function Dem\_ResetEventStatus shall trigger the setting of the event status bit 0 (TestFailed) to 0 and reset the Dem-internal debounce algorithm to initial values if configured. The Dem shall execute this action asynchronously at a later point in time. c(SRS\_Diag\_04067)

Note : A later point in time means that the exact moment is implementation specific. This could be the next main function. Also a possible call of the status change callback is triggered asynchronously.

Add new requirement before [SWS\_Dem\_0110]

SWS\_Dem\_0xxxx The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetEventAvailable asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.(SRS\_Diag\_04126)

Add new requirement to end of chapter 7.7.9.2 User controlled WarningIndicatorRequested-bit

SWS\_Dem\_0yyyy : The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetWIRStatus asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.

–Last change on issue 77928 comment 5–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.265 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01202

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04149 04150, SRS\_Diag\_04214

### Content:

If the Dem module is requested to clear diagnostic information, the Dem shall return `Dem_ReturnClearDTCType.DEM_CLEAR_FAILED` in case:

- the requested supported DTC(s) is not cleared
- all `DemClearEventAllowed` callbacks return `FALSE` (in case of event combination clearing a single DTC at least one `DemClearEventAllowed` returns `FALSE` for one event of the DTC) callback assigned to the event of the DTC returns `FALSE`.
- in case of clearing a DTC group or all DTCs, the individual DTCs shall be cleared like described in clearing single DTC. The resulting return value shall be `DEM_CLEAR_FAILED` if the clearing of all individual DTCs returned `DEM_CLEAR_FAILED`.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #73864: [Dem] further clarification of `CLEAR_FAILED` (Dem\_01202)

#### Problem description:

req for returning `CLEAR_FAILED` needs to be more detailed.

Especially scenarios with event combination will result in strange return values, in case `clearEventAllowed` is considered.

E.g. consider 2 event are mapped to the same dtc and combination on retrieval is configured. one event returns `clearAllowed=FALSE` and the other return `clearAllowed=TRUE`. The visible result of the clear is, it is not cleared.

#### Agreed solution:

Change requirement :

[SWS\_Dem\_01202] If the Dem is requested to clear diagnostic information, the Dem shall return `DEM_CLEAR_FAILED` in case:

- the requested supported DTC(s) is not cleared
- all `ClearEventAllowed` callbacks return `FALSE` (in case of event combination `ClearEventAllowed` returns `FALSE` for one event of the DTC).

by :

[SWS\_Dem\_01202] If the Dem is requested to clear diagnostic information,



the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED:

- in case of clearing a single DTC at least one ClearEventAllowed callback assigned to the event of the DTC returns FALSE.
- in case of clearing a group or all DTCs, the individual DTCs shall be cleared like described in clearing single DTC. The resulting return value of clear group or clear all shall be DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED if all individual DTCs returned DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED.

Change the [SWS\_Dem\_00667] by :

[SWS\_Dem\_00667] If the DTC is not configured to use event combination, the out-parameter Allowed of the callback ClearEventAllowed returns false (and the return value is equal to E\_OK) after the execution of this callback function, the Dem shall not clear the respective event memory entry and Dem-internal data values.(SRS\_Diag\_04092, SRS\_Diag\_04122)

Add new requirement below [SWS\_Dem\_00667] :

[SWS\_Dem\_00XXX] If the DTC is configured to use event combination, if only one ClearEventAllowed callback has the out-parameter Allowed set to FALSE and returns E\_OK after the execution of this callback function, the Dem shall not clear the event status, respective event memory entry and Dem-internal data values. (SRS\_Diag\_04092, SRS\_Diag\_04122, SRS\_Diag\_04073)

–Last change on issue 73864 comment 4–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

#### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

#### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.266 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01203

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04150, [SRS\\_Diag\\_04214](#)

### Content:

If the Dem module is requested to clear diagnostic information and the selected Dem\_SelectDTC.DTC is set to DTC group 'all DTCs' and the selected Dem\_SelectDTC.DTCOrigin is set to Dem\_DTCOriginType.DEM\_DTC\_ORIGIN\_PRIMARY\_MEMORY or [DEM\\_DTC\\_ORIGIN\\_USERDEFINED\\_MEMORY\\_<NAME>](#), the Dem shall **delete all events** (UDS status , event related indicators, event memory entry) which are **reset all event and DTC status bytes and clear event related data** assigned to the **primary event memory or which are not assigned to any DTC** or event memory requested Dem\_SelectDTC.DTCOrigin.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76606: SWS\_Dem\_01203 contradicts with DemClearEventsWithoutDTCEventMemoryRef

#### Problem description:

SWS\_Dem\_01203 says that a clear operation for primary shall clear events without DTCs. But DemClearEventsWithoutDTCEventMemoryRef [ECUC\_Dem\_00941] specifies the memory where those events shall be cleared.

Furthermore SWS\_Dem\_01203 and SWS\_Dem\_01204 are the same, having only a different origin. As the origin is parameter of the Clear command, we only need one requirement.

#### Agreed solution:

- 1) Remove SWS\_Dem\_01204
- 2) Rewrite SWS\_Dem\_01203 to:

If the Dem module is requested to clear diagnostic information and the selected DTC is set to DTC group all DTCs and the selected DTCOrigin is set to DEM\_DTC\_ORIGIN\_PRIMARY\_MEMORY or DEM\_DTC\_ORIGIN\_USERDEFINED\_MEMORY\_<NAME>, the Dem shall reset the event and DTC status bytes and clear event related data assigned to the requested DTCOrigin.

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.267 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01204

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04150

**Content:**

If the Dem module is requested to clear diagnostic information and the selected Dem\_SelectDTC.DTC is set to DTC group 'all DTCs' and the selected Dem\_SelectDTC.DTCOrigin is set to DEM\_DTC\_ORIGIN\_USERDEFINED\_MEMORY\_<NAME>, the Dem shall clear only events (UDS status, related indicators, event memory entry), which are assigned to the specified user defined memory.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76606: SWS\_Dem\_01203 contradicts with DemClearEventsWithoutDTCEventMemoryRef

**Problem description:**

SWS\_Dem\_01203 says that a clear operation for primary shall clear events without DTCs. But DemClearEventsWithoutDTCEventMemoryRef [ECUC\_Dem\_00941] specifies the memory where those events shall be cleared.

Furthermore SWS\_Dem\_01203 and SWS\_Dem\_01204 are the same, having

only a different origin. As the origin is parameter of the Clear command, we only need one requirement.

**Agreed solution:**

- 1) Remove SWS\_Dem\_01204
- 2) Rewrite SWS\_Dem\_01203 to:

If the Dem module is requested to clear diagnostic information and the selected DTC is set to DTC group all DTCs and the selected DTCOrigin is set to DEM\_DTC\_ORIGIN\_PRIMARY\_MEMORY or DEM\_DTC\_ORIGIN\_USERDEFINED\_MEMORY\_<NAME>, the Dem shall re-set the event and DTC status bytes and clear event related data assigned to the requested DTCOrigin.

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.268 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01205

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04150 04213

**Content:**

If the Dem module is requested to clear diagnostic information and the selected Dem\_SelectDTC.DTC is set to DTC group 'all DTCs' and the selected Dem\_SelectDTC.DTCOrigin is set to Dem\_DTCOriginType.DEM\_DTC\_ORIGIN\_MIRROR\_MEMORY, the Dem shall clear only the event entries assigned to the mirror event memory. It does not affect UDS status.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.269 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01206

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04150, [SRS\\_Diag\\_04213](#)

**Content:**

The Dem shall call the callback DemClearEventAllowed only if the selected Dem\_Select DTC.DTCOrigin for the clear operation is the primary or user defined event memory.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.270 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01216

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04104 [04189](#)

**Content:**

To serialize the required signed- and unsigned integer AUTOSAR data types into the FreezeFrame/ExtendedRecord the target endianness configured in DemExternalSRData ElementClass.DemDataElementEndianness shall be considered. If DemExternalSRData ElementClass.DemDataElementEndianness is not present, the DemGeneral.DemDataElementDefaultEndianness shall be used instead.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.271 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01217

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04152 04214

### Content:

In order to calculate the user defined memory ID from Dem\_DTCTOriginType, the Dem shall subtract a value of 0x0100 from the obtained value if the value is in the range of [0x0100, 0x01FF].

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

- 1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.272 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01221

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04129, SRS\_Diag\_04133

**Content:**

The Dem allows definition of dependent operation cycles using DemOperationCycle.DemLeadingCycleRef parameter. Whenever the parameter is set, the operation cycle is considered as a dependent operation cycle. Whenever the parameter is not set, the operation cycle is considered as "normal" operation cycle.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -



~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.273 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01222

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04129

**Content:**

If the operation cycle passed to Dem\_SetCycleQualified is not configured as dependent operation cycle, the Det error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONFIGURATION shall be reported.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.274 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01223

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04129, SRS\_Diag\_04133

**Content:**

If the operation cycle passed to Dem\_SetOperationCycleState is configured as dependent operation cycle or not configured at all, the Det error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONFIGURATION shall be reported.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.275 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01224****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04129

**Content:**

When setting the state of an operation cycle using Dem\_SetOperationCycleState to START (or RESTART), all operation cycles which are depending on the selected operation cycle shall also be started (restarted), but only if they are qualified.

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.276 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01225

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04129

### Content:

When setting the state of an operation cycle using Dem\_SetOperationCycleState to END, all operation cycle which are depending on the selected operation cycle shall also be ended, but only if they have been started. With ending a dependent operation cycle, its operation cycle qualification is reset.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

#### Proposal:

- 1) search for "No description"
- 2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example: SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204
- 3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0  
–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.277 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01234

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04129

**Content:**

The API Dem\_GetCycleQualified shall provide the qualification state of the requested operation cycle in the out parameter "isQualified".

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize

the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

–Last change on issue 78539 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.278 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01235

**Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04082, SRS\_Diag\_04129

**Content:**

If the operation cycle passed to Dem\_GetCycleQualified is not configured at all, the Det error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONFIGURATION shall be reported.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem. The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.279 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01236

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04082](#)

**Content:**

The Dem shall increment monitor internal denominators depending on the Dem\_Iumpr DenomCondIdType.DEM\_IUMPR\_GENERAL\_INDIVIDUAL\_DENOMINATOR, and additional conditions if configured in DemRatio.DemIUMPRDenGroup.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.



**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.280 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01240****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04149 04185

**Content:**

If DemClearDTCNotification.DemClearDtcNotificationTime is configured to DemClearDTCNotification.DemClearDtcNotificationTime.START, the Dem shall inform the application about the start of a clear DTC operation. If the DemClearDTCNotification.DemClear

DtcNotificationFnc is configured, the Dem shall call this configured function otherwise the Dem shall call the R-Port ClearDtcNotification.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

##### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

##### Agreed solution:

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

##### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.281 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01241

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04149 04185

### Content:

If DemClearDTCNotification.DemClearDtcNotificationTime is configured to DemClearDTCNotification.DemClearDtcNotificationTime.FINISH, the Dem shall inform the application about the end of a clear DTC operation. The Dem shall consider the configuration parameter DemGeneral.DemClearDTCBehavior which defines the clearing process of diagnostic information including clearing completion. If the DemClearDTCNotification.DemClearDtcNotificationFnc is configured, the Dem shall call this configured function otherwise the Dem shall call the R-Port ClearDtcNotification.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

##### Problem description:

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed%20Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.282 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01253****Trace References:**

SRS\_Diag\_04010

**Content:**

If the API Dem\_SelectDTC is called, the Dem shall use the selected DTC or group of DTC in the requested Dem\_SelectDTC.DTCOrigin and Dem\_SelectDTC.DTCFormat as target for the following API calls:

- Dem\_GetStatusClearDTC
- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitStatusOfDTC
- Dem\_ClearDTC SelectFreezeFrameData
- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate SetDTCSuppression
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultDTCSuppression.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76511: Uniqueness of DTCs

**Problem description:**

1) DTC values are unique in a given DTCOrigin and DemEventMemorySet, but there is no requirement yet expressing this. Only the first sentence of chapter 7.4 mentions something like this (informative and incomplete).

2) Dem\_SetDTCSuppression uses a DTC value as index to identify the DTC to suppress. As DTCs are not unique among the different fault memories the API shall get extended by DTCOrigin and DemEventMemorySet.

**Agreed solution:**

1a) Add a new constraint after SWS\_Dem\_01247:

SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx1: The DemDtcValue [ECUC\_Dem\_00887] shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory. (SRS\_Diag\_04126)

1b) Add a new constraint after SWS\_Dem\_01247:

SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx2: The DemDtcValue [ECUC\_Dem\_00885] shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory. (SRS\_Diag\_04126)

2) Change API Dem\_SetDTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_01047] to: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDTCSuppression(uint8 ClientId, boolean SuppressionStatus)

Use ClientID description from existing APIs.

Do the same for the C/S DTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_00608] in operation Set-DTCSuppression.

Add Dem\_SetDTCSuppression as further bullet point in: SWS\_Dem\_01253

–Last change on issue 76511 comment 13–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76765: Access to event related data APIs shall depend on Dem\_SelectDTC

**Problem description:**

In 4.3.0 in RfC # 71838 the Dem/Dcm interaction was updated. It was forgotten that the APIs:

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameDataem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

shall also depend

on Dem\_SelectDTC.

–Last change on issue 76765 comment 7–

**Agreed solution:**

To SWS\_Dem\_01253 add the following two APIs:

- Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData [SWS\_Dem\_91015]
- Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord [SWS\_Dem\_91017]

From API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord remove the following parameters:

- uint32 DTC
- Dem\_DTCOriginType DTCOrigin

Add the following return values to Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData:

E\_OK: The freeze frame is correctly selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.

DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the freeze frame is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Add the following return values to Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord :

E\_OK: The extended data record is correctly selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.

DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the extended data record is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Remove the dependencies to the two parameters and add reference to selected DTC instead in:

[SWS\_Dem\_01272] If the API Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the ExtendedDataNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord

Dem\_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_01268] If the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the FreezeFrameRecordNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData

Dem\_GetSizeOfFreezeFrameSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_00630] If a single DTC is selected and the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called with a valid RecordNumber which is not stored, Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData shall return E\_OK and BufSize 0

(empty buffer). (SRS\_Diag\_04074)

–Last change on issue 76765 comment 11–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #76814: Provide getter for dtc suppression

#### Problem description:

The Dem only provides means to set the DTC suppression state, a symmetric API to read back the suppression state of an DTC is missing.

#### Agreed solution:

Add a new C-API:

Std\_ReturnType Dem\_GetDTCSuppression(uint8 ClientId, boolean \*SuppressionStatus)

Asynchronous

Reentrant

Param (in): ClientId - Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module

Param (out): SuppressionStatus - Defines whether the respective DTC is suppressed (TRUE) or enabled (FALSE).

Return value: Std\_ReturnType - E\_OK: Operation was successful

E\_NOT\_OK: Dem\_SelectDTC was not called.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: No valid DTC or DTC group selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Wrong DTC origin selected

DEM\_PENDING: The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later.

Description: "Returns the suppression status of a specific DTC.

API Availability: This API will be available only if (ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemSuppressionSupport) == DEM\_DTC\_SUPPRESSION)"

Add a new operation to C/S interface "DTCSuppression" SWS\_Dem\_00608:

Name: GetDTCSuppression

Take parameters and description from C-API above, link both to SRS\_Diag\_04154

Add new requirement to chapter 7.4.76 Suppress DTC output

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_GetDTCSuppression is called, the Dem shall return the status if the DTC is suppressed in the output parameter SuppressionStatus. A value

of TRUE means that the DTC was suppressed by a call of Dem\_SetDTCSuppression with SuppressionStatus = TRUE, a value of FALSE means, that the DTC is currently not suppressed. (SRS\_Diag\_04154)

Add text below this requirement:

Also there are various reasons, why a DTC is not processed and thus is not visible for diagnostics, the suppression state itself is only changed by Dem\_SetDTCSuppression. Dem\_GetDTCSuppression only evaluates this suppression state.

Remove SWS\_Dem\_00586

Change SWS\_Dem\_01253 to :

If the API Dem\_SelectDTC is called, the Dem shall use the selected DTC or group of DTC in the requested DTCOrigin and DTCFormat as target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC

Dem\_ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult

Dem\_GetDTCSuppression.

(SRS\_Diag\_04010)

–Last change on issue 76814 comment 24–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.283 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01261

### Trace References:

SRS\_BSW\_00369

### Content:

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC (see according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 ) is called on a selected is called while the selection with Dem\_SelectDTC .DTC that does not exist in the selected Dem\_SelectDTC. DTCFormat or if the selected is invalid, then the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC. A selection is invalid in case the provided

Dem\_SelectDTC.DTC is a group of DTC or no Dem does not specify a valid DTC or Group OfDTCs in the corresponding DTCFormat. The selection also is invalid, if Dem\_Select DTC. DTC was selected, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API SelectDTC was not called before.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

#### Problem description:

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

#### Agreed solution:

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to:  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===



Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API.(SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType  
SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
  - In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #77864: [Dem] ReDesign Dem/Dcm interface of AR4.3: issue with SWS\_Dem\_01261

#### Problem description:

Dem requirement according to AR for the interface Dem\_SelectDTC():

[SWS\_Dem\_01261] API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format d If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC (see [SWS\_Dem\_01253]) is called on a selected DTC that does not exist in the selected DTCFormat or if the selected DTC is a group of DTC or no DTC was selected, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. c  
(SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Concern: The text of this requirement can be interpreted in such a way that any call to the API with a group as an argument leads to DEM\_WRONG\_DTC as the return value.

Guess this requirement needs to be rewritten as:

[SWS\_Dem\_01261] API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format | If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC (see [SWS\_Dem\_01253]) is called on a selected DTC that does not exist in the selected DTCFormat or if the selected DTC is a group of DTC or a Single DTC but no DTC was selected, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API.

#### Agreed solution:

Change [SWS\_Dem\_01261] to:

API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format | If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC (see [SWS\_Dem\_01253]) is called while the selection with Dem\_SelectDTC is invalid, then the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API.

A selection is invalid in case the provided DTC-HexCode (parameter DTC) does not specify a valid DTC or GroupOfDTCs in the corresponding DTCFormat. The selection also is invalid, if Dem\_SelectDTC was not called before.

Add return value DEM\_WRONG\_DTC and DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN to Dem\_SetDTCsuppression [SWS\_Dem\_01047] and operation SetDTCsuppression from DTCsuppression [SWS\_Dem\_00608]. Use the same description as in SWS\_Dem\_00212.

–Last change on issue 77864 comment 4–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.284 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01268

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04074

### Content:

If the API `Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData` is called, the Dem shall use the **selected DTC** or group of DTC of the **selected DTCOrigin** and the **Freeze Frame DTC** selected by **Dem\_SelectDTC** and the **Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData.RecordNumber** as a target for the following API calls:

- `Dem_GetNextFreezeFrameData`
- `Dem_GetSizeOfFreezeFrameSelection`

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76765: Access to event related data APIs shall depend on `Dem_SelectDTC`

#### Problem description:

In 4.3.0 in RfC # 71838 the Dem/Dcm interaction was updated. It was forgotten that the APIs:

`Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData` and `Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord`

shall also depend

on `Dem_SelectDTC`.

—Last change on issue 76765 comment 7—

#### Agreed solution:

To `SWS_Dem_01253` add the following two APIs:

- `Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData` [`SWS_Dem_91015`]
- `Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord` [`SWS_Dem_91017`]

From API `Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData` and `Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord` remove the following parameters:

- `uint32 DTC`
- `Dem_DTCOriginType DTCOrigin`

Add the following return values to `Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData`:

`E_OK`: The freeze frame is correctly selected.

`DEM_WRONG_DTC`: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

`DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN`: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.

DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the freeze frame is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Add the following return values to Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord :

E\_OK: The extended data record is correctly selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.

DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the extended data record is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Remove the dependencies to the two parameters and add reference to selected DTC instead in:

[SWS\_Dem\_01272] If the API Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the ExtendedDataNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord

Dem\_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_01268] If the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the FreezeFrameRecordNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData

Dem\_GetSizeOfFreezeFrameSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_00630] If a single DTC is selected and the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called with a valid RecordNumber which is not stored, Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData shall return E\_OK and BufSize 0 (empty buffer). (SRS\_Diag\_04074)

–Last change on issue 76765 comment 11–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.285 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01272

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04074

## Content:

If the API `Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord` is called, the Dem shall use the **selected Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord.DTC** or group of DTC in the **selected DTC selected by Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord.DTCOrigin DTC** and the `Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord.ExtendedDataNumber` as a target for the following API calls:

- `Dem_GetNextExtendedDataRecord`
- `Dem_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection`

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76765: Access to event related data APIs shall depend on `Dem_SelectDTC`

### Problem description:

In 4.3.0 in RfC # 71838 the Dem/Dcm interaction was updated. It was forgotten that the APIs:

`Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData` and `Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord`

shall also depend

on `Dem_SelectDTC`.

—Last change on issue 76765 comment 7—

### Agreed solution:

To SWS\_Dem\_01253 add the following two APIs:

- `Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData` [SWS\_Dem\_91015]
- `Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord` [SWS\_Dem\_91017]

From API `Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData` and `Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord` remove the following parameters:

- `uint32 DTC`
- `Dem_DTCOriginType DTCOrigin`

Add the following return values to `Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData`:

`E_OK`: The freeze frame is correctly selected.

`DEM_WRONG_DTC`: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

`DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN`: Selected `DTCOrigin` does not exist.

`DEM_PENDING`: Selecting the freeze frame is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Add the following return values to `Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord`:

`E_OK`: The extended data record is correctly selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.  
DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.  
DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the extended data record is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Remove the dependencies to the two parameters and add reference to selected DTC instead in:

[SWS\_Dem\_01272] If the API Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the ExtendedDataNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord

Dem\_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_01268] If the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the FreezeFrameRecordNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData

Dem\_GetSizeOfFreezeFrameSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_00630] If a single DTC is selected and the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called with a valid RecordNumber which is not stored, Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData shall return E\_OK and BufSize 0 (empty buffer). (SRS\_Diag\_04074)

—Last change on issue 76765 comment 11—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.286 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01280

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04067

### Content:

Upon call of Dem\_SetEventStatus and after debouncing, the Dem shall process the monitor status in the same manner as the UDS status:

- Bit0 (TestFailed) according to SWS\_Dem\_00386 , SWS\_Dem\_00387, SWS\_Dem\_00388 and SWS\_Dem\_00525.
- Bit1 (TestNotCompleteThisOperationCycle) according to SWS\_Dem\_00394.

The monitor status byte processing shall be done synchronously within the context of the calling Dem\_SetEventStatus function.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77827: MonitorStatus im NVRam

##### Problem description:

SWS\_Dem\_01280 describes the behavior of Dem\_SetEventStatus and Bit0 processing. Referenced are SWS\_Dem\_00387 SWS\_Dem\_00388 and SWS\_Dem\_00525 describing the NV storage of the bits.

However, the monitor status is available before Dem\_Init has been finished and thus the NV storage cannot be used. As it is not required to store the monitor status in NVram, those references shall be removed.

##### Agreed solution:

Change bullet point for bit 0 of SWS\_Dem\_01280 to:

- Bit0 (TestFailed) according to SWS\_Dem\_00386

##### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.287 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01295

### Trace References:

SRS\_Diag\_04191, SRS\_Diag\_04194, SRS\_Diag\_04073

### Content:

If the DTC is configured to use event combination, if only one DemClearEventAllowed callback has the out-parameter DemClearEventAllowed.Allowed set to FALSE and returns E\_OK, the Dem shall not clear the event status, respective event memory entry and Dem-internalDataValue.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #73864: [Dem] further clarification of CLEAR\_FAILED (Dem\_01202)

**Problem description:**

req for returning CLEAR\_FAILED needs to be more detailed.

Especially scenarios with event combination will result in strange return values, in case clearEventAllowed is considered.

E.g. consider 2 event are mapped to the same dtc and combination on retrieval is configured. one event returns clearAllowed=FALSE and the other return clearAllowed=TRUE. The visible result of the clear is, it is not cleared.

**Agreed solution:**

Change requirement :

[SWS\_Dem\_01202] If the Dem is requested to clear diagnostic information, the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED in case:

- the requested supported DTC(s) is not cleared
- all ClearEventAllowed callbacks return FALSE (in case of event combination ClearEventAllowed returns FALSE for one event of the DTC).

by :

[SWS\_Dem\_01202] If the Dem is requested to clear diagnostic information, the Dem shall return DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED:

- in case of clearing a single DTC at least one ClearEventAllowed callback assigned to the event of the DTC returns FALSE.
- in case of clearing a group or all DTCs, the individual DTCs shall be cleared like described in clearing single DTC. The resulting return value of clear group or clear all shall be DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED if all individual DTCs returned DEM\_CLEAR\_FAILED.

Change the [SWS\_Dem\_00667] by :

[SWS\_Dem\_00667] If the DTC is not configured to use event combination, the out-parameter Allowed of the callback ClearEventAllowed returns false (and the return value is equal to E\_OK) after the execution of this callback function, the Dem shall not clear the respective event memory entry and Dem-internal data values.(SRS\_Diag\_04092, SRS\_Diag\_04122)

Add new requirement below [SWS\_Dem\_00667] :

[SWS\_Dem\_00XXX] If the DTC is configured to use event combination, if only one ClearEventAllowed callback has the out-parameter Allowed set to FALSE and returns E\_OK after the execution of this callback function, the Dem shall not clear



the event status, respective event memory entry and Dem-internal data values.  
(SRS\_Diag\_04092, SRS\_Diag\_04122, SRS\_Diag\_04073)

–Last change on issue 73864 comment 4–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78385: [Dcm][Dem] SRS\_Diag harmonization

**Problem description:**

After the agreed changes of FO RfC 78083 are implemented, the references in Dcm and Dem need to be adapted.

**Agreed solution:**

Adapt the references in Dcm and Dem according to  
[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/049\\_SRS\\_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/049_SRS_Harmonisation/Proposed Changes.docx)

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -

~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be

released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.288 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01296

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04010](#)

**Content:**

The API Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC shall behave exactly as Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult with the only difference that, DEM\_WRONG\_DTC is returned in case of a single DTC was selected and DemGeneral.DemClearDTCLimitation is set to DemGeneral.DemClearDTCLimitation.DEM\_ONLY\_CLEAR\_ALL\_DTCS for that client.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #77608: [Dem] Check clear parameters according ISO

**Problem description:**

taken from comment 13 of RFC # 76727

Statement from Carl-Eike:

"as I understood, the timing chart for clearing given in the ISO has some strong requirements on evaluation order. Wouldn't those be violated, if a clear fails due to a wrong DTC at a later step? It's well possible that it doesn't matter much or at all, but as it seems it was the reason to introduce GetSelectionResult for use in the clear processing.

I've noticed something else reading the SWS. Why are so many requirements starting with "The API Dem\_Xyz shall"? The API is the set of everything needed by the application to use the Dem (say, # defines, typedefs, functions, ...). Dem\_Xyz on the other hand is simply a function - part of the API, but surely not "the API". This is evident especially for those functions that only make any sense when used in combination. Could the "The API " part be removed from all the requirements?"

**Conclusion:**

currently the Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult function cannot consider the restriction of DemClearDTCLimitation [ECUC\_Dem\_00790]. Therefore getDTCSelectionResult does not correctly indicate, whether a later clear-request will succeed. This is required for proper ISO handling.

**Agreed solution:**

Add a new API Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC(  
uint8 ClientId  
)

Service ID[hex]:

Sync/Async: Asynchronous

Reentrancy: Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.

Parameters (in): ClientId Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.

Parameters (inout): None

Parameters (out): None

Return value: Std\_ReturnType E\_OK: The DTC select parameter check is successful and the requested DTC or group of DTC in the selected origin is selected for further operations.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or a single DTC was selected and Dem only supports to Clear all DTCs

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist

DEM\_PENDING: Checking the SelectDTC parameters is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again later.

Add requirement below requirement SWS\_Dem\_1258

SWS\_Dem\_xxxxx :

The API Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC shall behave exactly as Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult with the only difference that, DEM\_WRONG\_DTC is returned in case of a single DTC was selected but the Dem is configured to delete only all DTCs for that client.

—Last change on issue 77608 comment 2—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.289 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01297****Trace References:**[SRS\\_Diag\\_04202](#)**Content:**

If `Dem_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords` is called and for the provided `EventId` no extended data record is stored, the Dem shall return `DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT`. The value of the out parameters `DestBuffer` and `BufSize` are undefined in this case.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76939: [DEM] [Dlt] Avoid using of DET codes as API return types

**Problem description:**

The DET error code `DEM_E_NODATAAVAILABLE` shall not be used as return value of C-APIs. Use `DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT` instead.

**Agreed solution:**

Change return value `DEM_E_NODATAAVAILABLE` to `DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT` in the following APIs:

`Dem_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData` [SWS\_Dem\_00636]  
`Dem_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords` [SWS\_Dem\_00637]

Add requirement to chapter "7.11.7 Interaction with Diagnostic Log & Trace (Dlt)":

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If `Dem_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData` is called and for the provided `EventId` no UDS snapshot record is stored, the Dem shall return `DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT`. The value of the out parameters `DestBuffer` and `BufSize` are undefined in this case.

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: If `Dem_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords` is called and for the provided `EventId` no extended data record is stored, the Dem shall return `DEM_NO_SUCH_ELEMENT`. The value of the out parameters `DestBuffer` and `BufSize` are undefined in this case.

=== SWS DLT ===

Add the following requirement below SWS\_Dlt\_00478:

+ [SWS\_Dlt\_00xx1]

Only if Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords returns with E\_OK, the provided information shall be further processed by the DLT module. No retry nor error handling shall be done. (SRS\_Dlt\_00007)

Add the following requirement below SWS\_Dlt\_00479:

+ [SWS\_Dlt\_00xx2]

Only if Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData() returns with E\_OK, the provided information shall be further processed by the DLT module. No retry nor error handling shall be done. (SRS\_Dlt\_00007)

–Last change on issue 76939 comment 7–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### Problem description:

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### Agreed solution:

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

#### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be

released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.290 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01298

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04202](#)

**Content:**

If Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData is called and for the provided Event Id no UDS snapshot record is stored, the Dem shall return DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT. The value of the out parameters DestBuffer and BufSize are undefined in this case.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76939: [DEM] [Dlt] Avoid using of DET codes as API return types

**Problem description:**

The DET error code DEM\_E\_NODATAAVAILABLE shall not be used as return value of C-APIs. Use DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT instead.

**Agreed solution:**

Change return value DEM\_E\_NODATAAVAILABLE to DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT in the following APIs:

Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData [SWS\_Dem\_00636]

Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords [SWS\_Dem\_00637]

Add requirement to chapter "7.11.7 Interaction with Diagnostic Log & Trace (Dlt)":

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData is called and for the provided EventId no UDS snapshot record is stored, the Dem shall return DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT. The value of the out parameters DestBuffer and BufSize are undefined in this case.

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: If Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords is called and for the provided EventId no extended data record is stored, the Dem shall return DEM\_NO\_SUCH\_ELEMENT. The value of the out parameters DestBuffer and BufSize are undefined in this case.

=== SWS DLT ===

Add the following requirement below SWS\_Dlt\_00478:

+ [SWS\_Dlt\_00xx1]

Only if Dem\_DltGetAllExtendedDataRecords returns with E\_OK, the provided information shall be further processed by the DLT module. No retry nor error handling shall be done. (SRS\_Dlt\_00007)

Add the following requirement below SWS\_Dlt\_00479:

+ [SWS\_Dlt\_00xx2]

Only if Dem\_DltGetMostRecentFreezeFrameRecordData() returns with E\_OK, the provided information shall be further processed by the DLT module. No retry nor error handling shall be done. (SRS\_Dlt\_00007)

–Last change on issue 76939 comment 7–

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

#### **Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

#### **Agreed solution:**

## Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

### Motivation:

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents - ~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

### Proposal:

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]  
[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_01058]  
-Last change on issue 78539 comment 6-

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.291 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01299

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_BSW\\_00369](#)

### Content:

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the Det DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:



- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

**Problem description:**

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected

DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_00057: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType  
SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
  - In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.292 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01300

#### Trace References:

[SRS\\_BSW\\_00369](#)

#### Content:

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the Det DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

#### Problem description:

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all  
Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

#### Agreed solution:

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType  
SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING
  - In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord
- Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.293 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01301

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

If `Dem_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs` is called with an invalid `Obdmid` value which is different to `0x00`, `0x20`, `0x40`, `0x60`, `0x80`, `0xA0`, `0xC0` or `0xE0`, the Dem shall report the Det development error `DEM_E_INVALID_OBDMID`.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77870: [Dem / Dcm] ReDesign Dem/Dcm interface of AR4.3: issue with `Dem_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs()`

#### Problem description:

[SWS\_Dem\_00760] d Upon request by the Dcm, the Dem shall compute Supported-OBDMID information, i.e. the availability OBDMIDs. Since the `Dem_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs` reports only value per standardized OBDMID (00, 20, 40...), the Dcm has to call this API iteratively until no further availability OBDMID is supported. c()

Since `Dem_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs` reports only value per standardized OBDMID (00, 20, 40...), the Dcm has to call this API iteratively until no further availability OBDMID is supported. But there is no matching requirement in the Dcm spec.

Refer to Dcm requirement below

[SWS\_Dcm\_00957] d On reception of an OBD Service 06 request with only "availability OBDMID(s)" as parameter(s), the Dcm module shall obtain the supported OBDMIDs by calling the DEM interface `Dem_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs()` for each "availability OBDMID (00, 20, ...)" contained within the request and concatenate the results within the response message. c()

Moreover Dcm expects to get supported OBDMIDs by calling `Dem_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs`, but in Dem spec it is only said to compute, not provide, OBD MID information.

SWS\_Dem\_00760 should be rephrased to:

Upon request by the Dcm, via `Dem_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs()` the Dem shall

compute and provide Supported-OBDMID information, i.e. the availability OBDMIDs.

Additionally SWS\_Dcm\_00957 should be rephrased to call Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs() iteratively until no further availability OBD-MID is supported

#### **Agreed solution:**

In DEM:

Rephrase the SWS\_Dem\_00760 to:

[SWS\_Dem\_00760] If Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs is called with an "availability Obdmid" value of 0x00, 0x20, 0x40, 0x60, 0x80, 0xA0, 0xC0 or 0xE0 and the Dem has configured DemDtrMid [ECUC\_Dem\_00809], the Dem shall compute and provide the Supported-OBDMID information in the OUT parameter Obdmidvalue and return E\_OK. The computed Obdmids parameter is according to ISO-15031-5 and sets a bit for each supported Obdmid in the request range (starting with Obdmid+1) and having the last bit of the Obdmidvalue set, if any subsequent range has configured Obdmids.

After SWS\_Dem\_00760 add new requirement :

SWS\_Dem\_00xxx : If Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs is called with an invalid Obdmid value, the Dem shall report the Det development error DEM\_E\_INVALID\_OBDMID.(SRS\_DIAG\_04082)

Update the table SWS\_Dem\_00173 with

Type or error:Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs called with invalid OBDMID Relevance: Development Related error: DEM\_E\_INVALID\_OBDMID code Value: 0x50 [hex]

Remove in [SWS\_Dem\_00766] the return value E\_NOT\_OK: Report of DTR result failed.

In DCM:

Change [SWS\_Dcm\_00957] to

On reception of an OBD Service 06 request with only "availability OBDMID(s)" as parameter(s), the Dcm module shall obtain the supported OBDMIDs by calling the DEM interface Dem\_DcmGetAvailableOBDMIDs()for each "availability OBDMID (00, 20, ...)" contained within the request and concatenate the results within the response message according to ISO-15031-5.

–Last change on issue 77870 comment 11–

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

- RfC #78539: Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag

**Problem description:**

Traceability cleanup due to new FO\_SRS\_Diag with new SRS and /or merged of existing SRS. The traceability table on Dcm/Dem needs to be reviewed. If SRS is missing we should propose new SRS for next FO release.

**Agreed solution:**

Traceability cleanup on Dem/Dcm

To provide a copy& paste ready solution the effort is too high and has got no added value. So, an example shall show what is to do to clean up the DEM and DCM.

**Motivation:**

Due to the clean up of SRS\_Diag in FO R120 the traceability needs also an update. There are too many "No description" shown in the documents -  
~ 30 entries with ~ 60 referenced requirements into Dem.

The reason for that is that the requirements have got new numbers since they were replaced or merged with the SRS\_Diag harmonization. If Dem and Dcm will be released with R431 with the current status the document has got none high quality. This RfC is a formal change only to clean up the document for R431 and harmonize the document with the SRS-Diag (FO R130).

**Proposal:**

1) search for "No description"

2) replaces the current requirement number with the old one: example:  
SRS\_Diag\_04069 -> SRS\_Diag\_04204

3) clean up the traceability of the detected requirement under 1) for example:

SRS\_Diag\_04069: [SWS\_Dem\_00570] [SWS\_Dem\_00571],[SWS\_Dem\_00572]

[SWS\_Dem\_00573],[SWS\_Dem\_00661],[SWS\_Dem\_00664],[SWS\_Dem\_01057],[SWS\_Dem\_0

—Last change on issue 78539 comment 6—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.294 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01302

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04126](#)



## Content:

The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetEventAvailable asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time.

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77928: [Dem] make interfaces asynchronous (Topic 2 from RfC 76727 - Refine Dem Interface)

### Problem description:

copied from Wigberts RFC 76727:

#### 2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

==> copied into separate rfc as it is a independent different topic and can be discussed separately

### Agreed solution:

#### 2) Make

- Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00185 and operation ResetEventStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00598 to:

E\_OK: Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_01080 and operation SetEventAvailable of SWS\_Dem\_01193 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the availability status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the availability status not accepted.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00839 and operation SetWIRSta-



tusof SWS\_Dem\_00838 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was not be accepted (e.g. disabled controlDTCSetting) and should be repeated.

Modify the [SWS\_Dem\_00187] to

The function Dem\_ResetEventStatus shall trigger the setting of the event status bit 0 (TestFailed) to 0 and reset the Dem-internal debounce algorithm to initial values if configured. The Dem shall execute this action asynchronously at a later point in time. c(SRS\_Diag\_04067)

Note : A later point in time means that the exact moment is implementation specific. This could be the next main function. Also a possible call of the status change callback is triggered asynchronously.

Add new requirement before [SWS\_Dem\_0110]

SWS\_Dem\_0xxxx The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetEventAvailable asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.(SRS\_Diag\_04126)

Add new requirement to end of chapter 7.7.9.2 User controlled WarningIndicatorRequested-bit

SWS\_Dem\_0yyyy : The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetWIRStatus asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.

–Last change on issue 77928 comment 5–

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## **1.295 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01303**

### **Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04069](#)

### **Content:**

The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetWIRStatus asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time.

### **RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #77928: [Dem] make interfaces asynchronous (Topic 2 from RfC 76727 - Refine Dem Interface)

**Problem description:**

copied from Wigberts RFC 76727:

2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

==> copied into separate rfc as it is a independent different topic and can be discussed separately

**Agreed solution:**

2) Make

- Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185
- Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080
- Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00185 and operation ResetEventStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00598 to:

E\_OK: Request to reset the event status was successful accepted.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to reset the event status failed or is not allowed, because the event is already tested in this operation cycle.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_01080 and operation SetEventAvailable of SWS\_Dem\_01193 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the availability status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the availability status not accepted.

Change description of return values of SWS\_Dem\_00839 and operation SetWIRStatus of SWS\_Dem\_00838 to:

E\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was successful.

E\_NOT\_OK: Request to set the WIR status was not be accepted (e.g. disabled controlDTCSetting) and should be repeated.

Modify the [SWS\_Dem\_00187] to

The function Dem\_ResetEventStatus shall trigger the setting of the event status bit

0 (TestFailed) to 0 and reset the Dem-internal debounce algorithm to initial values if configured. The Dem shall execute this action asynchronously at a later point in time. c(SRS\_Diag\_04067)

Note : A later point in time means that the exact moment is implementation specific. This could be the next main function. Also a possible call of the status change callback is triggered asynchronously.

Add new requirement before [SWS\_Dem\_0110]

SWS\_Dem\_0xxxx The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetEventAvailable asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.(SRS\_Diag\_04126)

Add new requirement to end of chapter 7.7.9.2 User controlled WarningIndicatorRequested-bit

SWS\_Dem\_0yyyy : The Dem shall process a call of the Dem\_SetWIRStatus asynchronously. This means that the final result is available at a later point in time. This could be e.g. within the next main function.

–Last change on issue 77928 comment 5–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.296 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01304

### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04010](#)

### Content:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

#### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)

Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_91017)

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"  
SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"  
SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"  
SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"  
—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.297 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01305

**Trace References:**

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04010](#)

**Content:**

In case any of the Dem\_SelectDTC related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

**Problem description:**

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

- 1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

- 2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)



Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)  
Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)  
C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)  
[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—



**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

**1.298 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01306****Trace References:**[SRS\\_Diag\\_04010](#)**Content:**

If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

**Problem description:**

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)

Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in [SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.299 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_01307

### Trace References:

## SRS\_Diag\_04154

### Content:

If Dem\_GetDTCSuppression is called, the Dem shall return the DTC suppression status in the output parameter Dem\_GetDTCSuppression.SuppressionStatus. A value of TRUE means that the DTC was suppressed by a call of Dem\_SetDTCSuppression with Dem\_SetDTCSuppression.SuppressionStatus = TRUE, a value of FALSE means, that the DTC is currently not suppressed.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76814: Provide getter for dtc suppression

#### Problem description:

The Dem only provides means to set the DTC suppression state, a symmetric API to read back the suppression state of an DTC is missing.

#### Agreed solution:

Add a new C-API:

Std\_ReturnType Dem\_GetDTCSuppression(uint8 ClientId, boolean \*SuppressionStatus)

Asynchronous

Reentrant

Param (in): ClientId - Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module

Param (out): SuppressionStatus - Defines whether the respective DTC is suppressed (TRUE) or enabled (FALSE).

Return value: Std\_ReturnType - E\_OK: Operation was successful

E\_NOT\_OK: Dem\_SelectDTC was not called.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: No valid DTC or DTC group selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Wrong DTC origin selected

DEM\_PENDING: The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later.

Description: "Returns the suppression status of a specific DTC.

API Availability: This API will be available only if (ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemSuppressionSupport) == DEM\_DTC\_SUPPRESSION)"

Add a new operation to C/S interface "DTCSuppression" SWS\_Dem\_00608:

Name: GetDTCSuppression

Take parameters and description from C-API above, link both to SRS\_Diag\_04154

Add new requirement to chapter 7.4.76 Suppress DTC output

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_GetDTC suppression is called, the Dem shall return the status if the DTC is suppressed in the output parameter SuppressionStatus. A value of TRUE means that the DTC was suppressed by a call of Dem\_SetDTC suppression with SuppressionStatus = TRUE, a value of FALSE means, that the DTC is currently not suppressed. (SRS\_Diag\_04154)

Add text below this requirement:

Also there are various reasons, why a DTC is not processed and thus is not visible for diagnostics, the suppression state itself is only changed by Dem\_SetDTC suppression. Dem\_GetDTC suppression only evaluates this suppression state.

Remove SWS\_Dem\_00586

Change SWS\_Dem\_01253 to :

If the API Dem\_SelectDTC is called, the Dem shall use the selected DTC or group of DTC in the requested DTCOrigin and DTCFormat as target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC  
Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC  
Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC  
Dem\_ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult  
Dem\_GetDTC suppression.  
(SRS\_Diag\_04010)

–Last change on issue 76814 comment 24–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.300 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_91015

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_SelectFreezeFrameDataDem_SelectFreezeFrameData	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SelectFreezeFrameData( uint8 ClientId, uint32 DTC, Dem_DTCOriginType DTCOrigin, uint8 RecordNumber )	
Service ID[hex]:	0xb9	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_SelectFreezeFrameData.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
	DTC	Diagnostic Trouble Code in UDS format.
	DTCOrigin	If the Dem supports more than one event memory, this parameter is used to select the source memory the DTCs shall be read from.
RecordNumberDem_SelectFreezeFrameData.RecordNumber	<p>This parameter is a unique Unique identifier for a freeze frame snapshot record as defined in ISO 15031-5 and ISO 14229-1. This record number is unique per DTC (relative addressing). The value 0xFF is not allowed a placeholder referencing all snapshot records of the addressed DTC. The value 0x00 indicates the DTC-specific OBD freeze frame WWH-OBd snapshot record.</p>	
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	<p>Always E_OK is returned: Freeze frame data successfully selected.</p> <p>DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.</p> <p>DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.</p> <p>DEM_PENDING: Selecting the freeze frame is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.</p> <p>DEM_BUSY: A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.</p>
Description:	Sets the filter to be used by Dem_GetNextFreezeFrameData and Dem_GetSizeOfFreezeFrameSelection.	

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the

E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)

Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)



Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76765: Access to event related data APIs shall depend on Dem\_SelectDTC

#### **Problem description:**

In 4.3.0 in RfC # 71838 the Dem/Dcm interaction was updated. It was forgotten that the APIs:

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

shall also depend

on Dem\_SelectDTC.

—Last change on issue 76765 comment 7—

#### **Agreed solution:**

To SWS\_Dem\_01253 add the following two APIs:

- Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData [SWS\_Dem\_91015]
- Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord [SWS\_Dem\_91017]

From API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord remove the following parameters:

- uint32 DTC
- Dem\_DTCType DTCType

Add the following return values to Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData:

E\_OK: The freeze frame is correctly selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCTYPE: Selected DTCType does not exist.

DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the freeze frame is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Add the following return values to Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord :

E\_OK: The extended data record is correctly selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.

DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the extended data record is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Remove the dependencies to the two parameters and add reference to selected DTC instead in:

[SWS\_Dem\_01272] If the API Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the ExtendedDataNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord

Dem\_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_01268] If the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the FreezeFrameRecordNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData

Dem\_GetSizeOfFreezeFrameSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_00630] If a single DTC is selected and the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called with a valid RecordNumber which is not stored, Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData shall return E\_OK and BufSize 0 (empty buffer). (SRS\_Diag\_04074)

–Last change on issue 76765 comment 11–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #76785: Allow selection for all freeze frames on Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData

#### Problem description:

In Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData [SWS\_Dem\_91015] the parameter "RecordNumber" has the following description:

"This parameter is a unique identifier for a freeze frame record as defined in ISO 15031-5 and ISO 14229-1. This record number is unique per DTC (relative addressing). The value 0xFF is not allowed. The value 0x00 indicates the DTC-specific OBD freeze frame."

There is no reason to restrict the value "0xFF" to be used, it is much more essential for ISO 14229-1 diagnostics. It seems that this is a copy& paste bug as 4.2.x APIs indeed required that 0xFF was excluded.

**Agreed solution:**

Change the parameter description "RecordNumber" of Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData [SWS\_Dem\_91015] to:

"Unique identifier for a snapshot records as defined in ISO 14229-1. The value 0xFF is a placeholder referencing all snapshot records of the addressed DTC. The value 0x00 indicates the DTC-specific WWH-OBD snapshot record."

—Last change on issue 76785 comment 5—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.301 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_91016

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_SelectDTCDem_SelectDTC	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SelectDTC( uint8 ClientId, uint32 DTC, Dem_DTCFormatType DTCFormat, Dem_DTCOriginType DTCOrigin )	
Service ID[hex]:	0xb7	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_SelectDTC.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
	DTCDem_SelectDTC.DTC	Defines the DTC in respective format that is selected. If the DTC fits to a DTC group number, the DTC group is selected.
	DTCFormatDem_SelectDTC.DTCFormat	Defines the input-format of the provided DTC value.
	DTCOriginDem_SelectDTC.DTCOrigin	The event memory of the requested DTC or group of DTC.

Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	Always E_OK is returned: DTC successfully selected. DEM_BUSY: Another Dem_SelectDTC or Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress.
Description:	Selects a DTC or DTC group as target for further operations.	

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

#### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

#### Agreed solution:

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:  
Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)  
Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)  
Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)  
Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)  
C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already

started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in [SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.302 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_91017

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecordDem_SelectExtendedDataRecord
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_SelectExtendedDataRecord( uint8 ClientId, uint32 DTC, Dem_DTCOriginType DTCOrigin, uint8 ExtendedDataNumber )

Service ID[hex]:	0xba	
Sync/Async:	Synchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_SelectExtendedDataRecord.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
	DTCDem_SelectExtendedDataRecord.DTC	Diagnostic Trouble Code in UDS format.
DTCOriginDem_SelectExtendedDataRecord.DTCOrigin	If the Dem supports more than one event memory, this parameter is used to select the source memory the DTCs shall be read from.	
ExtendedDataNumberDem_SelectExtendedDataRecord.ExtendedDataNumber	Identification/Number of requested extended data record. Additionally the values 0xFE and 0xFF are explicitly allowed to request the overall size of all OBD records / all records.	
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	<p>Always E_OK is returned: Extended data record successfully selected.</p> <p>DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.</p> <p>DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist.</p> <p>DEM_PENDING: Selecting the extended data record is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.</p> <p>DEM_BUSY: A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.</p>
Description:	Sets the filter to be used by Dem_GetNextExtendedDataRecord and Dem_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection.	

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

#### **Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.



b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:  
Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)  
Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)  
Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)  
Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)  
C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)  
[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully

selected

–Last change on issue 76727 comment 33–

#### **BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76765: Access to event related data APIs shall depend on Dem\_SelectDTC

#### **Problem description:**

In 4.3.0 in RfC # 71838 the Dem/Dcm interaction was updated. It was forgotten that the APIs:

Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

shall also depend

on Dem\_SelectDTC.

–Last change on issue 76765 comment 7–

#### **Agreed solution:**

To SWS\_Dem\_01253 add the following two APIs:

- Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData [SWS\_Dem\_91015]
- Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord [SWS\_Dem\_91017]

From API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord remove the following parameters:

- uint32 DTC
- Dem\_DTCType DTCType

Add the following return values to Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData:

E\_OK: The freeze frame is correctly selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCTYPE: Selected DTCType does not exist.

DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the freeze frame is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Add the following return values to Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord :

E\_OK: The extended data record is correctly selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCTYPE: Selected DTCType does not exist.

DEM\_PENDING: Selecting the extended data record is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again at a later moment.

Remove the dependencies to the two parameters and add reference to selected DTC instead in:

[SWS\_Dem\_01272] If the API Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the ExtendedDataNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord

Dem\_GetSizeOfExtendedDataRecordSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_01268] If the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called, the Dem shall use the DTC selected by Dem\_SelectDTC and the FreezeFrameRecordNumber as a target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData

Dem\_GetSizeOfFreezeFrameSelection

c(SRS\_Diag\_04074)

[SWS\_Dem\_00630] If a single DTC is selected and the API Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData is called with a valid RecordNumber which is not stored, Dem\_GetNextFreezeFrameData shall return E\_OK and BufSize 0 (empty buffer). (SRS\_Diag\_04074)

–Last change on issue 76765 comment 11–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

## 1.303 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_91020

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Service name:	Dem_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTCDem_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC( uint8 ClientId )
Service ID[hex]:	0xbb
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.

Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetDTCSelectionResult ForClearDTC.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: The DTC select parameter check is successful and the requested DTC or group of DTC in the selected origin is selected for further operations. DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or a single DTC was selected and Dem only supports to Clear all DTCs. DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist. DEM_PENDING: Checking the Select DTC parameters is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again later. DEM_BUSY: A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.
Description:	Provides information if the last call to Dem_SelectDTC has selected a valid DTC or group of DTCs, respecting the settings if the Dem shall clear only all DTCs.	

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

### Problem description:

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

2) Make

\* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

\* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

\* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_Select(DTC2)

Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

Dem\_ClearDTC()

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)

a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)

Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)

C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType  
[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)  
[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisabledDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

–Last change on issue 76727 comment 33–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #77608: [Dem] Check clear parameters according ISO

**Problem description:**

taken from comment 13 of RFC # 76727

Statement from Carl-Eike:

"as I understood, the timing chart for clearing given in the ISO has some strong requirements on evaluation order. Wouldn't those be violated, if a clear fails due to a wrong DTC at a later step? It's well possible that it doesn't matter much or at all, but as it seems it was the reason to introduce GetSelectionResult for use in the clear processing.

I've noticed something else reading the SWS. Why are so many requirements starting with "The API Dem\_Xyz shall"? The API is the set of everything needed by the application to use the Dem (say, # defines, typedefs, functions, ...). Dem\_Xyz on the other hand is simply a function - part of the API, but surely not "the API". This is evident especially for those functions that only make any sense when used in combination. Could the "The API " part be removed from all the requirements?"

Conclusion:

currently the Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult function cannot consider the restriction of DemClearDTCLimitation [ECUC\_Dem\_00790]. Therefore getDTCSelectionResult does not correctly indicate, whether a later clear-request will succeed. This is required for proper ISO handling.

**Agreed solution:**

Add a new API Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC(  
uint8 ClientId  
)

Service ID[hex]:

Sync/Async: Asynchronous

Reentrancy: Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.

Parameters (in): ClientId Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.

Parameters (inout): None

Parameters (out): None

Return value: Std\_ReturnType E\_OK: The DTC select parameter check is successful and the requested DTC or group of DTC in the selected origin is selected for further operations.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or a single DTC was selected and Dem only supports to Clear all DTCs

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist

DEM\_PENDING: Checking the SelectDTC parameters is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again later.

Add requirement below requirement SWS\_Dem\_1258

SWS\_Dem\_XXXXX :

The API Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC shall behave exactly as Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult with the only difference that, DEM\_WRONG\_DTC is returned in case of a single DTC was selected but the Dem is configured to delete only all DTCs for that client.

–Last change on issue 77608 comment 2–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.304 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_91023

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

Service name:	Dem_GetDTCSelectionResultDem_GetDTCSelectionResult	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetDTCSelectionResult( uint8 ClientId )	
Service ID[hex]:	0xb8	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_GetDTCSelection Result.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	None	



Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: The DTC select parameter check is successful and the requested DTC or group of DTC in the selected origin is selected for further operations. E_NOT_OK: No DTC selected DEM_WRONG_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist DEM_PENDING: Checking the Select DTC parameters is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again later. DEM_BUSY: A different Dem_SelectDTC dependent operation according to SWS_Dem_01253 of this client is currently in progress.
Description:	– Provides information if the last call to Dem_SelectDTC has selected a valid DTC or group of DTCs.	

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76727: Refine Dem Interface

**Problem description:**

With RfC # 71838 and # 51629 in ASR 4.3.0 the Dem API was reworked. The following situations were left out in these RfCs and shall be added to the Dem:

- 1) Make Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016) asynchronous

Rational: In 4.3.0 the SelectDTC API is the source for further APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253. As the select API is meant to start the SelectDTC process but the result is only available for the other APIs the Dem\_SelectDTC operation is more asynchronous than synchronous. Note that only the "Sync/Async:" flag shall be changed, nothing more (no further return values).

- 2) Make

- \* Dem\_ResetEventStatus SWS\_Dem\_00185

- \* Dem\_SetEventAvailable SWS\_Dem\_01080

- \* Dem\_SetWIRStatus SWS\_Dem\_00839

asynchronous. Those APIs could trigger callbacks, they are not re-entrant among each other. Making them asynchronous would allow a deterministic behavior.

- 3) Make the SelectDTC and depending API call sequence robust by protection against various invalid call sequences, such as:

- a) Dem\_Select(DTC1)

- Dem\_Select(DTC2)

- Dem\_ClearDTC() -> which DTC to clear DTC1, or DTC2?

b) Dem\_Select(DTC1)  
Dem\_ClearDTC()  
Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC() -> is this call valid? what is returned?

**Agreed solution:**

3)  
a) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:  
Dem\_SelectDTC (SWS\_Dem\_91016)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "Another Dem\_SelectDTC or Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_SelectDTC is called by one client and the same client is calling Dem\_SelectDTC again and the Dem is currently still processing the previous operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01253:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1\_1:

The Dem shall consider an operation based on Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 to be finished independently if the client has requested the E\_OK result from the Dem or not. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

Note: A client calling Dem\_SelectDTC while another operation of the same client is still running, would indicate that the client is no longer interested in the result of the running operation. The result can be dropped and new select can be processed after DEM is ready.

b) Add DEM\_BUSY as further return value to:  
Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)  
Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)  
Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)  
Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)  
C/S Interface ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00666) Operation ClearDTC  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate(SWS\_Dem\_00233)  
Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)  
Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC (new API RFC# 77608)  
Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord(SWS\_Dem\_91017)  
Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData (SWS\_Dem\_91015)

Description of DEM\_BUSY: "A different Dem\_SelectDTC dependent operation

according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 of this client is currently in progress."

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx2: In case any of the Dem\_SelectDtc related APIs according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called by one client and the same client has already started another Dem\_SelectDTC related operation according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 and this different operation is not completed, the Dem shall return DEM\_BUSY. (SRS\_Diag\_04010)

remove unused types:

[SWS\_Dem\_00952] Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00953] Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00954] Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

[SWS\_Dem\_00956] Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType (adapt figure 7.50 Multiple clients requesting event memory information in parallel)

[SWS\_Dem\_00959] Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

5)

replace DEM\_CLEAR\_WRONG\_DTC by DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in  
[SWS\_Dem\_01100] and [SWS\_Dem\_00670]

6)

Extend return type description as:

SWS\_Dem\_91016: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_00234: correct description of E\_OK to "DTC record successfully updated"

SWS\_Dem\_91017: correct description of E\_OK to "Extended data record successfully selected"

SWS\_Dem\_91015: correct description of E\_OK to "Freeze frame data successfully selected"

—Last change on issue 76727 comment 33—

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

- RfC #76748: Inconsistent behavior on APIs requiring a set/select

#### Problem description:

The following APIs do dependent on Select<XYZ>/SetFilter. If this Select/Set did not occur the Dem has an inconsistent behavior on them.

Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214): no handling at all

Dem\_GetNextExtendedDataRecord (SWS\_Dem\_00239): E\_NOT\_OK is returned  
Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233): no handling provided

SWS\_Dem\_01261 defines that all Dem\_SelectDTC dependent APIs do return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC in this case.

**Agreed solution:**

Align the Dem behavior for all dependent APIs to have the same behavior and return E\_NOT\_OK in all those situations.

===BSW UML change start===

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC selected" to:

- Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00233)
- Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate (SWS\_Dem\_00234)
- Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00212)
- Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00232)
- Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00594)
- Dem\_ClearDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00665)
- Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult (SWS\_Dem\_91023)

Add further return value "E\_NOT\_OK" with description "No DTC filter set" to  
:

- Dem\_GetNumberOfFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00214)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTC (SWS\_Dem\_00215)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndFDC (SWS\_Dem\_00227)
- Dem\_GetNextFilteredDTCAndSeverity (SWS\_Dem\_00281)

===BSW UML change end===

Change SWS\_Dem\_01261 to: "API Behaviour on undefined DTC or format"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called on a selected DTC or group of DTC that does not exist in the selected DTC-Format, the Dem shall return DEM\_WRONG\_DTC on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement below SWS\_Dem\_01261 "API behavior without selected DTC"

If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SelectDTC according to SWS\_Dem\_01253 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SelectDTC for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API.(SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Add new requirement above SWS\_Dem\_01264:

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If any of the APIs requiring a Dem\_SetDTCFilter according to SWS\_Dem\_00057 is called without a prior call of Dem\_SetDTCFilter for this client, the Dem shall report the DET error DEM\_E\_WRONG\_CONDITION and return E\_NOT\_OK on this API. (SRS\_BSW\_00369)

Remove (see comment 7):

SWS\_Dem\_00956 Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00959 Dem\_ReturnDisableDTCRecordUpdateType

SWS\_Dem\_00952 Dem\_ReturnGetStatusOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00953 Dem\_ReturnGetSeverityOfDTCType

SWS\_Dem\_00954 Dem\_ReturnGetFunctionalUnitOfDTCType

Adapt [https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtif](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtif) as Dem\_ReturnGetNumberOfFilteredDTCType was removed.

- In SWS\_Dem\_00874 replace DEM\_NUMBER\_OK with E\_OK and DEM\_NUMBER\_PENDING with DEM\_PENDING

- In SWS\_Dem\_01100 remove Dem\_SelectFreezeFrameData and Dem\_SelectExtendedDataRecord

–Last change on issue 76748 comment 22–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1

- RfC #77608: [Dem] Check clear parameters according ISO

#### Problem description:

taken from comment 13 of RFC # 76727

Statement from Carl-Eike:

"as I understood, the timing chart for clearing given in the ISO has some strong requirements on evaluation order. Wouldn't those be violated, if a clear fails due to a wrong DTC at a later step? It's well possible that it doesn't matter much or at all, but as it seems it was the reason to introduce GetSelectionResult for use in the clear processing.

I've noticed something else reading the SWS. Why are so many requirements starting with "The API Dem\_Xyz shall"? The API is the set of everything needed by the application to use the Dem (say, # defines, typedefs, functions, ...). Dem\_Xyz on the other hand is simply a function - part of the API, but surely not "the API". This is evident especially for those functions that only make

any sense when used in combination. Could the "The API " part be removed from all the requirements?"

**Conclusion:**

currently the Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult function cannot consider the restriction of DemClearDTCLimitation [ECUC\_Dem\_00790]. Therefore getDTCSelectionResult does not correctly indicate, whether a later clear-request will succeed. This is required for proper ISO handling.

**Agreed solution:**

Add a new API Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC

Syntax: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC(  
uint8 ClientId  
)

Service ID[hex]:

Sync/Async: Asynchronous

Reentrancy: Reentrant for different ClientIds, non reentrant for the same ClientId.

Parameters (in): ClientId Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module.

Parameters (inout): None

Parameters (out): None

Return value: Std\_ReturnType E\_OK: The DTC select parameter check is successful and the requested DTC or group of DTC in the selected origin is selected for further operations.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: Selected DTC value in selected format does not exist or a single DTC was selected and Dem only supports to Clear all DTCs

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Selected DTCOrigin does not exist

DEM\_PENDING: Checking the SelectDTC parameters is currently in progress. The caller shall call this function again later.

Add requirement below requirement SWS\_Dem\_1258

SWS\_Dem\_XXXXX :

The API Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResultForClearDTC shall behave exactly as Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult with the only difference that, DEM\_WRONG\_DTC is returned in case of a single DTC was selected but the Dem is configured to delete only all DTCs for that client.

–Last change on issue 77608 comment 2–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.305 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_91024

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

Name	CBClrEvt_{Name}Dem.CBClrEvt		
Kind	RequiredPort	Interface	CallbackClearEventAllowed
Description	—		
Variation	((({ecuc(Dem/DemConfigSet/DemEventParameter/DemCallbackClearEventAllowed)}) != NULL) &&({ecuc(Dem/DemConfigSet/DemEventParameter/DemCallbackClearEventAllowed/DemCallbackClearEventAllowedFnc)}) == NULL)) Name = {ecuc(Dem/DemConfigSet/DemEventParameter/DemCallbackClearEventAllowed.SHORT-NAME)}		

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #77456: [Dem] missing definition of the R-PORT name for the interface CallbackClearEventAllowed

#### Problem description:

In sec. 8.6.4 Ports, the port (R-PORT) definition for the interface CallbackClearEventAllowed (in sec. 8.6.3 Client-Server-Interfaces) is missing.

#### Proposal:

Add new section, new requirement and new table as described below (I think it can be applied to R4.3.1):

#### 8.6.4.38 CBClrEvt

[SWS\_Dem\_xxxx01]

\* Name: CBClrEvt\_Name

\* Kind: RequiredPort

\* Interface: CallbackClearEventAllowed

\* Description:

\* Variation: ((ecuc(Dem/DemConfigSet/DemEventParameter/DemCallbackClearEventAllowed) != NULL) && (ecuc(Dem/DemConfigSet/DemEventParameter/DemCallbackClearEventAllowed/DemCallbackClearEventAllowedFnc) == NULL))  
Name = ecuc(Dem/DemConfigSet/DemEventParameter.SHORT-NAME)

Table 8.293: Port CBClrEvt\_Name

(SRS\_Diag\_04117)

Note: the name of the port (R-PORT) is coming from CP R3.2.3 SWS Dem:

> RequirePort CallbackClearEventAllowed CBClrEvt\_<EventName>;

#### Agreed solution:

Add the existing artifact:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26\\_Standards/10\\_CP\\_R4/01\\_Sources/ZGEN\\_SWSArtifacts/S](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/26_Standards/10_CP_R4/01_Sources/ZGEN_SWSArtifacts/S)  
into the SWS\_Dem.

–Last change on issue 77456 comment 3–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.306 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_91025

#### Trace References:

[SRS\\_Diag\\_04154](#)

#### Content:

Service name:	Dem_GetDTCSTuppressionDem_GetDTCSTuppression	
Syntax:	Std_ReturnType Dem_GetDTCSTuppression( uint8 ClientId, boolean* SuppressionStatus )	
Service ID[hex]:	0xbc	
Sync/Async:	Asynchronous	
Reentrancy:	Reentrant	
Parameters (in):	ClientIdDem_Get DTCSTuppression.ClientId	Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module
Parameters (inout):	None	
Parameters (out):	SuppressionStatusDem_Get DTCSTuppression.SuppressionStatus	Defines whether the respective DTC is suppressed (TRUE) or enabled (FALSE).
Return value:	Std_ReturnType	E_OK: Operation was successful. E_NOT_OK: Dem_SelectDTC was not called. DEM_WRONG_DTC: No valid DTC or DTC group selected. DEM_WRONG_DTCORIGIN: Wrong DTC origin selected. DEM_PENDING: The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later.



Description:	Returns the suppression status of a specific DTC. API Availability: This API will be available only if ((ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemSuppressionSupport)) == DEM_DTC_SUPPRESSION)
--------------	--

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76814: Provide getter for dtc suppression

### Problem description:

The Dem only provides means to set the DTC suppression state, a symmetric API to read back the suppression state of an DTC is missing.

### Agreed solution:

Add a new C-API:

Std\_ReturnType Dem\_GetDTCSuppression(uint8 ClientId, boolean \*SuppressionStatus)

Asynchronous

Reentrant

Param (in): ClientId - Unique client id, assigned to the instance of the calling module

Param (out): SuppressionStatus - Defines whether the respective DTC is suppressed (TRUE) or enabled (FALSE).

Return value: Std\_ReturnType - E\_OK: Operation was successful

E\_NOT\_OK: Dem\_SelectDTC was not called.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTC: No valid DTC or DTC group selected.

DEM\_WRONG\_DTCORIGIN: Wrong DTC origin selected

DEM\_PENDING: The requested value is calculated asynchronously and currently not available. The caller can retry later.

Description: "Returns the suppression status of a specific DTC.

API Availability: This API will be available only if ((ecuc(Dem/DemGeneral.DemSuppressionSupport)) == DEM\_DTC\_SUPPRESSION)"

Add a new operation to C/S interface "DTCSuppression" SWS\_Dem\_00608:

Name: GetDTCSuppression

Take parameters and description from C-API above, link both to SRS\_Diag\_04154

Add new requirement to chapter 7.4.76 Suppress DTC output

SWS\_Dem\_xxxx1: If Dem\_GetDTCSuppression is called, the Dem shall return the status if the DTC is suppressed in the output parameter SuppressionStatus. A value

of TRUE means that the DTC was suppressed by a call of Dem\_SetDTCSuppression with SuppressionStatus = TRUE, a value of FALSE means, that the DTC is currently not suppressed. (SRS\_Diag\_04154)

Add text below this requirement:

Also there are various reasons, why a DTC is not processed and thus is not visible for diagnostics, the suppression state itself is only changed by Dem\_SetDTCSuppression. Dem\_GetDTCSuppression only evaluates this suppression state.

Remove SWS\_Dem\_00586

Change SWS\_Dem\_01253 to :

If the API Dem\_SelectDTC is called, the Dem shall use the selected DTC or group of DTC in the requested DTCOrigin and DTCFormat as target for the following API calls:

Dem\_GetStatusOfDTC

Dem\_GetSeverityOfDTC

Dem\_GetFunctionalUnitOfDTC

Dem\_ClearDTC

Dem\_DisableDTCRecordUpdate

Dem\_EnableDTCRecordUpdate

Dem\_GetDTCSelectionResult

Dem\_GetDTCSuppression.

(SRS\_Diag\_04010)

–Last change on issue 76814 comment 24–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.307 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06118

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

The DemDTC.DemDtcValue shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory.

RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76511: Uniqueness of DTCs

**Problem description:**

1) DTC values are unique in a given DTCOrigin and DemEventMemorySet, but there is no requirement yet expressing this. Only the first sentence of chapter 7.4 mentions something like this (informative and incomplete).

2) Dem\_SetDTCSTSuppression uses a DTC value as index to identify the DTC to suppress. As DTCs are not unique among the different fault memories the API shall get extended by DTCOrigin and DemEventMemorySet.

**Agreed solution:**

1a) Add a new constraint after SWS\_Dem\_01247:

SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx1: The DemDtcValue [ECUC\_Dem\_00887] shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory. (SRS\_Diag\_04126)

1b) Add a new constraint after SWS\_Dem\_01247:

SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx2: The DemDtcValue [ECUC\_Dem\_00885] shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory. (SRS\_Diag\_04126)

2) Change API Dem\_SetDTCSTSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_01047] to: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDTCSTSuppression(uint8 ClientId, boolean SuppressionStatus)

Use ClientID description from existing APIs.

Do the same for the C/S DTCSTSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_00608] in operation Set-DTCSTSuppression.

Add Dem\_SetDTCSTSuppression as further bullet point in: SWS\_Dem\_01253

–Last change on issue 76511 comment 13–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

## 1.308 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06119

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

The DemObdDTC.DemDtcValue shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #76511: Uniqueness of DTCs

**Problem description:**

1) DTC values are unique in a given DTCOrigin and DemEventMemorySet, but there is no requirement yet expressing this. Only the first sentence of chapter 7.4 mentions something like this (informative and incomplete).

2) Dem\_SetDTCSuppression uses a DTC value as index to identify the DTC to suppress. As DTCs are not unique among the different fault memories the API shall get extended by DTCOrigin and DemEventMemorySet.

**Agreed solution:**

1a) Add a new constraint after SWS\_Dem\_01247:

SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx1: The DemDtcValue [ECUC\_Dem\_00887] shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory. (SRS\_Diag\_04126)

1b) Add a new constraint after SWS\_Dem\_01247:

SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx2: The DemDtcValue [ECUC\_Dem\_00885] shall be unique within all DTCs referencing the same event memory. (SRS\_Diag\_04126)

2) Change API Dem\_SetDTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_01047] to: Std\_ReturnType Dem\_SetDTCSuppression(uint8 ClientId, boolean SuppressionStatus)

Use ClientID description from existing APIs.

Do the same for the C/S DTCSuppression [SWS\_Dem\_00608] in operation Set-DTCSuppression.

Add Dem\_SetDTCSuppression as further bullet point in: SWS\_Dem\_01253

–Last change on issue 76511 comment 13–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
4	4	1

**1.309 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06120****Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

The `DemGeneral.DemGeneralCallbackMonitorStatusChangedFnc` shall only be present if `DemGeneral.DemGeneralInterfaceSupport` is set to `TRUE`."

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one `FiMInhSumRef` or `FiMInhEventRef` or `FiMInhComponentRef` needs to be configured." :

`FiMInhEventRef [ECUC_FiM_00100]`  
`FiMInhSumRef [ECUC_FiM_00102]`  
`FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC_FiM_00605]`

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

`SWS_FIM_CONSTR_XXX1` : For each configured `FiMInhibitionConfiguration`, at least one of `FiMInhSumRef` or `FiMInhEventRef` or `FiMInhComponentRef` shall be configured.

#### DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.310 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06121

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

The DemGeneral.DemMaxNumberEventEntryEventBuffer shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemEnvironmentDataCapture is set to DemGeneral.DemEnvironmentDataCapture.DEM\_CAPTURE\_SYNCHRONOUS\_TO\_REPORTING.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**  
—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**  
—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**  
—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**Rte**  
—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.311 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06122****Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

The `DemGeneral.DemOccurrenceCounterProcessing` shall only be present if `DemGeneral.DemEnvironmentDataCapture` is set to `DemGeneral.DemEnvironmentDataCapture.DEM_CAPTURE_SYNCHRONOUS_TO_REPORTING`.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one `FiMInhSumRef` or `FiMInhEventRef` or `FiMInhComponentRef` needs to be configured." :



FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.312 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06123

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

The DemGeneral.DemOperationCycleStatusStorage shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.Dem

OBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.313 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06124

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemGeneral.DemPTOSupport shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**  
—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**  
—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**  
—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**Rte**  
—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.314 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06125****Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemDTCAAttributes.DemAgingCycleCounterThreshold shall only be present if DemDTCAAttributes.DemAgingAllowed is set to TRUE.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.315 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06126

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemDTCAttributes.DemAgingCycleCounterThresholdForTFSLC shall only  
be present if DemGeneral.DemStatusBitHandlingTestFailedSinceLast

Clear is set to DemGeneral.DemStatusBitHandlingTestFailedSinceLast  
Clear.DEM\_STATUS\_BIT\_AGING\_AND\_DISPLACEMENT.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

---

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or  
FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

#### DEM

---

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.316 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06127

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemDTCAttributes.DemMaxNumberFreezeFrameRecords shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration is set to DemGeneral.DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration.DEM\_FF\_RECNUM\_CALCULATED.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).



**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**  
—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**  
—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**  
—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**Rte**  
—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.317 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06128****Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemDTCAttributes.DemAgingCycleRef shall only be present if DemDTCAttributes.DemAgingAllowed is set to TRUE.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.318 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06129

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemDTCAttributes.DemFreezeFrameRecNumClassRef shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration is set to DemGeneral.DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration.DEM\_FF\_RECNUM\_CONFIGURED.

## RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

### DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

## BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.319 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06130

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

DemEventParameter.DemReportBehavior shall only be present if DemEventParameter.DemEventKind is set to DemEventParameter.DemEventKind.DEM\_EVENT\_KIND\_SWC.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.320 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06131

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

DemEventParameter.DemOBDDGroupingAssociativeEventsRef shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.321 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06132

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDCentralizedPID21Handling shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**



- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.322 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06133

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDCentralizedPID31Handling shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.323 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06134

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDCompliance shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints  
Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

#### DEM

—  
see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### DCM

—  
See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### Rte

—  
RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.324 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06135

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEngineType shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**  
—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**  
—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**  
—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

A4/70\_InternalDocuments/048\_Dependency\_Removal/DCM\_Dem\_Dependency\_Removal.xlsx

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.325 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06136

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDEventDisplacement shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1



## 1.326 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06137

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputAcceleratorPedalInformation shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.327 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06138

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputAmbientPressure shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.328 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06139

#### Trace References:

none

#### Content:

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputAmbientTemperature shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.329 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06140

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDistanceInformation shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.330 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06141

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputEngineSpeed shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**

—



See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.331 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06142

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputEngineTemperature shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

## FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.332 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06143

#### Trace References:

none

#### Content:

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputProgrammingEvent shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.333 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06144

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemGeneralOBD.DemOBDDInputVehicleSpeed shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.334 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06145

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemObdDTC.DemConsiderPtoStatus shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be re-

moved from the database

## FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.335 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06146

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

The OBD DTC DemObdDTC.DemDtcValue shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints  
Add new constraints :



SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

#### DEM

—  
see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### DCM

—  
See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### Rte

—  
RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.336 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06147

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

DemObdDTC.DemEventOBDRreadinessGroup shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

---

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

---

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**

---

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

A4/70\_InternalDocuments/048\_Dependency\_Removal/DCM\_Dem\_Dependency\_Removal.xlsx

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.337 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06148

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

The container DemRatio shall only be available if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

FIM

—  
Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or  
FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—  
see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—  
See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—  
RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.338 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06149

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

The container DemDtr shall only be available if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

#### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

#### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

#### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints  
Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMInhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

#### DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

#### Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

#### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.339 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06150

#### Trace References:

none

#### Content:

The container DemPidClass and aggregated sub-container shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport is set to DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_MASTER\_ECU or DemGeneral.DemOBDSupport.DEM\_OBD\_PRIMARY\_ECU.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.340 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06151

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

The configuration parameter DemDebounceCounterBasedClass.DemCounterBasedFdcThresholdStorageValue shall only be present if DemFreezeFrameRecordClass.DemFreezeFrameRecordTrigger is set to DemFreezeFrameRecordClass.DemFreezeFrameRecordTrigger.DEM\_TRIGGER\_ON\_FDC\_THRESHOLD or DemExtendedDataRecordClass.DemExtendedDataRecordTrigger is set to DemExtendedDataRecordClass.DemExtendedDataRecordTrigger.DEM\_TRIGGER\_ON\_FDC\_THRESHOLD or DemGeneral.DemEventMemoryEntryStorageTrigger is set to DemGeneral.DemEventMemoryEntryStorageTrigger.DEM\_TRIGGER\_ON\_FDC\_THRESHOLD.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).



**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**  
—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**  
—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**  
—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**Rte**  
—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.341 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06152****Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemDebounceCounterBasedClass.DemDebounceCounterJumpDownValue shall only be present if DemDebounceCounterBasedClass.DemDebounceCounterJumpDown is set to TRUE.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.342 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06153

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemDebounceCounterBasedClass.DemDebounceCounterJumpUpValue shall only be present if DemDebounceCounterBasedClass.DemDebounceCounterJumpUp is set to TRUE.

#### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

##### Problem description:

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

##### Agreed solution:

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

##### FIM

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

##### DEM

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

### 1.343 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06154

**Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemDebounceCounterBasedClass.DemDebounceCounterStorage shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemOperationCycleStatusStorage is set to TRUE.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**  
—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

**DEM**  
—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**DCM**  
—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

**Rte**  
—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.  
—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

**1.344 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06155****Trace References:**

none

**Content:**

DemDebounceTimeBaseClass.DemTimeBasedFdcThresholdStorageValue shall only be present if DemFreezeFrameRecordClass.DemFreezeFrameRecordTrigger is set to DemFreezeFrameRecordClass.DemFreezeFrameRecordTrigger.DEM\_TRIGGER\_ON\_FDC\_THRESHOLD or DemExtendedDataRecordClass.DemExtendedDataRecordTrigger is set to DemExtendedDataRecordClass.DemExtendedDataRecordTrigger.DEM\_TRIGGER\_ON\_FDC\_THRESHOLD or DemGeneral.DemEventMemoryEntryStorageTrigger is set to DemGeneral.DemEventMemoryEntryStorageTrigger.DEM\_TRIGGER\_ON\_FDC\_THRESHOLD.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

FIM

—

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]

FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]

FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

—Last change on issue 75045 comment 21—

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.345 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_06156

**Trace References:**



none

**Content:**

DemFreezeFrameRecordClass.DemFreezeFrameRecordTrigger shall only be present if DemGeneral.DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration is set to DemGeneral.DemTypeOfFreezeFrameRecordNumeration.DEM\_FF\_RECNUM\_CONFIGURED.

**RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:**

- RfC #75045: [Cleanup] Cleanup Constraint Handling in SWS items: Rollout replacement of dependencies by constraints

**Problem description:**

AUTOSAR specified in the field "Dependency" in the chapter 10 description in an informal way dependencies between different configuration parameters. On the other hand some constraints are created in single BSW modules with the same namespace as the metamodel constraints. This should be cleaned up (see presentation in the attachment).

**Agreed solution:**

Rollout to replace in each SWS the dependencies by constraints (could be done step by step, not mandatory in one release)

When all SWS specifications are cleaned up the dependency field can be removed from the database

**FIM**

Remove dependency and in the following configuration parameters and remove the following text from the description field "At least one FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or

FiMInhComponentRef needs to be configured." :

FiMInhEventRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00100]  
FiMInhSumRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00102]  
FiMInhComponentRef [ECUC\_FiM\_00605]

Create chapter 7.4 Configuration Constraints

Add new constraints :

SWS\_FIM\_CONSTR\_XXX1 : For each configured FiMinhibitionConfiguration, at least one of FiMInhSumRef or FiMInhEventRef or FiMInhComponentRef shall be configured.

## DEM

—

see file under svn.:

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## DCM

—

See file under svn :

[https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09\\_WorkPackages/WP-](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-)

[A4/70\\_InternalDocuments/048\\_Dependency\\_Removal/DCM\\_Dem\\_Dependency\\_Removal.xlsx](https://svn.autosar.org/repos/work/09_WorkPackages/WP-A4/70_InternalDocuments/048_Dependency_Removal/DCM_Dem_Dependency_Removal.xlsx)

## Rte

—

RteDevErrorDetectUninit: SWS\_RTE\_CONSTR\_XXX1: In case that RteDevErrorDetectUninit is configured to true, RteDevErrorDetect shall be configured to true.

–Last change on issue 75045 comment 21–

### BW-C-Level:

Application	Specification	Bus
1	1	1

## 1.346 Specification Item SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_6117

### Trace References:

none

### Content:

The aggregation of DemTextTableMapping at DemAlternativeDataType is only valid if the category of the CompuMethod of the DataType referenced by DemAlternativeDataType.DemApplicationDataType has category set to TEXTTABLE or SCALE\_LINEAR\_AND\_TEXTTABLE.

### RfCs affecting this spec item between releases 4.3.0 and 4.3.1:

- RfC #76101: Constraint for DemTextTableMapping in DemAlternativeDataType

### Problem description:

In Rfc # 72285 it was decided to add a constraint for the sub-container handling the TextTableMapping (SWS\_Dcm\_CONSTR\_xxxx1), but this was not added for Dem. I

think that this shall also be added to the Dem SWS.

In the same Rfc was there also attached a workflow description that was decided to be added in Dcm SWS. My opinion is that this description shall also be part of Dem SWS.

**Agreed solution:**

Create a SWS\_Dem\_CONSTR\_xxxx1.

"The aggregation of DemDspTextTableMapping at DemAlternativeDataType is only valid if the category of the CompuMethod of the DataType referenced by DemAlternativeDataType.DemApplicationDataType has category set to TEXTTABLE or SCALE\_LINEAR\_AND\_TEXTTABLE.

2) Dem SWS:

A new chapter in 7.11.9 with the headline: Scaling information on Service Interfaces

UseCase: Pass the SwDataDefProps details like CompuMethod, DataConstraints and Units to the Dem Service SWC and make them there available per DID DataElement.

Two alternative work flows are available.

Work flow 1)

The feature of the first work flow is the use of a EcucForeignReference inside the generated Ecuc values. While importing the DEXT information a dedicated Ecuc parameter is generated, which holds a EcucForeignReference named DemDiagnosticDataElementRef to a DiagnosticDataElement in the DEXT file. This EcucForeignReference enables the access to all SwDataDefProps (BaseTyoe, CompuMethod, DatConstr, etc.) of the corresponding DiagnosticDataElement. The container DemAlternativeDiagnosticDataElement aggregate this EcucForeignReference. In the process step of generating the corresponding Service SWC all needed content will be copied directly based on the EcucForeignReference from DEXT to the Service SWC. In this work flow the existence of the DEXT file while the generation of the Service SWC is required.

Work flow2)

The feature of the second work flow is that while importing the DEXT information beside the Ecuc values also a SWC fragment is generated. In this SWC fragment all needed SwDataDefProps are directly copied from the DEXT file. Inside the generated Ecuc values the Ecuc Parameter DemAlternativeDataType.DemApplicationDataType refers to the SWC fragment and enables the access to all SwDataDefProps (BaseTyoe, CompuMethod, DatConstr,

etc.). In the process step of generating the corresponding Service SWC all needed content will be included based on the reference from DemAlternative-DataType.DemApplicationDataType to the SWC fragment. In this work flow the existence of the DEXT file while the generation of the Service SWC is not required.  
–Last change on issue 76101 comment 6–

**BW-C-Level:**

Application	Specification	Bus
1	4	1